EXHIBIT 2

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

SFA SYSTEMS, LLC,

Plaintiff,

VS.

CIVIL ACTION NO.

6:07-CV-67

INFOR GLOBAL SOLUTIONS
(MICHIGAN), INC., et al,

Defendants.

ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF

J. TIPTON COLE

JULY 22, 2009

CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF J. TIPTON COLE, produced as a witness at the instance of the Defendants, and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause on July 22, 2009, from 9:15 a.m. to 5:58 p.m., before Nita G. Cullen, CSR in and for the State of Texas, reported by machine shorthand, at the Law Offices of Thompson & Knight, 1722 Routh Street, Suite 1500, in the City of Dallas, County of Dallas, State of Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the provisions stated on the record or attached hereto.

Gwendolyn Parker and Associates, Inc. 214-747-8007

	Page :	2	Page 4
1	APPEARANCES	١.	PROCEEDINGS
2	MR. DAVID PRIDHAM THE LAW OFFICE OF DAVID PRIDHAM		
3	25 LINDEN ROAD	2 3	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 1 MARKED.)
4	BARRINGTON, RHODE ISLAND 02806 401.633.7247		VIDEOGRAPHER: Today is July 22nd, 2009.
5 6	AND MR. ANDREW W. SPANGLER	4	This is the videotaped deposition of J. Tipton Cole
7	SPANGLER LAW P.C.	5	taken in the case styled SFA Systems, LLC versus Infor
	208 NORTH GREEN STREET SUITE 300	6	Global Solutions, Incorporated, et al, Civil Action No.
8	LONGVIEW, TEXAS 75601 903.753.9300	7	6:07-CV-67. The time is 9:15 a.m. We're on the record.
9	AND	8	MR. SPANGLER: Are you on the record?
10		9	VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes.
11	MR. HAROLD KIP GLASSCOCK, JR. ATTORNEY AT LAW	10	MR. SPANGLER: Before we start, considering
12	550 FANNIN, SUITE 1350 BEAUMONT, TEXAS 77701	11	where we are in the case, just letting you know, I am
	409.833.8822	12	invoking the rule today. So, how you want to handle
13	AND	13	that with Maksim is your call.
14	MR. RYAN BROWN	14	MR. DION: I don't know if I follow.
15	BROWN LAW	15	You're
16	9211 GARLAND ROAD DALLAS, TEXAS 75218	16	MR. SPANGLER: The rule, invoking the rule
17	214.660.9602 COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF	17	regarding witnesses at trial and hearing the testimony
18	MR. ALFRED W. ZAHER	18	of others. I mean, I thought you guys wanted to do it
19	MR. JOEL L. DION	19	with Tipton last week, but
20	MR. BRUCE D. GEORGE MR. JOHN PAUL OLEKSIUK	20	MR. DION: You know, Mr. Cole was in
21	BLANK ROME LLP ONE LOGAN SQUARE	21	Maksim's deposition last week.
	18TH & CHERRY STREETS	22	MR. SPANGLER: And he was told you guys
22	PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19103-6998 215.569.5798	23	might invoke the rule and he would have to leave, so
23 24	COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANTS	24	
	ALSO PRESENT: MR. JASON WARNER, VIDEOGRAPHER	25	
	Page		Page 5
1			
1 2	INDEX PAGE		MR. SPANGLER: Other than your attorneys
3	Appearances4	2	and a corporate designee, no one else is allowed to
4	Stipulations4	3	participate in the deposition, just like hear their
5	J. TIPTON COLE	4	testimony, just like you would at trial.
6	Examination by Mr. Dion 4	5	MR. DION: I understand, but as I said, we
	Signature and Changes273	6	had Mr. Cole, we allowed him to sit in on the deposition
7		7	last week.
	Reporter's Certificate275	8	MR. SPANGLER: I understand. That was your
8		9	choice, and we're making the choice to invoke it today.
	EXHIBITS	10	MR. DION: Which means that if we allow him
10		11	to sit here, you're going to oppose him testifying as a
1.1	NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE	12	witness at trial?
11	1 Cole Report (Electronic Copy) 4	13	MR. SPANGLER: He's not allowed to sit
12		14	through.
	3 United States Patent No. 6,067,525 188	15	MR. ZAHER: Counsel, you made no such
13		16	objection last week. You did not give us an indication
14 15		17	you were going to object to our witness being present.
16		18	We went to a great deal of time and expense to bring him
17		19	here. Our witness is going to stay in the deposition.
18		20	If you want to bring this to the Court's
19 20		21	attention, I suggest you do it now, but your witnesses
21		22	were not excluded from our depositions. I would ask the
22		23	same courtesy from you. You're telling me you're not
23		24	going to give us that same courtesy?
24 25		25	MR. SPANGLER: I am telling you, I am
		123	mic. of an collin. I am colling you, I am

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

Page 8 Page 6 he wasn't -- okay. Again, Infor's position is that this 1 invoking the rule. 2 MR. ZAHER: Okay. Well, we're going to 2 witness -- or I should say our expert witness, Mr. keep our witness here. We can address this either at 3 Kouznetsov, was engaged by Infor as an expert. He's 4 the time of trial or we can address it now with the 4 only been approached to work on this case as an expert. 5 Court. He is a party to the confidentiality agreement. His 6 6 MR. SPANGLER: Well, we have to address it information has been provided to SFA. 7 7 now, and -- I mean, call up the hotline number. If this SFA's made no such objection, prior to this 8 is something you want to do a hotline call about, that's deposition, seeking to exclude Mr. Kouznetsov from 9 fine. Do we have a teleconference phone number in participating in this and working with counsel in 9 10 there? 10 support of this deposition. We disagree that he is a 11 MR. ZAHER: We have a machine here. Let's 11 fact witness. He did work for the company many years ago. You've had plenty of time to seek fact witnesses 12 see if we can get the Court --12 13 MR. DION: Can we go off the record while 13 that you need. we do some of the logistics? 14 14 Discovery is closed in this case, and we 15 MR. SPANGLER: If we're discussing this, I 15 are going to retain the assistance that we need -- the assistance of Mr. Kouznetsov in this deposition, and 16 want to keep it on the record. 16 17 MR. DION: That's fine, if we're doing the 17 he's going to remain in this deposition as support as an 18 18 logistics. expert witness. 19 MR. ZAHER: There's nothing further to 19 MR. SPANGLER: I don't have anything to 20 discuss. We're going to call the Court. 20 add. We already stated our position that he could stay. 21 21 MR. SPANGLER: Right. Go ahead, Mr. Dion. 22 22 MR. ZAHER: Okay. We can go off the MR. DION: Thank you. 23 23 record. COURT REPORTER: Any stipulations? 24 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:18, we're 24 MR. SPANGLER: Just to keep it AEO is what 25 going off the record. 25 I understand. Page 7 Page 9 1 1 (OFF THE RECORD FROM 9:18 TO 9:33 A.M.) MR. DION: The deposition is going to be 2 VIDEOGRAPHER: Time is 9:33, we're back on protected, Attorneys' Eyes Only. 3 the record. 3 J. TIPTON COLE, 4 4 MR. DION: Joel Dion, here on behalf of having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: 5 5 Defendant Infor. With me in the room is Maksim **EXAMINATION** Kouznetsov, as well as Bruce George, Alfred Zaher and 6 6 BY MR. DION: 7 John Paul Oleksiuk, the last three who are all also with 7 Q. Morning, Mr. Cole. 8 8 Blank Rome. A. Good morning. 9 MR. SPANGLER: Andrew Spangler on behalf of 9 Q. I've provided you, before we went on the the Plaintiff. With me today is David Pridham, Ryan record, copies of your report and -- as well as the 10 Brown, Kip Glasscock. The parties just went off the 11 exhibits to your report. The Court Reporter has been 11 12 record to discuss an issue regarding the participation given a compact disk with those in electronic form, 13 of Maksim Kouznetsov. 13 which I believe has been marked as Exhibit 1 to your 14 As part of that discussion, local counsel, 14 deposition, but for your reference during the 15 that would be me, Andrew Spangler, had a teleconference 15 deposition, those in paper form are there. 16 with Alan Gardner. After discussing that, with 16 Could you just take a look at that? I 17 preserving our objection that we believe Mr. Kouznetsov 17 understand it's a very substantial document, but a quick is a fact witness improperly withheld, we will allow him 18 18 maybe perusal, and let me know if it appears to be 19 to sit through this deposition. 19 complete? 20 20 MR. ZAHER: This is Alfred Zaher, lead MR. SPANGLER: Joel, I hate to interrupt, 21 counsel for Infor. Mr. Spangler, is Mr. Brown an 21 but just to make it clear, was Craig Thompson's disk 22 attorney for your firm? 22 Exhibit 1, also? It was? Okay. Thank you. 23 MR. SPANGLER: He's an attorney 23 A. Yeah. I got to believe that's right. 24 representing SFA that works with my firm. 24 Q. That's a lot of paper. 25 MR. ZAHER: Good. I just want to make sure 25 A. They seem to have the last pages in them, so I

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

Page 12 Page 10 suspect they're okay. 1 A. I was disclosed in a case. I'm having trouble 1 2 Q. When were you first engaged by SFA to work on 2 calling up the plaintiff's name. Q. It's not a memory test, so to the extent it 3 this matter? 3 4 A. I don't really recall. Sometime last fall. 4 might be part of your report, feel free to refer at this 5 5 Q. Sometime in the fall of 2008? time. 6 A. I believe so, yeah. 6 A. Actually, it's probably in my CV, because I 7 Q. And at that time, what is it that they asked 7 gave a deposition in the case. 8 8 you to do? Q. Okay. Could we take a look? Is that Exhibit 1 9 A. To serve as a technical expert, examining 9 to your report? 10 the -- this lawsuit. 10 A. I don't know. Yes. Taurus IP. 11 Q. Anything more specific than that? 11 Q. And it's on this list twice. Do you know why 12 it's on the list twice? A. No. 12 Q. And I assume you're charging them for your work 13 13 A. I gave two depositions. on this matter? Q. Why two depositions? 14 14 A. I did two reports. I don't know why I gave two 15 A. Yes. 15 Q. And what rate do you charge? depositions. They're split by month, though, so --16 16 17 A. \$525 an hour. 17 Q. Did the two reports address different issues? Q. And do you know, approximately, how much you've 18 18 A. I honestly don't recall. 19 billed to date in this case? 19 Q. Do you recall if they were both about 20 A. Not really. Probably somewhere 250, 300 hours, 20 infringement or both about validity? something like that. 21 21 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 22 Q. Have you ever worked on any other cases for 22 A. I did reports on both issues. That's probably 23 SFA? 23 the distinction. 24 A. No. 24 Q. So, your recollection is you did one report on 25 Q. Have you ever worked on any other cases where infringement, and then a separate report on validity? Page 11 Page 13 1 Mr. Spangler was an attorney? A. Correct. 1 2 A. I've met Mr. Spangler before, but I don't know 2 Q. Were you asked to give any opinions on validity 3 if I worked on a case with him. in this matter? 4 A. No. 4 Q. What about Mr. Pridham? 5 5 A. Again, I don't know whether he was in a case MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 6 Q. (By Mr. Dion) If we could go back to 6 I've been in. 7 Q. Do you know an attorney by the name of John 7 Exhibit 1, which is your resume, looks like from 1983 to 8 8 Edmonds? 2007, you have yourself listed as president of Tipton 9 Cole & Company? 9 A. Yes. Q. Have you ever been engaged as an expert on any A. Correct. 10 10 matters where he was counsel? 11 Q. And the description of that is, primarily, as a 11 12 12 A. Not that I'm aware of. software development and consulting company? Q. To your knowledge, have you ever worked as an 13 13 A. Yes. expert for any other matters for companies that were Q. And then 2008 to the present day, the same 14 14 15 owned or controlled by Mr. Spangenberg? 15 company, but you have a different role and a different MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. description. What's the difference between your role as 16 17 A. I believe I have, yes. 17 president up until 2007 and your role as proprietor Q. Which other matters do you believe those to be? since 2007? 18 18 19 A. I need to ask here whether cases where I wasn't 19 A. Mostly have to do with the fact that I closed 20 disclosed as an expert count on this stuff. 20 down the C type corporation that I had, and, also, recognizing the fact that I'm doing very little computer 21 MR. SPANGLER: You are not to disclose if 21 22 development these days. you were a consulting expert. I'm instructing you not to answer the question. If you did a deposition or 23 Q. So, since 2008, it looks like that you're 24 report or testified at trial, you can answer his 24 primarily doing expert witness type work?

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

25

A. Well, consulting work.

25 question.

Page 14 Page 16 report? Expert report? 1 MR. SPANGLER: Object to form. 1 2 A. Each of those exhibits was prepared, in part, 2 Q. (By Mr. Dion) Consulting work primarily by me, and in conjunction -- the rest of it in 3 related to IP litigation? 4 A. Correct. 4 conjunction with the attorneys, but the exhibits are mine. They're incorporated in my reports and they're 5 Q. What percentage of your work is as a consulting 6 6 expert, as compared to as a testifying expert? 7 7 A. Probably most of it. Q. You reviewed source code as part of your 8 8 investigation into the accused products, is that right? Q. Most of it is which? 9 A. I did. 9 A. I'm sorry. Most of it is as a consulting 10 expert. 10 Q. How did you go about the source code review? What was the process you used to try and determine which 11 Q. The last question was maybe a bad question. 11 12 12 source code you thought was relevant and which pieces A. That's all right. 13 Q. Did you have any role in preparing infringement 13 you wanted to look at? contentions in this case? 14 14 MR. SPANGLER: Objection. I believe that's 15 A. My role was to discuss things with the 15 covered within the stip. Hold on. attorneys. I did not draft the infringement --16 16 A. I'm sorry. 17 17 MR. SPANGLER: I'll at this point remind MR. SPANGLER: You can answer that that we have a stipulation and instruct my witness not 18 18 question. 19 to disclose any of the communications or drafts of 19 A. Okay. First off, I'm not sure about relevance, 20 documents leading up to your report. 20 its relevance, but the mechanism that I used was to 21 first take inventory of the source code that was 21 A. Right. 22 produced and to begin examining different code in 22 Q. Did you prepare the exhibits to your report? 23 A. Did I prepare them? Some of them, yes. 23 different areas, looking for support for what I was 24 Q. What do you mean by that? 24 seeing in the documentation. 25 25 A. Well, I wrote the CV. The purpose of the source code review is, Page 17 Page 15 1 Q. Okay. What about Exhibits 3, 4 and 5? primarily, to make sure that I'm reading and 2 A. 3. 4 and 5 are all exhibits that I -- I'm not understanding the documentation properly. So, the sure how to answer this, now. source code review was guided by what I was reading in 3 the document, trying to find things that corresponded to 4 MR. DION: I think I'm entitled to explore 5 5 whether or not it's his work product, so -what I saw. MR. SPANGLER: Well, you're not -- well, 6 Q. When you say guided by what you were reading in 6 7 the stip is what the stip is, and we can look at that. 7 the documents, do you mean that you were only looking at 8 I'm trying to think of a way to give you your answer 8 source code that was referenced in the documents? 9 A. Well, relatively little of the source code is 9 without revealing, so just -directly referenced in the documents. We were just 10 MR. DION: Okay. 10 11 MR. SPANGLER: I'm working on giving you 11 looking for those parts of the source code that we 12 the information, how to do it accurately. 12 thought would help us understand what the system was 13 MR. DION: Okay. 13 actually doing. MR. SPANGLER: Can I talk with him real 14 Q. So if you felt that from the documentation you 14 15 15 quick off the record? I want to give you your answer. were developing an opinion that the system had a certain MR. DION: Absolutely. functionality, you would then try to locate source code 16 17 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:44, we're off 17 that verified or confirmed that opinion? 18 the record. A. In some instances, yes. 18 19 (OFF THE RECORD FROM 9:44 TO 9:45 A.M.) 19 Q. How did you go about trying to find the 20 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:45, we're back 20 particular source code that might support --A. It's -- it's -- you just have to look. I mean, 21 on the record. 21 22 the -- some of the source code is written in such a way 22 MR. SPANGLER: Joel, could you re-ask the 23 question, please? 23 that either program names or procedure names or 24 Q. (By Mr. Dion) Yeah, the question was 24 variables reveal what's going on in the code. Comments 25 whether -- did you prepare Exhibits 3, 4 and 5 to your 25 in the code can tell you what's going on. We wrote

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

Page 20 Page 18 1 1 routines to --A. Yes. 2 2 Q. Is it your understanding that SFA has asserted MR. SPANGLER: I'm going to object at this point. Anything you wrote, notes that you took or any that Sales & Service as a stand-alone product infringes 4 written conduct by you is protected by the stipulation. 4 the '525 Patent? Your general procedure, you are to answer his question. 5 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 6 A. All right. Fair enough. We reviewed both the 6 A. I believe that in the report I actually only 7 direct content of the code; that is, the programming 7 address the combination of Sales & Service with language work, and we also reviewed comments in the 8 Intersection Adviser. code. Comments are -- don't tell you what actually 9 Q. So you have not offered an opinion that Sales & 10 happened, the code tells you what actually happened, but 10 Service as a stand-alone product infringes the '525 the comments can direct you to the right places. 11 11 12 So we used that. We used markers in the 12 A. I don't believe so, no. documentation that would occasionally mention something Q. But you do believe that Interaction Adviser 13 13 infringes the claims of the '525 Patent or the claims 14 that looked like it belonged in the source code, and we 14 15 would go search for that. Any number of things like 15 discussed in your report, as a stand-alone product, is that. But it's an exploration to go in and look for. 16 that correct? 16 17 17 Q. Did you use search terms to help you find MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 18 pertinent source code? 18 A. Yes, I do. 19 A. Well, we did searches. We did searches based 19 Q. In the combination of IA and integrated with 20 on strings of characters that we thought were 20 S&S, which of the claim elements do you believe read on 21 significant, yes. the Sales & Service product? 21 22 Q. Do you recall the strings of characters that 22 A. On the Sales & Service product? I'm not sure 23 you thought were significant? 23 that I ever expressed it quite that way. I believe I 24 A. No. expressed it as a combination, and the statement, I think, is pretty straightforward. 25 Q. Last week, you sat in on Mr. Kouznetsov's Page 19 Page 21 deposition, is that right? 1 1 Q. If you would, could you just identify which 2 A. For some of it, yes. page of your report you're looking at? 3 Q. Have any of your opinions changed, based on 3 A. Right. I thought that we would just start with 4 sitting in on that deposition? Claim 1, Element A-1, page 121 of the report, discussing 4 5 5 the integration of Interaction Adviser with S&S. And 6 the statement is that I previously analyzed the IA and Q. Now, having sat in on that deposition, are you 6 7 relying at all on the testimony that you heard to 7 determined that it infringed the patent on its own, and 8 8 support the opinions in your report? that as a logical necessity, the combination of IA and 9 9 A. To support the opinions in my report? No. S&S also infringes the patent. 10 Q. Do you believe the testimony does support the Q. And I think we could agree that's the language 10 11 opinions that are in your report? 11 for Claim 1, Element A-1, but I think we could agree 12 A. The -- most of Mr. Kouznetsov's testimony 12 that that similar language was then followed for all the 13 contradicted the opinions in my report. 13 rest of the claims? Q. If you could turn to the first page of your 14 14 A. I believe it's similar language for virtually 15 actual report, and I promise we're not going to go 15 everything in there, yes. 16 through all these documents one page at a time. Q. So the allegation that IA integrated with S&S 16 17 A. That's okay. 17 infringes is because IA infringes by itself? Q. In the first paragraph, and I understand this MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 18 18 is just introduction, but the end of the last sentence, A. That's a basis for it, yes. 19 19 it says that in the litigation, SFA has asserted that 20 Q. So, I guess what I'm trying to understand is, certain Infor products infringe, and it lists 21 21 in that system of IA integrated with S&S, what is it 22 Interaction Adviser, IA, Sales & Service or S&S, and 22 that S&S actually adds to the system that changes or 23 Outbound Marketing or OM, and then it says individually 23 affects or has an impact on the way the system 24 and including as integrated together as part of the 24 infringes?

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

25

25 E.piphany Suite. Do you see that?

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

16

19

8

14

17

18

19

20

21

Page 22

1 A. Straightforwardly, S&S is a subsystem that --2 of a combined -- the patent addresses a system and then addresses subsystems within a system. The addition of S&S expands the scope of the initial system that we're 5 talking about.

The initial system in the early analysis is IA. You expand the system to include IA and S&S, and that expanded system infringes the patent.

- Q. And S&S would be one of the subsystems?
- 10 A. Would also be a subsystem within the system, 11
- 12 Q. Does IA need to have S&S as a subsystem to meet 13 the limitations of, for instance, Claim 1?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

15 A. No.

6

7

9

14

25

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 16 Q. I think you said, and I may be misquoting, but if I'm mischaracterizing your testimony, please correct 17 me, but I think you said along the lines that the system 19 of IA and S&S integrated together necessarily infringe 20 because IA alone infringes.
- 21 A. That's close, yes.
- 22 Q. So, then, is it your opinion that a system made 23 up of IA integrated with any other piece of software 24 would be an infringing system?
 - MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

1 A. Yes. It certainly qualifies as a subsystem 2 named in that claim.

Q. Anything other than that?

A. I don't think I've addressed anything other than that, but it may, yes.

Q. But if we were to look at kind of some of the -- some of the items that are called out in, for instance, Claim 1, the event manager is still within IA, is that correct?

Page 24

Page 25

- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. And the detection of any change in state that you assert still occurs within IA, is that correct? 12
- 13 A. That's a function of the event manager, so yes.
- Q. And as well as the inferring also occurs within 15 IA?
 - A. It's also part of the event manager, correct.
- 17 Q. And the automatic initiation step or process, again, also within IA? 18
 - A. Yes.
- 20 Q. What do you understand S&S's functionality to 21 be, generally?
- 22 A. Just what it says, it's a support system for 23 Sales & Service, and, you know, it has a lot of
- functionality. We can pull out the claim chart and
- start talking about it, if you'd like.

Page 23

- A. Not necessarily, no. I'm assuming that you're going to combine it with something that would effectively debilitate some feature of IA, but as, for instance, in here, IA combined with OM I believe qualifies as such a thing, but I wouldn't apply that as a blanket -- as a blanket proposition, no.
- Q. What if I qualified it to say, IA integrated with any other system, so long as the integration doesn't diminish IA's functionality?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. That's at least possible, then I run into problems of patent doctrine that I simply don't know, that may militate against that. I honestly don't know.
- Q. I understand it's a broad question and, you know, to say "yes" to every example is a lot to ask. Does the system of IA integrated with S&S work differently than IA standing alone?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. It accomplishes additional things, yes.
- Q. What are those additional things?
- 21 A. All those capabilities that S&S brings to the 22 party.
- 23 Q. Do any of the capabilities that S&S brings to 24 the party relate to any of the elements in, for
 - instance, Claim 1 of the '525 Patent?

- 1 Q. I don't know that we need to go into that level 2 of detail.
- 3 A. Okay.
- 4 Q. So I'd like to go now to the section of your 5 report that addresses Interaction Adviser standing alone. I think the claim analysis at least starts on 6 7 page 15 or the bottom of 14.
 - A. Okay.
- 9 Q. When you say that IA infringes standing alone, the preamble calls out -- well, let me back up a step. 10 11 In your view, do you think that in, for instance, Claim 1 of the '525 Patent, is the preamble a limitation on 13 the scope of that claim?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- 15 A. I don't know. I don't know whether it's a limitation on the claim. 16
 - Q. In your analysis, did you look to see if, in your opinion, the system satisfied the language of the preamble?
 - A. Yes.

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- 22 Q. (By Mr. Dion) So, the preamble calls out a 23 computer implemented sales system. What is the system
- 24 that you analyzed when you looked at Intersection
- 25 Adviser?

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

13

14

19

Page 26

1 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 2

THE WITNESS: Pardon me.

MR. SPANGLER: Give me a little bit of time to put objections on the record, please. That was "objection, form," in case you didn't get it.

- A. Interaction Adviser is the Interaction adviser product, as described in the documentation.
- Q. And maybe this is a silly question, but when you were analyzing this, you didn't look at Interaction 10 Adviser, for this section, connected to anything else, 11 is that right?
 - A. Well, Interaction Adviser is the system of the claim language, and there are connections within it that I reviewed, but I didn't worry with its connection to anything else.
- Q. What is, in your view, sales? 16
- 17 A. Sales?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14 15

- Q. Sales. 18
- 19 A. Well, the core of sales is the transfer of 20 goods or services for money.
- 21 Q. Did you ever -- did you look at these systems 22 to determine if, in your opinion, they were sales 23 systems?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And, in your opinion, IA is a sales system.

1 A. That's part of the explanation. It's not just 2 the legacy system, but it's newly developed systems, as 3 well. Yes, it's aimed at taking, like I said, just

Page 28

Page 29

- 4 systems that are separated, for some reason, because
- they came from different vendors, because they were
- 6 developed at different times, because the design of the 7 system was insular, for some reason, and breaking down
- 8 the barriers between them so that the information into
- 9 the system from the outside and -- well, I'm sorry --10 within the system, that the information would flow more
- 11 quickly and promote the sales process.
 - Q. Does the '525 Patent define specific phases of the sales process that are contemplated by the invention?
- 15 A. I believe it mentions some phases. I don't 16 think it defines phases, specifically, no.
- 17 Q. What's your understanding of how Interaction 18 Adviser works?
 - A. Well --
- 20 Q. And I understand that's a broad question.
- 21 We're obviously going to get into it in some detail as 22 we talk about the claim elements. I guess I kind of
- 23
- want to understand your big picture view of what IA is 24 and what it does.
- 25
 - A. In the big picture, IA is meant to serve an

Page 27

interactive process, hence its name, I suppose. And

it's attempting to connect people at the customer end of

3 the sales process back with information that an

4 organization gathers over time, and it's simultaneously 5 adapting to the success or failure of some of the sales 6

operations.

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q. It's adapting to the success or failure of some of the sales operations? What do you mean by that?

A. What I mean by that is that as transactions, 10 sales transactions, offers, acceptances, and so forth, 11 take place within the system, that it's keeping track and adjusting its behavior based on the success or 13 failure of different promotions, operations, offers, 14 campaigns.

Q. Could you give me one example, and I certainly understand this is not likely to be the only example, but an example of what IA does kind of as it relates to the claims? I mean -- let me withdraw that. I think that's going to draw an appropriate objection.

MR. SPANGLER: It was coming.

Q. (By Mr. Dion) Could you describe your view of how IA functions when, say, the customer service

23 representative at a call center, for instance, interacts 24 with a customer, what does IA do?

25

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

A. Yes. 1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. What are the phases of the sales process?

A. What are the phases of the sales process? It's a pretty broad description, but they are -- those are the activities that are involved in bringing a product to sell, building its market, influencing the purchasing and buying of the product and satisfying the sale. Not that there are only three phases to the process, but it covers a lot of ground.

Q. Sure. Would you agree with this -- or maybe you won't -- but other people in the case have kind of characterized the invention this way. Do you view it as a system, and the goal of that system is to automate at least some of the tasks that are typically carried out by a salesperson?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

A. I would say that's part of what it's aimed at, yes. But as much as that, it's aimed at breaking down the barriers between automated systems that might be used separately and the promotion of sales.

Q. Those barriers -- you said barriers between automated systems. So those were kind of Legacy automated systems, and the goal here was to try and, I guess, find a way for those to work together?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

17

18

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

Page 30

A. Take a simple example. Let's say that a customer, you talk about customer service, a customer calls in with a complaint, I ordered this gizmo and it hasn't arrived yet, it arrived late, it was broken when it arrived, I got the blue one instead of the red one, whatever his complaint is, he has a complaint.

1

2

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

He identifies himself to the sales agent. The sales agent records the fact that this fellow is complaining about his gizmo or about -- and sends that information into IA -- you know, from one of the client systems, you know, call center, and he sends that information into the IA's RT server.

RT server gets that complaint, and once the complaint arrives, he says, something's up, and he starts unpackaging the complaint information. He figures out from -- actually, go back. When he gets the message, it's just a message. He doesn't even know it's a complaint.

Q. I'm sorry, when you say "he" --

A. I'm sorry. He. RT server. Computer geeks, we often personalize these things. So RT server will be "he" henceforth. But when RT server gets the message from the client, he knows something's up, but he doesn't know what.

He unpacks the message, and he says, ah,

1 customer. Perhaps we can make you happy with this. And 2 if he gets a good reaction, then that may settle it.

> 3 If he doesn't get a good reaction to the 4 first one, he may ask him, well, how about if we did

Page 32

Page 33

5 this, then, instead, or in addition to what I offered

б before? So, what's happening there is that IA, because 7 it's accumulating all this historical information on the

8 customer, because it has -- because it has knowledge of 9

how other customers in similar circumstances react,

10 because it has knowledge of how this customer and -- you

11 know, historically has reacted, it's filtering through 12 the many possibilities that it has to respond. So,

13 that's roughly what I see IA doing. 14

Q. Okay. Thank you. And I understand, like I said, that's one example. I certainly don't expect that 16 it's the only example. But there's a couple of things you discussed in there that I want to just follow up on a little bit. So, in this scenario, we had a customer 19 calling in, that customer had a complaint about 20 something.

Is it your understanding that IA treats that type of request to the RT server; that is, a request derived from a customer complaint differently than if the request comes in because the person called in for some other reason?

Page 31

it's a complaint message. I have some idea of what I need to do. I should be looking for, among other things, I should be looking for the I.D. of the complainer, and I probably should be looking for some identifier that tells me what he's complaining about.

He unpacks those things from messages, and based on the fact that he has a complaint, he understands which of his subsystems he needs to go to, and he analyzes then the information he has on the customer.

If it's a first time customer, he might just say, tough luck. If he's a long-time customer, he might say, you know, we need to keep this guy, he's a big dollar guy, let's offer him tickets to something or let's offer him a discount on what we just sold him or let's offer him something to mollify him.

And it will put together whatever's appropriate for that customer, for that level of sales, for the history that that customer has and pass those recommendations back to the call center operator, who then -- or to the software that the call center operator is using.

23 And that software then displays those 24 options on the screen and the call center operator looks at it and starts working through his options with that

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

A. Well, it certainly treats it differently in the sense that if a person calls in to order the latest set of foot snuggies, he gets foot snuggy stuff back. If he calls in about a complaint, he gets complaint stuff back. So, yes, they're treated differently.

Q. Did you come across anything in the documentation of IA that talks about handling complaints?

10 A. I think one of the examples in the -- in one of 11 the manuals dealt with it in some detail.

Q. Do you recall what -- where that might have been? I understand if you don't. I know there was a lot of documents you looked at.

A. It's referenced early on in the IA claim chart.

Q. In your chart that you prepared for IA?

A. Yes.

18 Q. Do you think if you took a minute to flip 19 through, could you point me to that?

A. I think so.

21 Q. And IA chart is Exhibit 3?

22 A. Yes. Page 3.

23 Q. Okay. Could you show or tell me where on page 3 you see that? 24

A. In the third block of information under the

9 (Pages 30 to 33)

Page 34 Page 36 heading "A URL." 1 1 customer transaction history or interaction history as 2 2 Q. Uh-huh. part of its process? 3 3 A. Is a message, it's an http protocol message. MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 4 And you will see on the third line of that message it 4 A. I'm not sure if it keeps that -- each has the "&Event=ComplaintEvent." interaction, you know, each sales detail, but certainly 6 Q. Okay. And is that URL there, is that the 6 it seems to keep cumulative information. 7 information passed to RT server from the other system? 7 Q. When you say "cumulative information," what do 8 A. Yes. It's an example of information that gets 8 you mean? 9 from an RT client to RT server, yes. 9 A. Number of purchases, amount of dollars spent, I 10 Q. And then when RT server processes this event to 10 think it keeps information -- I'm sorry, can keep return offers, in this example, it would use the fact 11 11 information on what items were purchased. 12 that this was a complaint event in what way in 12 Q. So, cumulative information about an individual processing those offers? 13 13 customer, though, is what you meant? 14 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 14 A. Yes. A. Based on the fact that it's a complaint event, 15 15 Q. Rather than cumulative information about it calls some set of routines that it wouldn't call for 16 population of customers. 16 17 a sales event. It calls them with information or with 17 A. Well, for this customer, it builds profile 18 parameters, parameters that are different. 18 information that includes, you know, demographic type 19 Q. Do you have an understanding of what those 19 stuff, where he's from, how old he is, some other things 20 other subsystems would be or those other processes would 20 like that. I think the exact detail that you can keep 21 21 on this stuff, at a certain level, I didn't pursue it 22 A. Generally, I believe this is -- a patent is 22 anymore, but it keeps -- yes, it keeps historical 23 sent to RT miners or RT recommenders that then plow into 23 demographic information on that person, whatever it different databases, depending on rule sets that they 24 knows. 25 have within them. 25 (MR. ZAHER LEAVES ROOM.) Page 37 Page 35 MR. DION: Okay. I think this will be a 1 1 Q. Is this example here, this complaint event, is good time to take a break. 2 that something that's part of IA as it's delivered by 2 3 Infor to its customers? 3 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:18, we're off 4 4 A. This particular complaint event? I couldn't the record. 5 5 (MR. PRIDHAM AND MR. GLASSCOCK LEAVE ROOM. tell you. I don't know for sure. 6 6 Q. The other thing I wanted to ask you about is, (OFF THE RECORD FROM 10:18 TO 10:28 A.M.) 7 in your example, you talked about that the system would 7 VIDEOGRAPHER: Time is 10:28, we're back on 8 return certain offers, I guess resolutions, whatever the record. they might be in that case, and you said one of the 9 Q. (By Mr. Dion) Okay. Before we took that things that it would consider in making that decision is break, we've been discussing your opinions about 10 10 infringement by IA. There's also language in the 11 he has information about this particular customer and 11 12 beginning of the preamble, similar language comes up in 12 what this customer has done in the past? 13 some of the claim elements that says that this is a 13 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 14 14 sales system, computer implemented sales system that's A. Perhaps. 15 used to facilitate a sales process. What do you 15 Q. Is it your understanding that IA uses, you 16 know, typically uses a customer's transaction history as 16 understand that to mean? 17 MR. SPANGLER: I'm sorry, Joel, I missed 17 part of its process? 18 18 that. What page are you on? MR. SPANGLER: Joel, finish this answer, 19 and if you could tie up a line of questions, one, I'd MR. DION: Page 14 of his report. 19 20 MR. SPANGLER: Okay. Thank you. 20 like to take a break, and two, Kip and David are 21 A. To facilitate a sales process means that it 21 leaving, so I don't want to have all that on the 22 makes some part of the sales process easier, faster, 22 videotape while that's going on. more convenient, more efficient, possible, any number of 23 MR. DION: Sure. 23 24 24 A. I'm sorry. Could you ask again? things like that. 25 25 Q. And, again, the sales process being --Q. Is it your understanding that IA typically uses

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

4

5

6

13

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 38

A. Virtually anything that assists in making the sale, ultimately, to a customer.

1

2

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

Q. I just want to kind of explore that a little bit, if we can. So, if we had to -- you know, a sales organization and they have a receptionist and she answers calls for the marketing department and the legal department and the human resources department, she's just -- you know, you call in for the number, you're not dialing the 800 number to buy their product, you're calling their office, she answers the phone. Is she facilitating the sales process?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. To the extent that she can, yes.
- Q. What do you mean by that?
- A. Well, the customer calls in and says, I'd like to talk to my salesman, the -- whether he's calling for a complaint, like we talked about before, or calling to buy something or calling to find out who is the salesman for his region or whatever reason like that, her actions can, do facilitate the sales process.
- Q. Okay. Again, like I said, may seem a little ridiculous, but I'm trying to kind of figure out how far back this goes. The people that come in and clean at night, do they facilitate the sales process?
- A. I wouldn't think so, in the normal course, no.

Q. Okay. Is there any limitation on the actions? Let me kind of explain that a little bit. So, again, we're talking about a sales process, we're also talking about automation of that sales process. Obviously, if we say, well, actions have to be performed by people, I think we'd be somewhat missing the boat, because the point of the system is to automate at least some of what a person used to do, is that --

Page 40

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. Yes, I believe that the actions include actions by parts of the system, rather than just people engaging the system. 12
 - Q. I think I would agree with that, also. So I guess my question then is more to the point, the actions referred to here, which are actions performed during at least one phase of the sales process, to the extent those actions are carried out by the system rather than a person, do they have to be actions that -- actions that would typically be carried out by a salesperson, what the system is automating, or could they be purely system -- I guess I don't want to use the word "actions" -- purely system --
- 22 23 A. Operations.
- 24 Q. Operations.
- 25 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

Page 39

- Q. I mean, obviously, we could get down to a salesman picks up a phone and cold calls a customer or takes a call from a customer, I think that's clearly within the sales process. Somewhere -- I guess the janitors, we can agree, are clearly outside of the sales process.
 - A. As I said, in the normal course, yes.
- Q. In the normal course. Is there any way that we can kind of meaningfully define the boundaries there, though?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. I'm not sure of a clean way of doing that.
- 13 Q. So, if we move on, then, the next element is plurality of subsystems, and I'm on to page 15 of your 14

15 report. Plurality of subsystems configured to

- 16 facilitate one or more actions performed during at least 17 one phase of the sales process. 18
 - A. Yes.
- 19 Q. In the context of Claim 1, what type of actions 20 is this language referring to?
- 21 A. To facilitate one or more actions? Well, it 22 can be an action that is performed by some piece of 23 software in the system. It can be an action that's
- 24 performed by some person in the system, as well. Some person interacting with the system.

Page 41

- 1 A. I think that some of the actions contemplated 2 here could be actions that are performed by parts of the 3 system itself.
 - Q. That if the sales process were entirely carried out by a human, those things might never occur.
 - A. Oh, might never occur.
- 7 Q. Let me step back. 8

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

9 Q. (By Mr. Dion) Maybe we could start at this a slightly different way. So, one of the things that the 10 11 '525 Patent contemplates that a system could have is, 12 say, a task list, is that right?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- 14 A. I'm sorry. Is what?
 - O. A task list?
 - A. A task list? Okay.
- 17 Q. Do you agree that that's one of the things 18 discussed in the patent?
 - A. I don't recall that, specifically, but it's the type of thing I would expect to be discussed.
 - Q. So if it weren't for the system, the salesperson might have to -- if they didn't have any computerized system, they might have to just keep that task list on paper, and if they wanted a reminder, they might have to just find a way to remind themselves.

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

Gwendolyn Parker and Associates, 214-747-8007

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 42

1 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

13

14

15

16 17

18

21

22 23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. And do you view that process as part of the sales process?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. So, if a salesperson has a list that says, for instance, every time a customer comes in, I want to send them a letter four days later to follow up with them?
- A. That sounds like an activity that I would include as part of the sales process, yes.
- 11 Q. Now, is that something that the system of the 12 '525 Patent could automate?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. Yes. The system that's named in the '525 Patent, one of its functions or operations could be the maintenance of a task list, could even be the performance of some of the tasks on the task list, yes.
 - Q. For instance, printing out the letter.
- 19 A. Correct.

20 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- Q. (By Mr. Dion) And I think we could view -- one way to view actions would be to view that it's those types of things that the salesperson used to do but the system is automating.
 - MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

Q. You felt you were never at those margins.

Page 44

Page 45

- A. I don't believe so, no.
- O. So, what would be required for a subsystem? And we'll get into subsystems a bit later. But what would be required for a subsystem to facilitate an action?

A. Okay. Well, we talked about the -- one of the RT clients performing in the example that we did. And we talked about the fact that the -- when the RT server determined what offers, what opportunities to give to the complaining customer and transmitted that message back to the RT client, that that client then displayed all of those things onto the screen so that the call center operator could read them off to the customer, could negotiate with the customer.

You use those as the basis for interacting with the customer. I would say that the display of those things facilitated the sales process. Similarly, for the RT client, at least, the other types of transactions, similar displays that are directing the -are directing the operator that are -- would be facilitating the sales process.

23 Q. Okay. So, in that example, what is the action 24 there?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

Page 43

A. I don't think that fully captures it. Again, I'm not sure, thinking about it quite in those terms, that every action that can be automated necessarily falls into that category, nor would I be comfortable in saying that only those actions that can be automated fall into that category.

So, I'm -- I understand the characterization, and it's not unreasonable, I just don't think it fully characterizes what we're talking

- Q. No, that's fine, that's what I'm trying to understand. Like I said, that's one way you could characterize actions. Your view is that in these claims, it's not that limited, is that correct?
 - A. It's not that characterization, correct.
- Q. Is there a characterization of actions that you applied, in your mind, when you were looking at these claims and comparing them to the accused systems?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

A. I don't think that I had to employ a fully comprehensive or exclusive definition of the term "actions," that I was pretty well within the boundaries 23 of whatever it might be when I was doing the analysis I 24 was doing. So, I didn't really run up against the need to define that precisely on any boundary.

A. Displaying the results for the use of the operator.

Q. Okay. So, the action is the system displaying the results to the operator.

A. Right. If you think of the RT client as embedded in an application, for instance, rather than being the application that's doing the display itself, it would just simply be the transmission of that information for the purpose of displaying.

Q. Okay. So if you wanted to focus purely within the boundaries of IA --

A. Purely within the boundaries of IA, purely within the boundaries of an embedded client, then you could go down that far.

Q. And then, if you view that way, the action is RT client sending the information to whatever other system it's embedded into so that that system can ultimately display it to the --

A. Yes.

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

Q. (By Mr. Dion) So, if, as I said in that example, the action is RT client transferring the information to the system it's embedded into, then RT client, at least, is carrying out that action, is that correct?

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

7

11

14

21

Page 46

- 1 A. Right. I thought the question was asking me to 2 analyze it at that level. And at that level, that's a way to characterize it, yes.
 - Q. If we characterize it that way, would you also say that RT client was facilitating the action?
- 6 A. Well, hang on. Fair enough. The -- yes. Yes. 7 It both carries out the action and facilitates it at 8 that point. The -- I'm sorry, yes. That's the end of 9
- 10 Q. Okay. So, the claim element also it calls out 11 plurality of subsystems.
- 12 A. Correct.
- Q. Plurality means more than one? 13
- 14 A. Yes.

4

5

- 15 Q. What do you identify as the plurality of subsystems within Interaction Adviser that meet this 16 17 claim element?
- 18 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.
- 19 A. Well, I identified several in the report. Just 20 quickly here, we identified each of the RT Client
- subsystems as a subsystem. We identified the IA
- Manager, the RT Administrator, RT Studio, I believe 22
- the -- we identified at one point the data engine, at
- 24 least those. And then, of course, another construct, OM
- 25 and SS.

Page 47

- 1 Q. So, you're looking at -- I guess that's 2 primarily the top of page 16 of your report?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And we have there listed out RT Client, IA
- Manager, RT Manager and RT Studio.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Is that right? And then, further down the next paragraph it -- there's a cite from this Infor document,
- 322819 where it says that the E.piphany applications can
- read from and write to multiple data sources. 10
- 11 A. Yes.

20

- 12 Q. So, is it your opinion that the data sources
- 13 that IA uses are also a subsystem?
- A. Some of them can -- they can be subsystems of 14 15 this overall system, yes.
- Q. Anything else? 16
- 17 A. That's what's in the report.
- Q. Okay. And there's nothing else you're aware 18
- of, beyond what's in the report? 19
 - A. It's fine as it is.
- Q. Okay. You do state here, you say, "in 21
- 22 addition, IA is integrated with the Infor products OM
- 23 and S&S, which are, for the purposes of this Claim 1,
- also subsystems." I guess -- I think you know what's 24
- 25 coming.

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Obviously, I understand you characterize them
- as subsystems. That would only be true if IA were integrated with those systems, is that correct?
- 5
 - MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. So, for purposes of this section of your
- 8 report, are you relying on those as possible subsystems
- 9 to support your opinion that IA infringes a stand-alone
- 10 product?
 - A. No.
- 12 Q. IA Manager and RT Manager, do you understand
- 13 those to be different?
 - A. I'm sorry. It should be RT Administrator.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. I believe that's correct, yes. I had never
- 17 noticed that before.
- 18 Q. Okay. So, at the top of this list here, it
- should read, RT Client, IA Manager, RT Manager? 19
- 20 A. RT Administrator.
 - Q. I'm sorry. RT Administrator and RT Studio.
- 22 A. Yes. I believe I corrected that later, or I
- 23 put it in correctly later.
- 24 Q. Okay. Well, I guess it turns out, as my
- understanding, that Infor did use both the term IA

Page 49

Page 48

- Manager and RT Manager kind of through different
- versions of its documentation. Is that your 3 understanding?
- 4 A. That's correct. And those would identify 5
 - actually the same product.
- 6 Q. Okay. So whether we call it IA Manager or RT
- 7 Manager, it's the same subsystem or the same part of IA,
- 8 just different nomenclature. 9
 - A. Correct.
- 10 Q. Okay. Also, when you were talking about the
- 11 possible subsystems, I believe you said that each of the
- 12 RT Clients --13
 - A. Yes.
- 14 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.
- 15 Q. (By Mr. Dion) Did I hear that correctly?
- 16 A. Yes, I believe I did.
- Q. What do you mean by "each of the RT Clients"? 17
 - A. Each of the RT Clients is independently and
- separately a subsystem. So you have an RT Client that's
- a Com client, and you have an RT Client that's a Java
- client. You have an RT Client that's an MQ series 21
- 22 client. They're different things.
- 23 Q. And what is the purpose of each of those
- 24 different RT Clients? 25

A. They serve similar purposes. They're each set

13 (Pages 46 to 49)

18

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Page 50

up to communicate to the RT server from whatever the client is embedded in as a -- you know, the remote system. Whether it's a call center or web page or an ATM machine or a kiosk or something like that.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

Q. So, is the particular RT Client that's used in a certain implementation then determined by the system it's being embedded into?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. I'm not sure if that's quite correct. It's determined by the people who are building that system. But the client component itself, each of them -- like I said, each of them is what it is, and they are separate things.
- Q. In any particular implementation, would more than one client be used?
- A. In any particular implementation, would it be used? Yeah, very possibly. If you have a client who runs a call center and runs a web page, you might have an MQ series running in the call center and http or Java running for the web page.

And, similarly, if you go down to a smaller 22 system, like a kiosk or an ATM, you're more likely to 23 use C++. At least I would think so. That's not 24 necessary, but it's at least possible.

Q. If we look at say IA and each front end system

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

Page 52

Page 53

- 2 A. It means that it communicates with it, that it 3 interoperates with it, that it functions in conjunction 4
- 5 Q. Do you believe that "coupled to," as used in 6 this claim language, means the subsystems have to be separate from the event manager?
 - A. As I understand it, the subsystems are a part of the overall system, and they're identifiable as separate -- in some respect, yes, whether they're components of the event manager or associated with the event manager, however you might express that, there's some reason to treat them as separate, yes.
 - Q. So, it is your understanding, then, that coupled requires them to be separate somehow? MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

17 A. At least conceptually, yes.

- 18 Q. What's your understanding of IA Manager?
- 19 A. Just what I read in the documentation. IA 20 Manager is an application that is part of the IA system 21 and, as identified here, a subsystem of the IA system.
- 22 Q. How does IA Manager facilitate -- excuse me. 23 How is IA Manager configured to facilitate a phase of 24 the sales process?
- 25 A. The IA Manager is responsible for defining,

Page 51

that it's connected to, am I right that there would only be one RT Client connected to any particular front end system?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. Again, there's no requirement that that be true, at least not that I'm aware of.
- 7 Q. Are you aware of an example where you would use 8 more than one RT Client, say, to hook into your call 9 center application?
- A. What I'm aware of in that respect has to do 10 11 with the stipulation that I was presented with that 12 says, if it can do it, it does do it. So, that's the 13 way I did my analysis.
- 14 Q. Okay. I think we've covered this, but what's 15 your understanding of the functionality of RT Client?
- 16 A. Well, RT Client is the -- simply the 17 communications link between RT Server and the external systems, whether they're, again, call center operations 18 or web pages or kiosks, ATMs, whatever. 19
- 20 Q. And these -- each of these subsystems also 21 needs to be coupled to the event manager, is that 22 correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. What's your understanding of what that language 25 means, "coupled to the event manager"?

editing, working with campaigns. Campaigns are an

- 1 essential part of the sales process. If you don't have
- 3 campaigns, you don't have offers, you don't have ability
- 4 to communicate the offers. It's the wellspring for the sales process.
- 5

6

11

16

- Q. The campaigns are.
- 7 A. Yeah.
- 8 Q. IA Manager doesn't develop the campaigns, 9 though, is that right?
- 10 A. Develop the campaigns?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. I'm not sure what you mean exactly by it, but 12 it's used to define the campaigns or to edit them. 13
- 14 O. Where did the actual offer -- the terms of the 15 offer come from?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- 17 A. Where do the actual terms of the offer come from? I'm not sure if I can tell you where that is. 18
- 19 Q. Well, I guess maybe I'm not asking it right. 20
- So, if we had somebody using IA, and they wanted to have an offer available to be presented to their customers
- and the offer was, you know, if you buy two, we'll give
- 23 you \$10 off and free shipping.
- 24 A. Yeah.
 - Q. Who decides that we should offer our customers

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

25

Page 54 Page 56 1 that if they buy two, they can get \$10 off and free It's part of the preparation for the sales process, but 2 it's -- it's a necessary element. You can't do the shipping? 3 3 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. sales of the type that the IA product is intended for 4 A. If I remember correctly, that goes with the unless you first have defined these campaigns. marketing folks. And they're actually users of the IA Q. Do you believe that IA Manager is separate from Manager, but I don't know if the IA Manager is what they 6 what you've identified as the event manager, which is 6 7 use for that. I just don't recall that. 7 the RT Server? 8 Q. But it's going to be a person. 8 A. I believe what I said was that RT Server is the 9 9 A. It's going to be a person. event manager part of the -- I'm sorry. You said IA 10 Q. It's not IA Manager that decides, today we're 10 Manager. I was thinking IA. Is it separate from? going to -- this is the deal that we're going to make 11 11 Well, it's certainly separable. So, yes. 12 available. This is the pricing we're going to offer. 12 Q. What do you mean by "separable"? A. In the way that you asked the question, I don't 13 13 A. It's considered independently. It's an application or component that is distinguished by the 14 think so, no. 14 Q. I'm not trying to trick you. 15 15 fact that you have an installation process, you have a 16 A. No, no. I understand. 16 separate log-on capability, you have a requirement to 17 Q. I'm asking vague questions, I apologize. 17 coordinate the method of communication between the IA 18 MR. SPANGLER: Let's try and not talk over Manager and the RT Server. So, yeah, I think it's each other. She's starting to roll her eyes over there. 19 19 sufficiently separate. 20 A. Excuse me. I was just saying, as I understand 20 Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding of what the installation process is for IA Manager? the question you asked, it seemed fairly 21 21 straightforward, and I think the answer is, no, that IA 22 A. I read it at some point. There's -- there are 22 23 Manager does not decide or make any policy decisions 23 a couple of different manuals that either refer to it or 24 about what people are going to do, no. 24 describe it, but I don't recall what it is. Q. Okay. So the marketing manager or the 25 25 Q. How does a user interface with IA Manager? Page 55 Page 57 1 marketing person, whoever it is says, today, this is one MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 1 of the offers we're going to make available. They sit 2 A. As I understand it, the IA Manager uses a web 3 down at their computer and use IA Manager to put that browser as an interface. 3 offer, put that campaign into the system, is that right? 4 4 Q. So the end user would sit at their computer at 5 5 A. Okay. their desk and open up their web browser, and they can 6 Q. Is that correct? 6 then what, type in a URL? 7 7 A. Yes, I think that's right. MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 8 Q. So, when I asked you about how IA Manager 8 A. Or work off of an established link, yes. facilitates a phase of the sales process, if I remember 9 Q. Is there anything on their system other than correctly, your answer, in part, was that, you know, 10 10 the web browser? 11 it's used to develop campaigns -- or I don't know if 11 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 12 that was exactly your language -- and the campaigns are 12 A. I don't think so. the kind of core of the business. Q. Is it -- does the IA Manager reside within the 13 13 14 But I guess with the understanding that 14 RT Server in IA? 15 obviously the campaigns originate the actual terms 15 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 16 outside of the system from a person, and that person A. I think the code bases are related, yes, 16 then inputs that offer into the system through IA 17 17 they're in the same area, yes. Manager, what is your understanding of how IA Manager is 18 18 Q. I think in the rebuttal report, Mr. Kouznetsov 19 facilitating a phase of the sales process? characterized it as IA Manager's implemented entirely 19 20 A. It's the means by which the offers are properly 20 within the RT Server. Do you recall that? recorded and entered into the system. 21 21 A. Yes, I do.

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

22

23

24

Q. Do you agree with that?

A. I certainly don't agree with the conclusion

within" I think is more an argument than a description.

that he drew from it, but the "implemented entirely

Q. What phase of the sales process do you believe

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

A. This will be an early phase of the process.

it's configured to facilitate?

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Page 58

My feeling about that is that -- my opinion about that 1 is that, as I said, there's a separate log-in process,

there is -- even though the installation may be simple,

4 there's still a separate installation requirement, that communications set-up.

6 All of that indicates to me that it's a --7 you know, that it's a separate system. Much of what you do with IA Manager, you can also do with the RT Administrator. Separate ways of getting to similar 10 parts of the information. I think that militates in the 11 view that, yeah, it's a separate piece.

- 12 Q. Could you install IA Manager on a computer without installing RT Server? 13
 - A. I don't think so.

14

1

2

3

- 15 Q. If we look at, for example, RT Administrator, though, you could in that case, is that right? 16
- 17 A. I don't recall. IA Manager, I do recall, and I don't believe that's -- RT Administrator, I don't recall 18 19 the installation for that.
- 20 Q. But for IA Manager, you don't think it could be 21 installed without installing RT Server?
- 22 A. I don't think so, no.
- 23 Q. I think also when you were discussing why it
- 24 was your view that they were separate, you said because
- you had to consider the method of communication between

this, but my take on it, from the question, is that even 14 15 with essentially the same I.D. and password that if he

work, when I read through the docs.

So it's at least conceivable, as I read the

17 have to log into them separately. But certainly, as you said, he could have a separate set of credentials for

But there are also separations of a log-on.

documentation, that you could have a user who has the

ability to use any of those -- any of those systems, and

he would have to log-on three different times; once for

RT Studio. That's just -- that's the way it seemed to

Q. So that person would have different

IA Manager, once for RT Administrator, another time for

credentials, different user name and passwords for each

A. He could have different credentials that way.

I'm not sure -- I don't recall anything specific about

weren't set up with the unified log-on that he would

19 each one of those systems.

of those systems?

20 Q. I'm jumping back a little, but could we talk a little more about the http communication between IA 21 22 Manager and RT Server? And as I said, I'm not a 23 computer scientist, so is there a way you could kind of explain that to me, hopefully not an inaccurate way but 25 maybe a less technical way?

IA Manager and RT Server. I'm not a computer science guy, so I'm probably butchering your words somewhat.

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- 4 A. Yes. There is an http protocol you have to set 5 up between the two systems. 6
 - Q. Between the IA Manager and the RT Server.
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. What's your understanding of the separate log-
- on process that you talked about between IA Manager and RT Server? 10
- 11 A. Just that you can have users who have an IA
- 12 Manager log-on that don't have -- that have restricted
- access to the system, different from the -- for 13
- instance, the RT Administrator log-on or the RT Studio 14
- 15 log-on or some of the others. It's separate.
- 16 Q. Is it a separate log-on, or is it just a matter 17 of giving different users different levels of permission? 18

19 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- 20 A. As I recall, and this may be a little fuzzy,
- but as I recall, in the system, they have the provision 21
- for what they call a unified log-on, which would let, I
- 23 believe, a single user who has multiple authorizations,
- 24 let him log-on to all of his capabilities with one
- 25 operation.

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

A. I can give a simple explanation, which is that you have two different sets of code that can operate, and if they need to exchange information, there are any number of ways that you can do that.

One way that gives you a great deal of flexibility is using the same protocol that's used for web operations, from a web browser to a web server, which is the http connection. And in order to do that between the systems, you have to know from one system to the other what the correct http addressing mechanism is, and so you have to set that up between them.

So, in order for the IA Manager to work properly with the RT Server, you've got to get the RT Server's domain, IP address.

- Q. Okay. Thank you. But I think you said, to begin this, it's essentially two different pieces of code that need to communicate with each other?
 - A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Are there other ways to have two different 21 pieces of code communicate with each other?
- A. Surely. You can have them joined together by 22 23 the fact that one can call the other. You can even have
- 24 it so that you can work calls both ways and either
- 25

communicate through global variables that they share,

Gwendolyn Parker and Associates, 214-747-8007

16 (Pages 58 to 61)

Page 60

Page 61

8

9

13

6

Page 62

memory that they share or have one say, here, I want you to do this work for me, and here are the parameters, here is the data that I want you to work with and here are the places where I want you to return the results.

2

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

25

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

Q. In the context of IA Manager and RT Studio, is there a reason to have selected one of those over the other, or is it just the programmer's option, or is there some feature or functionality that using http provides that, say, just allowing the processes to call each other wouldn't provide?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

A. Well, there can be multiple reasons behind a choice like that. One is that the people -- it's developed by different people, and this is an easy way or, again, a flexible way to hook these things together. It could be that you want to use some of the features of the http protocol to isolate one of the systems from the other. I mean, there are any number of reasons.

It could be that that's the only protocol that these particular programmers know. They can't think of another way of doing it. So, lots of reasons for doing it. Functional reasons would -- you would think would have to do with the features of the http protocol itself, but I don't know for sure why that was done.

Page 64

- 1 Manager does, in that it also works with editing
- campaigns and working with the campaigns. I don't
- 3 recall at the moment what the distinguishing features
- 4 were, but like I say, it's used for similar functions.
- I'm trying to recall if there's a distinction in the
- 6 user base, and I don't remember what it is.
 - Q. You said it's for campaigns?
 - A. I believe that you work with -- I believe
 - that's -- I believe that's correct, that you work with
- 10 campaigns with both the IA Manager and the RT 11 Administrator.
- 12
 - Q. Are you maybe thinking of RT Studio? A. Well, certainly with the RT Studio, but I
- thought the RT Administrator also addressed some of the 14 15 campaign work. I may just have gotten that confused at 16

this point.

- 17 Q. Well, because let me tell you what my 18 understanding is, and we can see if we can find a way to 19 agree. My understanding was that RT Administrator was
- 20 the system that was used to configure kind of the
- 21 technical details of IA, pointing it to data sources, I
- 22 guess configuring the connection between the RT Server
- 23 and RT Client or whatever other kind of --
- 24 A. Okay. Yes, that is a different group than the 25 IA Manager, but I was thinking that it wasn't just with

Page 63

Q. What do you mean by "the features of the http protocol itself"?

A. Well, one of the features of the http protocol, for instance, it's called -- it's what's called a stateless protocol. And that is, unless you do something in particular to get around it, each http request that arrives from a source to a destination is entirely divorced from previous http requests. Okay?

It's simply, I asked you to do this, and once that happens, once the return, if there's any return occurs, once the end of that request is accomplished, the receiver of the message is no longer aware that the other guy ever existed. And so that's one of the features of the http -- one of the most characteristic features of the http protocol.

Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether that particular feature is something that -- that would suggest using that protocol in this particular situation?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. No. As I mentioned, I have no idea why they chose to do that.
- 23 Q. RT Administrator. What's your understanding of 24 what RT Administrator is?
 - A. RT Administrator functions somewhat like the IA

Page 65

- RT Studio that you had the distinction between the 1
- technical users and the management users, but that on RT 3 Administrator that you actually had an overlap in those,
- that you had some technical people, but you also had the 4
- 5 more functional, marketing people, as well.

And I may just be mis-remembering that particular feature of it. But I thought that they had

- 7 8 something to do with managing the campaigns, as well. I
- 9 think I remember that. For administering the setup of
- the interconnection between the RT Server and things 10
- 11 that RT Server has to deal with, out to the clients,
- 12 back to the data.

13 I don't recall whether you set the

- 14 communications with IA Manager from the RT -- because -
- 15 sorry. Now I'm losing a detail that I know that there
- 16 are two of those systems have to have an http link put
- 17 in, and I'm trying to remember if the RT Administrator 18
 - does, as well, and I don't recall.
- 19 Q. As I said to you earlier, this is by no means a 20 memory test, there's a lot of information there. So if
- there is a document that either I've already given you 21
- 22 or something else that might help refresh your
- 23 recollection on this, I'd like to make sure we're
- 24 talking about the details correctly, because I think it
 - may be important. So, if there is something you can

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

11

12

21

22

4

5

8

11

18

Page 66

think of that would help you refresh your recollection, 2 I'd like to give you that opportunity.

A. I could try to go into it, but I think it might take a while. Some of these things I have some recollection about, roughly, where they are, page 3, I can locate that. The information on this level of detail, I don't -- I'm not sure where I would profitably go look.

Q. I guess, as I'm sure you imagine the reason it might become important is because I'm going to ask you, how is it, in your view, that RT Administrator facilitates a phase of the sales process? And I think 12 certainly whether or not it deals with campaigns is going to be relevant to that answer.

15 A. Tell you what, I'll answer it without the 16 campaigns.

17 Q. Okay.

3

4

7

9

10

11

13

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

19

23

25

18 A. I'm sorry.

Q. No, no. Go -- if you think you can answer 19 20 it ---

21 A. Does that put the question -- why don't you ask 22 me the question straightforward?

23 O. Okav.

24 MR. SPANGLER: I think, to the extent it is 25 in the report, as long as it's not abusive, if he can't

Page 68

1 away. As you described earlier, the RT Administrator is

used to set up the communications between the real-time server and other elements, such as the IA Administrator.

4 the -- I'm sorry -- the IA Manager, the RT Studio, the

RT Client.

6 Q. Okay.

A. And the data sources.

8 Q. Okay. But it doesn't deal with campaigns, 9 then.

10 A. Doesn't deal with campaigns, correct.

Q. So, in your opinion, how is RT Administrator configured to facilitate a phase of the sales process?

13 A. Again, the operation of the system, the whole

14 IA system is dependent on the operations of the subsystems that are part of it. If you don't hook them

16 together, they don't talk to each other. If they don't

17 talk to each other, you can't get the interaction

between them that you need. You can't get the smooth

19 flow of data back and forth. 20

And the RT Administrator is a necessary element of making that system function as a system, which is a segment apart and during the sales process.

23 Q. But if we went back to our real world example 24 we talked about earlier, our sales company and they have a building and salespeople sitting there. If nobody

Page 67

find it, you know, relatively quickly, then we move on. 1

But I think you're right, we need an accurate record. MR. DION: I'm more than willing to let him

look. THE WITNESS: All right. Let me just take a few minutes.

MR. SPANGLER: I don't want you to use your time, but let him look for a little bit and see, and if he can't find it, then go on. But it doesn't make it accurate for either one of us.

THE WITNESS: Let me look here, first, then I'll do a little bit of looking here, but I won't take much of your time.

(MR. ZAHER RE-ENTERS ROOM.)

Q. (By Mr. Dion) Were you able to find it?

A. I found something that works here, yes.

17 Q. Okay. Would you mind just identifying what page that is that you're looking at? 18

A. 16 of Exhibit 3.

20 Q. So, has that helped kind of refresh your understanding of --21

22

Q. So, let me ask you the question again, then.

24 What is it that you understand RT Administrator to do?

A. Well, I haven't done that. I improperly put it

Page 69

came in and built the building, those salespeople couldn't be sitting there talking on the phones, trying 3 to sell product.

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

A. Yes, that's true.

6 Q. So, construction guys that built the building, 7 were they facilitating a phase of the sales process?

9 Q. Do you view that as different?

10 A. I view this as different.

O. Why?

12 A. I view this as not building the building, but 13 perhaps more nearly the choice of which communication

system you put in place. You can run runners back and 14

15 forth between the offices, or you can have an intercom.

You can have a telephone. You can have a computer 16 system. 17

And at the level that this is operating, it's an essential -- it's an essential part of making

20 this system go. In the example that you gave about buildings, some building is necessary, but any 21

22 particular one is not.

23 In this case, you have something that is 24 essential to the operation of the system. Without doing 25 what this does, this particular thing does, you don't

18 (Pages 66 to 69)

Gwendolyn Parker and Associates, 214-747-8007

14

19

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

Page 70

have a substitute for the RT Administrator. If you 2 don't have that function there, the system doesn't go.

Q. But the function is to set up the system so it can do the other things that it's designed to do, is that --

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

A. That's one of the functions of the system. It sets up the communications. I don't recall from this if there is -- what the ongoing need for the RT Administrator is. Right. It's essential for the infrastructure for that -- for the application, for the

system that we're talking about. 12 Q. And I want to kind of back up a little, and 13 14 this is somewhat my fault, but the actual language of the claims is that there's a plurality of subsystems 15 configured to facilitate one or more actions performed 16 17 during at least one phase of the sales process.

18 A. Right.

3

4

5

6

7

9 10

11

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

15

16 17

18

Q. What action is RT Administrator facilitating?

20 A. It facilitates the communication between the 21 subsystems, among and between the subsystems.

22 Q. Okay. By configuring it.

23 A. By making it possible. You remember one of the 24 definitions I gave of facilitating was that not only did it makes something easier, but in some circumstances

there is similar, as far as accessing RT Administrator? 1 It's a web interface on the user's computer?

Page 72

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

4 A. I'm not sure if I remembered that. What I did 5 remember was what you asked earlier about, can you run 6 RT Administrator without having RT server running? And 7 the answer would be no.

8 O. Okav.

9 A. But I believe it's very closely analogous to IA 10 Manager.

11 Q. RT Studio. Do you have an understanding of 12 what RT Studio is?

13 A. Some, yes.

Q. And I think on page 17 of Exhibit 3, I don't

15 know if you've looked at that yet or not, but might 16 help.

17 A. Okay. Just a moment here.

18 O. Sure.

A. Right.

20 Q. So, what's your understanding of what RT Studio 21 is?

22 A. Well, RT Studio, this says "managing packages," 23 which is part of or involved with the campaigns. And there are -- part of what the -- part of what you build

in order to make these campaigns function is what you

Page 71

made it possible. This is necessary to make it possible.

Q. Did RT Administrator do that in any kind of ongoing way, or is that something you just do once and then the system --

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

A. Conceivably, you could do it once, but from that one occurrence, you're facilitating the actions that take place later.

Q. I understand, obviously, if you chose to, you could go in and make changes.

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. But using RT Administrator is not, say, a daily or weekly or kind of ongoing obligation of running IA. 14

A. As I understood your question, it was given that it is used once and perhaps never used again, is it still facilitating the sales process? And my answer would be, yes.

19 Q. Okay. And similar question that we talked 20 about IA Manager, is it your opinion that RT Administrator is separate from the RT Server that you 21 have identified as the event manager? 22

23 A. Yes, in much the same sense that I did for IA 24 Manager. 25

Q. Is it your understanding that the functionality

Page 73

1 might think of as application level or manager level type information. These are things that marketing 3 professionals do.

Some of the specifications that you have for this have to be done by people who were trained as IT type professionals. The RT Studio serves as the interface for that second group, the IT types. Now, again, it doesn't mean that you can't have somebody crossing the boundaries there, working on both sides of this, but it gives you the chance to do those types of tasks that are behind all this that are required to --12 that require more technical expertise.

Q. Is it your opinion that RT Studio facilitates one or more actions performed during at least one phase of the sales process?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. What actions do you think it facilitates?

18 A. Again, virtually all the actions that take place off of the -- off of the campaigns, that this is a 20 necessary operation for getting your campaigns properly set up, properly defined, properly installed, ready to 21 22 gο.

23 Q. Are there any subsystems of IA that you 24 identified that you don't think facilitate the sales process?

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Page 74

1 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

A. Any that I identified?

2

3

6

7

9

22

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

24

25

- Q. Or any that you're aware of?
- 4 A. I don't know of any. I would -- I can't think 5 of any at the moment.
- Q. I mean, you know, we've talked about each of these, and I think you said all of them perform some critical role to the system. My guess is that all the components of IA are performing some important role to 10 the system, and that if you removed any one component, 11 the system wouldn't function.
- 12 A. I don't know that that's true. So, I can't --13 I can't say. I don't know.
- 14 Q. The system is designed to be a sales system, 15 right?
- 16 A. I believe it is, yes.
- 17 Q. And so the subsystems -- each of the subsystems 18 that are a part of it, I guess, have the same role kind 19 of, along the lines of what we discussed about IA 20 Manager and RT Administrator and RT Studio, which is that if you don't -- if the system doesn't have that 21 functionality, then it can't function kind of in a big
- 23 sense as a sales system, is that right? 24 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.
- 25 A. I don't know that that's true. Just as a for

have a subsystem within your system that's unrelated to the sales process.

Page 76

(MR. ZAHER LEAVES ROOM.)

- Q. (By Mr. Dion) What would that look like?
- 5 A. I'm not sure. I didn't look at the system that 6 way. I don't recall seeing anything like that. But, I 7 mean, you asked the question, are all conceivable 8 subsystems necessary? And my answer, I think, is no.
 - Q. Can you think of an example of a subsystem within a sales system that wouldn't facilitate one or more phases of the sales process?
 - A. I can come up with something probably facetious, just off the top, but I'm not sure that -well, okay, let's try something. You sell a component that is a game, that lets the users of IA play Solitary.

Like I said, yes, there are limits, and just exactly what those limits are, I don't know. I just looked at the stuff that I had available to me.

- Q. When you looked at the system -- I guess the systems that are described in the specifications of the '525 Patent, did you see anywhere in there subsystems that, in your view, weren't configured to facilitate a phase of the sales process?
- A. I don't think I looked for any like that. I 25 don't recall.

Page 75

instance, you could eliminate any one of the RT Clients and everything else works. You might not be able to work on IBM MQ series systems, but you could still run the rest of the system.

I don't know for sure, but it's at least conceivable that you could -- I'm not quite sure if this works, but it's at least conceivable that you could work with RT Studio without IA Manager. I'm not sure about that. But there is a great deal of overlap there.

- O. I guess, you know, the system or the -- excuse me -- the claim, Claim 1, the preamble says that it's a sales system, and then this first element further specifies a plurality of subsystems.
 - A. Correct.
- 14 Q. And then it says that that plurality of subsystems have to be configured to facilitate one or sales process. I guess what I'm trying to understand is, in your view, does that second clause, "configured to facilitate one or more actions performed during at least one phase of the sales process," does that really limit the claim anything more than just requiring a 23 plurality of subsystems, in your view?
 - more actions performed during at least one phase of the
 - MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

A. Well, it's at least possible that you could

Page 77

- 1 Q. So, I think we've talked about the RT Clients. Let me go back to that. You said today that you think 3 the multiple RT Clients, you view each one of them as a
- 4 separate subsystem --5

somewhere?

- A. Correct. 6 Q. -- to IA. Does it say that in your report 7
- 8 A. I believe it does, yes. Identified in Footnote 9 7 that the clients are -- you know, where they're 10
 - Q. Does it state there, in your view, that the existence of multiple clients creates multiple subsystems?
 - A. I think so. But we also reference, again -here, let me just pull this up. Exhibit 3, page 2. Those clients are identified as independent, separate items. You have a com client, an http client, a socket client, Java client, MQ series client. I think it's clear that those are different gizmos.
 - Q. Does it say in here that your view is that each one of those different RT Clients is a separate subsystem for the purposes of satisfying the plurality of subsystems limitation?
- 24 A. I believe so, yes.
 - Q. Where does it say that?

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

10

15

16

17

21

23

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Page 78

1 A. I appeal to the exhibit and also to the 2 footnote there. I believe I separately mention, at least looking at the footnote, the Java client and the http client, the -- I think the designation generally located at the DM client area refers to the 6 separateness, but yes, I believe that's there.

Q. Okay. So we talked about the RT Clients. We talked about the IA Manager, RT Administrator and RT Studio. And I think we've agreed that, at least for purposes of this section of your report, OM and S&S are not relevant. Then the other thing identified here is multiple data sources as potentially a subsystem, is that right?

A. Yes. 14

7

9

10

11

12

13

1

2

3

4

6 7

8

9

10

11

15 Q. Does IA always have multiple data sources?

16 A. I don't know. I know that IA has its own RTDB, 17 and it refers to the -- it's referring to multiple data 18 sources in the documentation. Whether those data

19 sources, multiple data sources are always necessary, I 20 don't know. 21

Q. Does it always have at least one data source?

22 A. I believe it always operates out of the RTDB,

23 at the very least, yes.

24 Q. And what is the RTDB?

25 A. Real-time database contains -- well, again, let

Page 80

work differently than other databases, that you're aware 3 of?

I'm sure, numerous different structures. Does the RTDB

4 A. If I understand correctly, I think the answer 5 to that is no. The RTDB is a database. And both the 6 accesses to get information out of it and to put 7 information into it are more or less the types of 8 accesses that one would make with any other database.

Q. Is this table here, is that a list of the types of information that could be stored on RTDB?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

11 12 A. Grossly, because it's a list of tables, not necessarily of the detailed fields or individual data 13 items in each table, but it's a list of tables. 14

Q. Okay. So, within those tables, there would be individual data fields?

A. Yes.

18 Q. Is it your opinion that the RTDB is configured 19 to facilitate actions occurring during a phase of the 20 sales process?

A. Certainly.

22 Q. And what's the basis for that opinion?

A. Again, without this information, you don't have

24 an -- you don't have a functioning system. It's

facilitated -- it facilitates, probably as much as any

Page 79

me go back and look here because --

O. Please.

A. There are distinctions between.

MR. SPANGLER: Joel, while he's doing that, you want to come up with a plan for -- make sure you guys have time for your seven hours? I mean, I'm flexible, I just want to make sure.

MR. DION: Are you --

A. Whenever you're ready.

MR. SPANGLER: I'm ready when you are. I just wondered -- sure.

A. Okay. Page 7 of Exhibit 3. 12

Q. Thank you. Okay. 13

A. This talks about the tables in the RTDB, and 14 has an extensive list of what's there.

15 16

O. But it's a database?

17 A. Yes.

Q. Obviously, every different database has 18 whatever structures the programmers have given it, but 19 is it fundamentally different than any other database? 20 21

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

22 A. I'm not sure what you mean by "fundamentally 23 different."

24 Q. I guess every -- as I said, every database kind 25 of has its unique structure. You could give databases, 1 part of the system, almost every phase of the sale 2 process.

Q. Would it be possible to set up IA, and rather than using RTDB, use some other database?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

A. I'm not sure what you mean. Some other database with all the same information in it? Because the way that IA works is, it works off of processes that expect certain types of information, and without that information, the processes stop.

So, I'm not sure what you mean by "another database." Could it be implemented with Oracle or Sequel server or DB2 or some other? Yes. But with regards to the information here, to the extent you change the information, you change the operation of IA. At some point, it loses its nature, but I --

Q. And that was my question. So you could point IA, instead of to the RTDB, you could point it to a Sequel server? A. I don't recall whether the RTDB is implemented

using the Sequel server; that is, does it use its own --21 22 does it use the Sequel server as the background database

23 in which the stuff is structured and stored? I don't

24 remember. It's the -- it's the data. It's the

25 information that's in it that we're talking about. At

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

Page 81

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

5

6

7

8

14

15

16

17

18

20

Page 82 Page 84

engine?

- 1 least I think that's what we're talking about.
- 2 Q. Okay. So the language here that refers to -it says "E.piphany applications can read from and write 4 to multiple data sources." Do you have an understanding of what is meant by "multiple data sources"? 6
 - A. The -- where are you?
- 7 Q. I'm sorry. I was looking at your report, page 16. At the top, it's that quote. You quoted that from 9 a document.
- 10 A. I'd have to go back to it and see. In the 11 context here -- I believe, in the context here, it refers to sources of data outside the RTDB. 12
- 13 Q. It talks about, it's possible to create connections to external data sources? 14
- 15 A. Yes.

16 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

17 Q. (By Mr. Dion) Do you understand that to mean 18 external to IA?

19 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 O. Is RTDB internal to IA?
- A. Yes. 22

23 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. Please give me at least one second to get the objection on the 25

record.

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

19 20

21

Page 83

THE WITNESS: Sorry.

MR. DION: Do you want to just stipulate that you object to every question I ask?

MR. SPANGLER: I've let a lot go, but you can't do running objections in East Texas, so no.

Q. (By Mr. Dion) If, in addition to RTDB, you pointed IA to one of these external data sources, in your opinion, would that be another subsystem?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. I believe it could be, yes.
- 11 Q. You say it could be. Why do you qualify it 12 that way?
- 13 A. I believe it's possible that some of the external data sources could be treated as outside the 14 15 system that we're talking about, whereas others, under 16 the right circumstances, would be considered inside the 17 system.
 - Q. Okay. If we assume that they're properly treated as part of the system or inside the system, would they then be, in your view, an additional subsystem?
- 22 A. Not just as a block of data, but as the system 23 that's used to access the data, access, read and write
- 24 to it. So, for the RTDB, you have the data engine as a 25 subsystem. For any of these others, it would be

Q. Yeah. What do you mean by "data engine"? A. It's the subsystem that's -- that treats with accesses to and from the RTDB. Q. So, the data engine part of RT Server? MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. A. In much the same sense, I suppose, that the IA Manager would be part of the RT Server. I'm not sure -actually, I'm not sure. I don't know. The data engine may operate on its own without the RT Server running. I don't know that. Page 85

whatever you wrote to function with that. I think

that's correct. I think that's including the data, but

would include the accesses to and from.

A. I believe that's correct, yes.

Q. Where is the data engine?

A. Where is the data engine?

where is the executing program?

that the system wouldn't be just the corpus of data, it

(MR. ZAHER RE-ENTERS ROOM.)

you say that the RTDB could be one of the subsystems,

does that mean the RTDB in conjunction with the data

Q. Where does it reside within the system?

Q. (By Mr. Dion) So, I guess stepping back, when

A. I'm not sure what you mean. You talking about,

1 Q. But when we talk about, as I said, the RTDB as 2 a subsystem, we mean the RTDB in conjunction with the 3 data engine? 4

A. In conjunction with the software that's necessary to communicate with it, yes.

- Q. And I think, as you said, the same would be true for any other data source?
 - A. Correct.
- 9 Q. And would it also be your opinion that if IA 10 was connected to other external data sources, and we 11 treat them as subsystems, that they would also be 12 configured to facilitate an action during the sales 13 process?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. Again, not necessarily, but yes, they could be.
- Q. Why do you say "not necessarily"?
- A. For the same reason that I said that you could have subsystems that didn't serve. So, if you have a database that records all these Solitaire games that people play, I doubt that it would be facilitating a sale.
- 21 22 Q. And just to confirm, Element A-2, which is an 23 event manager coupled to the subsystems, when you looked 24 at IA, your opinion is that RT Server is the event 25 manager?

22 (Pages 82 to 85)

Page 86

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

4

5

6

7

8

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 A. Yes.

9

- 2 Q. And I think we touched on this earlier, but that "coupled to the subsystems" language, what do you 4 understand that to mean, "coupled to"?
- A. Generally, in communications with or operating 6 in conjunction with.
- 7 Q. Did you review the Court's memorandum on claim 8 construction in this case?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- 10 Q. Do you recall if there was language in there 11 about that term "coupled to"?
- 12 A. I don't recall the specific language, no.
- 13 MR. DION: Andrew, I apologize, we're one 14 short on this.
- 15 MR. SPANGLER: You know what? You're in luck, because I've got it right here. 16
- 17 MR. DION: I have one for him, I just --
- 18 MR. SPANGLER: No, I have mine right here. 19 (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 2 MARKED.)
- 20 Q. (By Mr. Dion) I'm going to hand you a document 21 that's been marked as Exhibit No. 2. Have you seen that 22 document before?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And what is that document?
- A. It's the Memorandum Opinion Markman Ruling. 25

function. And, yes, that's what I took this to mean.

2 Q. Whether or not there was a separately definable 3 function?

Page 88

Page 89

- MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.
- A. Correct. Well, more or less. Again, I identified systems that we disagree as to whether they're coupled to. But, in my view, the degree of separation shown and the treatment of them as separate applications and -- or separate components or -- and then the other indicia, like, you know, log-ons, and so forth. So that, to my mind, is separation of the event manager from the RT Server, yes.
- Q. Is separable the same as separate, in your view?
- 15 A. When it operates separately, yes.
- 16 Q. I don't know if I understand what you mean 17 exactly by that. Could you explain that a little bit?
- 18 A. Well, like I said, the -- going back to the --19 you look at RT Studio, RT Administrator, IA Manager, 20 look at any of those three things, they have a separate log-in, they have a separate user base, they have
- 21 22 separate help systems, they are identified as
- 23 applications, they are -- they operate separately from
- 24 the event manager function of RT Server. I believe
- 25 they're separate, yes.

Page 87

- 1 Q. And you've read that before --
- 2 A. Oh, yes.
- 3 Q. -- in connection with this case? If I could
- 4 have you turn to page 8. Do you see the paragraph 5 starting "both sides' definitions"?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And then the third sentence, "the term coupled to"? 8
- 9 A. Right.
- Q. And it says, "the term coupled to necessarily 10 implies that the event manager is separate from the 11 12 various subsystems."
 - A. Right.

13

- 14 Q. What do you understand that to mean?
- 15 A. Just that it's distinguishable, that the
- subsystem has an operation that is separate from the 16 17 operation of the event manager.
- 18 Q. So, when you were looking at the Infor product to determine whether they met the limitations, were you 19
- 20 looking to see whether or not the subsystems you 21 identified were separate from the event manager?
- 22 A. Well, again, I don't think that we have the
- 23 same notion of what the "separate from" means. What I
- 24 look for was whether they have a separate operation,
 - whether they have a separate function, a separable

- 1 Q. Okay. You may be right that we have a 2 different understanding of what "separate" means, but I 3 guess --
 - A. I understand that we may, yes.
 - Q. But based on your understanding of the term "separate," you examined IA to determine whether or not these subsystems were separate, is that correct?
 - A. Yes, I believe I did.
- 9 Q. Okay. And it's your view that each of those systems you identified is separate from the RT Server. 10 11
 - A. Separate from the event manager, yes.
- 12 Q. Is there a distinction there?
 - A. There's some distinction, yes, because the RT
- 14 Server has multiple functions in it, so yeah.
- 15 Q. So, your report says that --
 - A. The RT Server is the event manager, yes.
 - Q. Okay. So, I asked the question, is it your opinion that those subsystems are separate from the RT Server? And your response is they're separate from the event manager.
 - A. Fair enough. The distinction is that to the extent that you describe these as being part of or dependent on the RT Server, even if you consider them as
- 24 somehow part of the RT Server, they're nevertheless
- 25 subsystems of the RT Server, and they're separate from

23 (Pages 86 to 89)

Page 90

Page 92

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

9

20

the RT Server. 1

2

4

5

6

7

8

11

So, am I saying that the IA Manager is the event manager? Then the answer is no. Even if you consider it part of the RT Server, it's not.

- Q. Do you not consider it part of the RT Server?
- A. Actually, no, I don't. But even if you do, I don't think it's part of the -- I don't think it's the event --
- 9 Q. Why don't -- I think we're talking about IA 10 Manager, specifically, here.
 - A. Correct.
- 12 Q. Why do you not consider that part of the RT 13 Server?
- 14 A. Hang on. I consider it separate from the RT 15 Server, for the reasons that I've stated, okay?
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 A. And that's just the way that I see it. The designation as an application, the characterization as a 18 19 component, all the other things that I've talked about. 20 So, the -- now, I've kind of lost the thread of what we 21 were talking about here.
- 22 Q. Let's see if we can get back there.
- 23 A. Okav.
- 24 Q. I understand it's your opinion that the IA
- manager, for instance, is separate from the RT Server.
 - Page 91

- 1 A. Fair enough.
- 2 Q. You, at some point during one of your answers, 3 said that even if you view IA Manager as part of the RT
- 4 Server, it's still your opinion that they're separate,
- 5 is that correct?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. So, then, my question to you is: Do you view
- 8 IA Manager as part of the RT Server?
- 9 A. No, I don't.
- 10 Q. Okay. Is that because you believe it to be 11 separate from the RT Server?
- 12 A. No. I understand the reason for going through
- 13 this. It's just that the -- just applying the --
- applying the construction of the Court, "coupled to," he 14
- says, necessarily implies that it's separate. And in
- that respect, it is separate. Okay? That's all that 16
- 17 I -- all that I meant to say. The -- I believe it is
- 18 coupled to. I believe it is separate.
- 19 But I think that the impression that I get 20 is that you're using part of in a way that doesn't apply 21 to this, and that I think is where the struggle is.
- 22 Q. You very well could be right. Let me ask you 23 this, and see if this is more or maybe less helpful.
- 24 A. Okay.

25

Q. You've talked at least to some degree about

- functionality. 1
 - A. Right.
 - Q. As some notion of functionality relating somehow to separateness.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. Do you think that the Court's suggestion or the Court's statement that "coupled to necessarily implies that the event manager is separate from the various subsystems," is there anything structural to that
- 10 statement, in your view?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- 12 A. Do you mean in the sense that the source code 13 for the two separate parts have to be in different files or in different directories or compiled by different 14 15 compilers or --
 - Q. That could be one way. I think that would satisfy what I'm saying. I don't know that what I'm saying is necessarily limited to that. For instance, I think you said earlier that your understanding is that you couldn't operate IA manager without RT Server.
 - A. I believe that IA manager won't operate in the absence of RT Server.
- 23 Q. So, at some level in the code, there must be 24 some overlap there that creates that scenario, I guess. 25 Again, I'm not a computer scientist.

Page 93

- MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.
- 2 A. Let me try this, that there is an operational
- 3 dependency between these things is manifest; that is, IA
- 4 Manager doesn't make sense without the RT Server
- 5 operating. The fact of the dependency doesn't mean that
- it's a part of it. That, I think, is where the
- 7 distinction may -- that may be a reasonably good
- 8 characterization of the distinction in our views.
 - Q. Okay. I think this is a good time for a break.
- 10 A. Okay.

11 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 11:52, we're off 12

13 (OFF THE RECORD FROM 11:52 A.M. TO 12:39 P.M.)

14 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:39, we're

15 back on the record. 16

(MR. ZAHER AND MR. GEORGE ARE NOT PRESENT.)

- 17 Q. (By Mr. Dion) Looking at page 16 of your
- 18 report, under the heading "Claim 1, element a2 -
- 19 construction."
 - A. Yes.
- 21 Q. It says there, the last sentence, that other
- 22 than the terms event manager and subsystem, your
- 23 analysis construed the other terms in accordance with
- 24 their ordinary and customary meaning to one of skill in
- 25 the art. Do you see where it says that?

24 (Pages 90 to 93)

Case 6:07-cv-00067-LED Document 307-2 Filed 09/17/09 Page 26 of 71 Page 94 Page 96 Q. Okay. And in Footnote 11, which is referenced 1 A. Yes. 1 2 2 from the section on engines, you mention in there a data Q. We were talking a little bit before the lunch break about the term "coupled," and as a corollary to 3 access engine. that, the language in the Court's order about 4 A. Yes. 5 5 "separate". Q. A few minutes ago, we were talking about the 6 6 A. Yes. RTDB and --7 7 A. Yes. Q. Is it your view that "coupled," as -- its 8 ordinary and customary meaning to one skilled in the Q. We talked about that the RTDB, in conjunction 9 art, is that the same as what the Judge had to say about with the -- I think you said data engine was the 9 10 "coupled"? 10 subsystem. Just so that we're clear on the terminology, 11 11 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. when you were saying data engine, were you referring 12 A. What the Judge said was that "coupled to" 12 to --13 includes the notion of separate. Isn't that correct? 13 A. I was referring to the data access engine, yes. Q. It's on page 8. 14 Q. Okay. At Footnote 12 you say, "the RT Server 14 A. No. The term "coupled to" necessarily implies 15 15 applies equally to a system with a single server or a that the event manager is separate from the various 16 server deployment group." What do you mean by that? 16 subsystems. That's -- I realize it's not claim 17 A. Just that the term RT Server is meant to 17 18 construction of another term, but I used that as the 18 include the circumstance where you have multiple 19 guide for the way I applied it. 19 computers running multiple copies of the RT Server 20 Q. That's what I was looking for, just to 20 software, or conceivably multiple copies of the RT 21 21 Server software running on a single computer. understand the language here, it's unclear, and just to 22 Q. That would be referred to as a deployment 22 confirm --23 A. Fair enough. I understand what you're asking, 23 group? 24 24 A. Yes. now, yes. 25 Q. And so what you applied in your analysis --25 Q. So, in the scenario where there was a Page 95 Page 97 deployment group --1 A. Yes. 1 2 2 Q. -- was that language. 3 A. Yes. 3 Q. -- I guess the question, then, is you say that the term RT Server applies equally, so would the RT 4 Q. You viewed that as the Court -- I don't want to 5 Server, as you've used it here, then apply to the entire say construing -- but explaining that term. A. Not construing, but it didn't seem to be 6 deployment group? 6 7 7 worthwhile to try to press that particular issue in any (MR. ZAYER AND MR. GEORGE RE-ENTER ROOM.) 8 8 A. I'm not quite sure if I understand what you're 9 Q. Okay. The top of page 17, when you're talking 9 saying. Now, what I meant by that is that you may have multiple copies of the RT server. That each of those about the RT Server, you mention -- you say, "examples 10 10 copies is an RT Server. 11 of engines which are within the RT Server include the 11 12 Q. Okay. And does each of those, then, operate Mdap engine." Why is the discussion of engines raised 13 independently of each other? 13 here in this section of the report?

- 14 A. I was just trying to give some feel for how 15 the -- how the server is made up.
 - Q. What are engines?
- 17 A. What are engines?
- Q. Yes. 18

16

- 19 A. An engine can be almost anything. In this
- 20 circumstance, the engines identified are the Mdap
- engine. I thought I identified more than just the Mdap 21
- engine. Oh, there's a reference to the DmEngines, 22
- 23 generally. I just thought it was worth recognizing that
- 24 the -- even -- that the RT Server is not just a block,
- okay? It's made up of parts.

- 14 A. As I understand the deployment group, you wind up with one of the RT Servers being the coordinator of 15 16 the group of RT Servers.
- Q. So, if there was a scenario with a deployment 17 group, I guess what I'm trying to understand is, how 18 19 does that impact the opinions that you have here, if at
- 20 21 A. Well, it impacts the opinions in several 22 different ways, one of which is that the -- I think it's -- I think it's clear from the citation here that
- 23 24 the IA Manager, that however you may consider it to be a
- 25 part of a single RT Server, is not a part of the -- each

25 (Pages 94 to 97)

Page 98 Page 100 1 of the RT Servers in the deployment group, and is more If you have a deployment group, is the IA Manager that recognizably separate from the -- from the secondary RT is a part of the primary RT Server a subsystem of the Servers than it is from the primary. secondary RT Server? (MR. ZAHER, MR. GEORGE AND MR. OLEKSIUK LEAVE ROOM.) A. No. 5 Q. (By Mr. Dion) So the IA Manager that is in or Q. That's -- I think that's the question I want to 6 working with the primary RT Server. 6 ask, so we'll just leave it at that. The engines that A. Right. 7 7 you refer to that you say are within the RT Server, are 8 Q. Is more definably separate from the other RT 8 they separate from the RT Server? Servers than -- I guess relative back to the 9 A. In much the same way I described the IA conversation we were having about whether or not it's 10 10 Manager, they can be, yes. 11 secondary from the primary RT Servers. 11 Q. Are they separate from the event manager? 12 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 12 A. I think you can separate the data engine. I A. That whatever our analysis is, whatever our 13 13 don't believe you can separate the Mdap engine. 14 disagreements may be with respect to the single RT 14 Q. Why do you say that? I guess -- let me Server, I think there's less room for disagreement when 15 15 withdraw that question. When you say you can separate 16 you have a deployment group. the data engine but you don't think you can separate the 16 17 Q. Okay. So, if you have a deployment group and 17 Mdap engine -then you have an IA manager, the IA Manager that you use 18 18 A. Right. 19 would be connected to or working with the primary RT 19 Q. Do you mean it's not -- there's no way to 20 Server, is that your understanding? 20 conceive of them as separate, or for purposes of your 21 A. I believe that's correct, yes. 21 analysis relative to the claim language --Q. What would be the event manager in that 22 22 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 23 deployment group? 23 Q. (By Mr. Dion) -- you can't separate them? 24 A. Well, you could actually wind up with multiple 24 MR. SPANGLER: Same objection. event managers in that circumstance. Each of the RT 25 A. I don't think that the -- I don't think that Page 99 Page 101 1 Servers is recognizably an event manager. the RT Server -- how do I put this -- is the RT Server 2 Q. Okay. So, if we were to focus on, say, one of without the Mdap engine, okay? I think that you can 3 the secondary RT Servers, and we'll call it RT Server 2. 3 distinguish the data services from the operation of the 4 A. Okay. 4 RT -- of the Mdap engine. 5 5 Q. Is the IA Manager from the primary RT Server a Q. Okay. And when you say you can separate the subsystem to that secondary RT Server? 6 data, does that mean you could create a system different 6 7 A. No. 7 than IA where that was separate from the RT Server, or 8 Q. Okay. 8 does that mean that in this case you can view them as 9 9 MR. SPANGLER: Could you repeat the separate? 10 10 question, please? MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 11 (THE RECORD WAS READ BACK.) 11 A. I'm not sure there's really a distinction 12 MR. SPANGLER: Thank you. 12 there. I think you can view the data access engine as a subsystem of the RT Server. I don't see how to do that 13 A. Sorry. As you read the question, you said a 13 "subsystem to"? I heard it as a "subsystem of." If you 14 14 with the Mdap engine. 15 meant "of," my answer is no. If you meant "to," I have 15 Q. Okay. You talked briefly about the deployment 16 to take it a little differently. group. I asked you what would be the event manager in 16 17 Q. I think I meant "of". 17 the deployment group? A. Yes. 18 A. Okay. 18

the event manager has to carry out in the system, is

26 (Pages 98 to 101)

Q. And as I recall, you said that you could

A. Functioning as an event manager, yes.

Q. As we look at the -- I guess the remaining

claim limitations, there's certain functionality that

conceive of it as having each RT Server being a separate

19

20

21

22

23

24

event manager?

Q. Although I'm not sure if I fully appreciate the

distinction in answering the question one way versus the

Q. (By Mr. Dion) Well, let me ask this question.

you -- just to clear it up, why don't you ask that

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. Why don't

19 20

21

22

24

25

other.

question again?

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

21

Page 102

1 that right?

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

6

7

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

23

- A. Yes.
- Q. In a deployment group, then, would each separate RT Server be performing each of those steps?
 - A. Yes, I believe that's correct.
- Q. Is there any difference between what we've termed a deployment group and a company just running multiple copies of IA on separate computers?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

A. I don't recall seeing a set -- well, certainly, if you run multiple copies, you can run multiple copies, as many as you want. I expect that the circumstance where you're running the deployment group, you're expecting that each of these deployment groups, while sharing the load of the overall process, is still serving more or less a single -- I'm not sure how to express this -- but working off of a -- maybe a single database or some other unifying part of this.

So, you're running multiple copies, conceivably just because if one of them goes down, the load can be picked up by another one. I mean, it could be simple redundancy, but it could also be that you want to coordinate the operation of physically separate offices or something like that.

Q. If you have each separate instance of RT Server

1 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 2

A. I don't think so. I believe that the RT Server still functions as the event manager, and I believe the identified subsystems are still identifiable subsystems. As I said, some of the identification is a little bit easier, when you're talking about a deployment group because it's more clear -- it's clearer in that circumstance than some of the "included" -- I'm sorry, could I put quotes around that as I speak it? Some of the "included" systems, like the IA Manager, are clearly separate from the second and third RT servers. So, no, 12 my analysis doesn't change, I don't believe.

Q. Okay. The next element, element b, as you've parsed them, is "detecting one more changes in state characteristic of an event occurring within the system." So, if we go back to the example we talked about earlier this morning of one way that IA functions, the customer that calls in with a complaint, in that scenario, could you identify what you would view as the detection of a change in state?

A. Yes. Yes. I think that the detection of a change in state is the recognition by the RT Server that it has received a message.

Q. And I think in your report you talk about, again, for example, when the RT Client sends a request

Page 105

Page 104

Page 103

- 1 functioning as its own event manager -- where am I going 2 with this? Guess you don't know the answer to that
- 3 question.
- 4 A. Not yet. 5
- Q. If you have multiple copies of RT Server, and your testimony is that each of them at least could function as an independent event manager, as far as your infringement analysis goes, is there any difference there versus me just being a big company and deciding to buy, say, five copies of IA and set them up, you know, 10 11 maybe one per physical location?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. Is there any difference? There are operational differences. I tend to think of the infringement analysis as just being a binary, it's an on-off kind of thing, either you're in there or you're not. So, you're not just a little bit infringing, you're infringing or you're not.
- Q. I understand that. I guess if I asked you to revisit the analysis you did and try to locate each element in a system, and instead of saying to you, the system is just IA, I said to you, the system is IA where there's a deployment group, would you have identified anything differently in any of the elements to say that 25 I found infringement?

1 to the RT Server.

- A. Right.
- Q. You're identifying the same event?
- A. The RT Client sends it, the RT Server receives it.
- Q. Okay. So, in our example, that message happens to be about a complaint. At this stage, does IA know or understand or care what the content of that message is?
- A. I don't believe so. I believe that the receipt of the message tells them that something has changed.
 - Q. Okay. What state changed in that example?
- 12 A. Well, the state of can be something as simple 13 as the change in a variable, the value of a variable.
- In this case, the state that is changed is that where in 14
- 15 the previous state there was no message.
- 16 Q. So, RT Server is sitting there waiting, it's 17 idle, I guess, essentially, and a message comes in from
- 18 RT Client, it detects that before time period one, there's no message, time period two, there is a message,
- 20 and that's the change in state?
 - A. Yes. That is a change in state.
- 22 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.
- 23 Q. (By Mr. Dion) In our example, that's the 24 change in state that you're referring to.
- 25 A. Yes.

27 (Pages 102 to 105)

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 106

Q. How does RT Server detect that change in state? I guess what I mean by that is, is it polling for messages? Is there some other mechanism that triggers RT Server to recognize that a message is coming?

1

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

6 7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

A. I don't know that I recall the mechanism. I believe that the creation of the message -- I don't remember the names of the procedures involved, but there is -- I'm sorry -- objects that are created. But it's essentially the creation, the existence of a message object that comes in, that the existence of the object triggers IA -- I'm sorry -- RT Server.

Q. Okay. So, what is the event that that particular detected change in state is characteristic of?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

A. Well, it's actually characteristic of multiple events. It's characteristic of all communications through the RT Client. Whether the event is a transaction at an ATM machine or in our call center that we talked about or anywhere else, the fact is that the 22 arrival of the message says something happened out there that we need to pay attention to. It is characteristic of an event. It's

characteristic of the particular event that spawned it,

1 within the system. So, in the case of IA Manager, it just says that something has happened in the operation 3 of this whole system.

Page 108

Page 109

Q. Okay. But I guess, for instance, maybe you could say that the change in state, that message receipt is characteristic of an event occurring outside the system, also, like the customer calling in.

A. Okay. The customer calling in is not in and of itself necessarily an event, for purposes of this particular piece here, but that event is very closely identified with events that occur within the system. It's hard to distinguish them.

There's almost an identity between the customer action and the -- and the event that is -that's brought up there. It's easy to talk about the customer event, but the event is actually in the software that recognizes something that the customer has done, ves.

Q. Okay. And then the next step, the next claim element is "inferring occurrence of the event in a context in which the event occurred based at least in part on the detected change in state."

A. Correct.

Q. Is it your understanding, when that claim element refers to "the event," is it referring to the

Page 107

but it's also characteristic of almost any other event that could occur.

Q. So, your understanding of this claim element is the detected changes in state could be characteristic merely of the fact that some event occurred? Am I understanding you correctly?

A. No, it says specifically of an event. But the thing is, once again, until you unpack the message, you don't know what event you're talking about. But it's characteristic of an event occurring. Some event occurring.

And so, yes, I mean, that's -- the RT Server, upon receipt of the message, detects that I have a change in state, that change in state is characteristic of an event occurring, both a specific event and events, generally.

Q. And I think that claim language also goes on that it's characteristic of an event occurring within the system.

A. Correct.

21 Q. What do you understand that "within the system" 22

23 A. "Within the system"? Well, I'm not sure how to 24 answer that. "Within the system" just means just that, within the system, that it's an event that happened

same event that was referenced in the prior claim element?

3 A. Well, right. The prior claim element is not specific about it, but there is an event that occurs. 4

5 Now, the detection, the initial detection is agnostic, 6 it doesn't know which event we're talking about, but

7 whatever event actually spawned it, that's the event 8 we're talking about here. Is that --

9 Q. No, fair enough, that is responsive, yes. So, you've talked about this message comes in, and then the 10 11 system will unpack the message.

A. Yes.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

Q. What do you mean by that, when you say the system unpacks the message?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

A. When the message comes in, it's this glob of data or glob of information. The RT Server has to parse it. It has to recognize what the different pieces within the message represent before it knows how to act, what to do. And now that I've gotten that far, I've forgotten what the question was.

22 Q. The question was, how does the system unpack 23 the message?

24 A. Okay. So the unpacking is simply running 25 through, parsing through the -- in the example we looked

28 (Pages 106 to 109)

Gwendolyn Parker and Associates, 214-747-8007

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 110

at earlier, you've got a string of characters that's 2 broken into three lines, but just long string of characters. You've got to go through and pick out which part represents what piece of information I'm supposed to work with.

Q. Are there any -- are there any limits to the type of information that can be transmitted in that event message?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. There's certainly physical limits on the quantity of information. On the type of information? I don't -- I'm not sure what you mean by limits on the type.
- 14 Q. I guess, functionally, from IA itself, does 15 IA -- can IA only accept, you know, certain categories of information in that message, or is it possible to set 16 17 it up --
- 18 A. Well --

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

20

1

2

3

4

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

19 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry?

MR. SPANGLER: I said, objection, form.

21 22 A. You can set up IA, as I understand it, to 23 respond to any number of different types of information, so just off the top of my head, I'm not sure of the type of information that would be impossible to do. More or

Page 112

If I recognize the event, then my actions depend on what I have -- you know, what I'm supposed to do given the different types of events that I see. So, it's that whole process. It's not -- it's a non-trivial process to go through and pick all this stuff out and decide what you want to do and then direct doing it.

Q. Is all of what you've just described, is that just the inferring step, or does that start to bleed over into some of the other, I guess, actions that the claim talks about?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

A. You infer the occurrence of the event, so the 13 occurrence of the event, I guess, you know, going back to what we were talking about, the -- I actually don't know for sure whether you can have messages, significant messages that go back and forth that are not event -that don't identify an event, I'm not sure.

18 But the fact that you have a message that 19 includes an event, so you have the occurrence of the 20 event, and then you have the context in which the event occurs, which is -- can be both the actual event, and 21 22 its context can at least be partially communicated by 23 which event it is, and then you have the other 24 information in the message that may also describe the context that you're in.

Page 111

less practical perhaps, but not -- I don't know about a possibility limit.

Q. And in your report, the top of page 19, you say that the RT Server detects a change in state and then infers that a campaign event or offer acceptance event is taking place. How does the system infer that a campaign event or offer acceptance event is taking place?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

A. As a result of unpacking that message. Again, go back to the example that we had where you have the expression the &Event=, and then it gives a string, a point event, I believe, that it goes to the process of analyzing that whole message and picking apart, looking for the pieces that it can use to figure out what type of message this is.

So, in this case, it goes in, it says, I have a message here that has an event identified; that is, I have event information in the message, and given that I have event information, I then look to see what event is identified.

22 Once I know what event is identified, I 23 then compare it to the types of events that I know 24 about, can handle, and see whether it's an event that I 25 actually recognize.

Page 113

- 1 Q. So, if we look at the example -- I think maybe there's two examples in your report. You talk about a 3 campaign event or an offer acceptance event.
 - A. Yeah, I mention those, as well, yes.
 - Q. Are those two different types of events? MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

A. Well, I'm not sure exactly how to characterize that. The offer acceptance are things that occur within a campaign. I'm not sure -- I believe that that statement is taken out of the documentation. I'm not sure I could identify for you right now a campaign event other than an offer -- well, I'm sorry, yeah, sure, the complaint is an event that's not an offer acceptance event.

- Q. You said that you think this language came out of the documentation?
- 17 A. Yes, I believe that the phrasing came out of 18 the documentation.
 - Q. When the IA documentation uses the term "event," what do you understand that to mean?

A. Well, I'm not sure that the IA documentation is as precise as we need to be on all of this stuff. Like I said, it's hard to distinguish sometimes between the event of a customer or a telephone operator or somebody like that and the system event that they work with in

29 (Pages 110 to 113)

Page 114

describing what's going on. 1

> It's easy to move between them, but I think that even -- well, for most customer events that involve interaction with the system, for a customer event, there's a corresponding internal or system event.

- Q. When you talk about event, as you're using it now, when we talk about, like I said, I guess a customer external event, you're talking about just a physical occurrence?
- A. Sure.

2

4

6

7

9

10

14

15

16

17

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

11 Q. Somebody calls, somebody clicks on a web page, somebody punches their pin into the ATM, something like 12 13 that?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. Right. Something that a person does may be reasonably characterized as a system event because it's almost inseparable from a system event.
- 18 Q. Now, when you talk about a system event, I go 19 to a website, I click on a certain location, you know, 20 me moving my finger, I guess, is the physical event, but the system recognizes that click. That's the system 22 event?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

24 A. Translates that action into, for instance, the creation of the message that says, I have a complaint. 1 patent.

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

1

2

4

5

6

7

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2 Q. Okay. My reading of this was that -- this seemed to me to say that event at least some of the 4 times or one of the ways it's used in the IA documentation refers to the actual kind of data message

Page 116

Page 117

5 6 that's sent from RT Client to RT Server.

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- Q. (By Mr. Dion) Is that -- is that a correct understanding of what this means?
- A. Well, there you are. The recall -- the http message that we analyzed earlier is that there is a piece of information there that says, the event identified in the message is a customer complaint, okay? That event is -- hang on. Let me look at this here and see if this is actually correlative to that. Okay. The event described here is not the complaint event that's described in that message, okay?
 - O. Okay.
- 19 A. This uses the term "event." Well, I'm --20 there's a difference in the focus or the scale of what's 21
 - Q. The reason I'm bringing this up is because we were talking about in your report where you say "campaign event or offer acceptance event" and you said, I believe, that that language came from the

Page 115

- Q. So, is the event the creation of the message? MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.
- A. We're trying to parse this pretty thinly here. The --
- Q. Well, let me take a step back then. Let me point you to something. If you could turn to page 104 of Exhibit 3. So, you see the figure at the top?
- Q. And right under the figure, the first bullet point says, "an event is a package object that collects information from the external client and requests action from the RT Server."

So I guess what I'm just trying to understand is whether or not there's a discrepancy in the term "event" in the IA documentation relative to the patent claims?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

17 18 A. Just to be clear, the event described here, I believe, is pretty clearly an event in the -- for 19 20 purposes of our reading on the patent. I wouldn't 21 expect that the IA documentation is always 22 distinguishing between events at this level and events 23 at the -- you know, that are -- that are customer 24 actions or some other event that might be realistically

at too low a level to qualify as an event for the

documentation. And so I guess what I was trying to understand is, offer acceptance event could be a

3 customer accepted an offer, right?

A. Correct.

- Q. Real world event which could correspond to a system event, which is RT Client --
 - A. Communicates back.
- 8 Q. -- communicating that the acceptance occurred. 9 I think another way that offer acceptance that might 10 mean is actually the bundle of data sent from RT Client 11 to RT Server, based on this reading here.

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

A. This use describes it as a package object, okay? But it also says, a package object collects information; that is, it gives it an active form. So, I don't think this translates all that well. So, I'm not sure there's a real close correlation here.

These are similar things. And I think the patent events we're talking about are related to this or close to it, but I'm not -- I don't think it's exactly the right thing.

22 Q. I would tend to agree with you, and that's why 23 I just, as we go back and dig into this example, I want 24 to be sure that we're kind of on the same page when it 25 comes to the terminology.

30 (Pages 114 to 117)

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

8

11

21

22

23

24

Page 118

1 A. Right.

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

Q. So, if we go back to this, we understand, I think, what the detected change in state is, which is the receipt of the message, and I think, as you said, that could be characteristic of a number of different events, although the patent claim seems to be referring to a particular one.

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. Hang on. It doesn't refer necessarily to a particular one in the detecting element.
- Q. Well, in the inferring element, where it says, "inferring occurrence of the event," right, that refers to --
- 14 A. In the inferring element, yes.
- 15 Q. But, ultimately, I guess, the event whose occurrence is inferred in this step has to be at least 16 one of the events that the detected change in state was 17 characteristic of? 18
- 19 A. I think that's fair enough, yes.
- 20 Q. That's a little awkward to say that.
- 21
- 22 Q. So, I guess I just want to understand, in
- 23 trying to look at this example from the system, what is
- 24 the event that we're talking about?
- 25 A. Okay. Let's go back to our example, then.

1 But each of those is an identifiable event, 2 it's an identifiable occurrence that's worthy of 3 attention by the RT Server. So, any one of them can be. 4 In some respects, the event that everybody is referring to is the customer event, a complaint. Nevertheless, 6 the realization of that complaint, the events that work through the system are themselves events, as well.

- Q. Okay. So, when we say in element c that the system has to or the event manager has to infer occurrence of the events.
 - A. Correct.
- 12 O. Which event is -- in IA, which event is the 13 event manager, the RT Server, inferring the occurrence 14

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

A. Well, he's actually inferring the occurrence of each of those events. Any one of them serves as a proper object of analysis. Any one of those system events can be taken and analyzed through this process.

The proxy for those, of course, is the customer event. Because that's the one that's easiest to talk about. But within the system, that customer event is represented by one or a series of other events that are recognized by the RT server.

Q. So, the RT server infers occurrence of the

Page 119

- We'll see if we can work our way through it. We have an 1
- 2 action by a customer that is characterized as an event,
- 3 a complaint. We have communication of that complaint
- or -- let's go back. We have a capture of that 4
- information. We have the packaging transmission
- 6 reception of that information. The event that we're
- 7 talking about can be any one of those.
- 8 Q. When you say "any one of those" --
 - A. That's what I was getting at.
- 10

9

25

action outside.

11 A. Where we have the capture of the information, 12 it is an event. It's an event that can be stymied, for 13 some reason. For instance, if you lose your communication. You have a package -- well, I'm sorry --14 15 well, you have the packaging, also. You may fail in the process of doing that. 16

You have the packaging, you have the 17 18 transmission, you have the reception. All of those are events in the process, any one of which can be a system 19 20 event. They translate to the customer action out here 21 or the action of the operator, but it is -- I mean, we really are parsing this down very tightly. And I 23 understand the reason for doing it, but there is still 24 an association between each of those things and the

Page 121

Page 120

- 1 collection of the data?
 - A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Is that right? It also infers the occurrence 4 of the packaging of the data? 5
- 6 Q. And it infers the occurrence of the perception 7 of the data?
 - A. Or communication, yeah.
- 9 Q. Or the communication of the data. I guess, let's first talk about the collection of the data. 10
 - A. Okay.
- 12 Q. How does RT Server infer the occurrence of that 13 event?
- 14 A. By taking the message apart. I mean, once 15 again, you have to go through the process of taking the whole thing apart. But the -- that event -- without the 16 collection of the data, you don't have the packaging in 17 the data, you don't have the transmission of the data, 18 you don't have the reception of the data, you don't have 19 20 the detection, and you don't start inferring.

That is, this all connects together. So how it does it is by what I described earlier, it takes the message apart and infers that these things happened up the chain. Any -- you know, the thing is, you can characterize that inference as being any one of these

31 (Pages 118 to 121)

8

10

11

12

14

16

17

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Page 122 Page 124

- individual things, take your pick. 1 2
 - Q. Okay. So, if we look at the Court's construction of "inferring," okay, I think you have on page 18 your report, "the logical process by which a factual conclusion is derived from known facts by the application of logical rules."
 - A. Yes.

6

7

8

9 10

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. That's what you understand the Court -- how the Court construed the word "infer," is that right?
 - A. Yes.
- 11 Q. So if we look at RT Server, and you say that one of the things it could infer is the occurrence of 12 13 the event, wherein the event is the collection of data.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. So, in view of the Court's claim construction, 16 can you explain to me how RT Server derives a factual conclusion from known facts by the application of logical rules relative to the collection of data?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. Okay. At the very simplest level, if the data weren't collected, it wouldn't have a message.
- 22 Q. Well, I can agree that that's true, right, if 23 the data weren't collected, there wouldn't be a message.
- 24 A. So, in this particular case, when it takes 25 apart the message, it sees the various elements of a

1 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- 2 A. I don't see how -- does it -- does it say, ah-3 hah. I received this. I can tick off a box somewhere 4 that says I collected the data? I don't think that's a sensible question in this circumstance. The data 6 collection is a fact. It is --
 - Q. Well, the data collection is a fact, and I agree with you the data wouldn't be there if it wasn't collected. But isn't the data collection -- the fact of the data collection itself completely ignored by RT Server?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- 13 A. I don't think so.
 - Q. RT Server cares what the data is, right?
- 15 A. Correct.
 - Q. But you just said, it doesn't check off a box to say, oh, this data's been collected.

18 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- 19 A. Well, metaphorically, I guess -- I'm sorry. Metaphorically -- geez. It does recognize, yes, that
- 20 21 the data's been collected. It distinguishes between --
- 22 it distinguishes between -- it does know which data is
- 23 collected data and which data represents something else.
- That is, it knows which data to use in which
 - circumstance. So, yeah, I think it does.

Page 123

message, the -- let's see, I'm trying to remember. But a few of them. One is the event designator, one is the -- I can't remember -- the value name is CID, but I don't remember the field designator. And one of them is a value that's just called value.

So, each of the elements in the message is taken, detected, understood, and in the process of understanding this, it's going through the logical process that I was describing earlier. It has to compare these things to rules that it has in place.

That is, I have something that claims it's an event, do I recognize it as an event? Okay? Is this complaint event one of the things that I know? I have a value that's supposed to be a customer I.D. Is there such a customer? Do I -- you know, et cetera.

Those things are all part of the inferring the occurrence of the particular event. That is, I received this data, this data was collected, this data was packaged, this data was transmitted.

- Q. Does RT Server, at some point, actually derive a factual conclusion that the data was collected?
- A. It derives a factual conclusion that the data represents an outside action.
- Q. Okay. But does it ever derive the factual conclusion that the data was collected?

Page 125

- 1 Q. So you're saying that it is your opinion, then, 2 that RT Server derives the factual conclusion that data 3 has been collected?
 - A. I think the answer has to be yes.

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. Yeah. That wasn't the way I was thinking of it, but I believe in response to your question, the answer's yes.
 - Q. How exactly does RT Server do that?
- A. Hang on. RT Server receives this entire URL message that we've looked at on page 3. It distinguished the fact that the value web appoint 13 directs it to some activity, distinguishing that from the fact that the encoding value tells it how to look at 14 data and distinguishes that from the variables, the package, the event, the event, the field and the value, those things it treats as collected data. The other

So, yes, I think it distinguishes that you've collected -- you know, certain data it treats as collected information. Other data, it treats as context or environment in which it's working.

things it treats in a different way.

23 Q. So does it ever register in any way into some kind of table or field or system variable, the fact that data has been collected?

32 (Pages 122 to 125)

Page 126

sense, though, each of those things is something that

Page 128

- 2 the system was already aware of. I can deal with
- 3 something that identifies itself as an "and event".
 - 4 Okay? 5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

- Q. Okay. 6 A. So, in this instance, I think that that would 7 serve.
 - Q. And what logical rule or rules does the RT Server apply to those known facts?
 - A. It applies the rule of recognizing that this is an event that -- I'm sorry, that "and event" is one of those things that I know already. It has to go through a logical process to discover that that's something that I recognize, that's something I can deal with.
 - Q. Can you -- are you aware of any documentation that would kind of, I guess, describe what those rules are, or any source code that would qualify as these particular logical rules --
 - A. This particular one? I don't think I could identify anything, no.

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Dion) I'm sorry, when you say "this

particular one" -- I want to make sure I --MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

A. What we were just talking about, that "and

Page 129

event" is recognized. I don't know where that's done 1 2 exactly, no. 3

Q. Did you look for that?

A. I don't think so.

Q. What did you find that led you to the conclusion that the system infers occurrence of, for example, the event of data collection?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. Well, just what we've been through here. I've read the documentation. Like I said, this event is a proxy for the event of the customer making a complaint.
- Q. So, is the system inferring occurrence of the customer complaining?
- A. It certainly infers that, as well. But, again, that customer complaining event is, for most practical purposes -- I'm sorry, is for very detailed purposes outside the system.
- Q. Okay. So, the system looks at the message that it receives.
 - A. Yes.
- 21 Q. It knows that there are certain fields within the message that contain types of information that it's 22 23 capable of dealing with? 24

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

A. It recognizes --

1 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

2 A. Yes.

6

7

9

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

9

10

3 O. How does it do that?

4 A. In the fact that it uses that data as collected data to answer the message that's been posed to it.

Q. Okay. So, again, the event we're talking about at this point is the data collection.

8 A. Collection.

O. What are the known facts?

10 A. What are the known facts?

Q. Yeah. What are the known facts that RT Server 11 12 uses?

13 A. There are a couple of things here. One is that the CID value is supposed to represent a customer, okay? 14 15 And so one of the facts that it determines that's a contextual information here is, is this a real customer? 16 By the same token, the complaint event tells it whether 17

it's a valid event that it's dealing with. 18 19 Q. So that information in the message are the

20 known facts? 21 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

22 Q. (By Mr. Dion) Is that my -- am I understanding that correctly? 23

24 A. I'm sorry. Where's your known fact? Let's 25 look at the language.

Page 127

Q. Sure. So, the Court's construction of inferring, again, "logical process by which a factual conclusion is derived from known facts by the application of logical rules.

So we're talking about here the event that -- the system is inferring occurrence of the event. We said, the event is collection of data by the system.

8 A. Right.

> Q. So, in order to infer occurrence of the event --

11 A. Okay.

12 Q. -- the system has to apply a logical process to 13 known facts.

14 A. Right.

15 O. And derive a factual conclusion.

A. Okay. Well, getting down at that level, 16

talking about this type of event, known facts in this 17 circumstance are that it recognizes, for instance, that 18

"and package" is a type of element that it can analyze. It recognizes that "and event" is a type of an element

21 that it can recognize, "and field" is a type of an

22 element that it can recognize, "and value" is a type of 23 thing that it can recognize. Those are all known facts.

24 Those are preexisting information within 25 the system that it's prepared to deal with. So, in this

33 (Pages 126 to 129)

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 130

- 1 Q. Okay.
- 2 A. -- by the logical process we were talking about. It determines that it can deal with this.
- 4 Q. Is the system, RT Server, recognizing that this is the type of information it is capable of dealing
- 6 with? 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Is that an inference?
- A. Yes. 9

18 19

20

22

1 2

3

4

6

10

- 10 Q. How is that an inference?
- A. Well, what's the definition of "inference"? 11 This says, it's a logical process by which the fact that 12
- information -- I'm sorry. That's inferring context. Do 13
- we have -- "a logical process by which a factual 14
- 15 conclusion is derived from known facts by the application of logical rules." 16 17

So, yes, it has known facts that it has built into it the knowledge, the information that there are certain things -- certain types of information that I can deal with. I can deal with event information, I can deal with field information, I can deal with value information, et cetera.

So, something that identifies itself as a 23 24 potential of the type of information I can deal with, it

has to say, can I actually deal with this? Do I

Page 131

actually know what I'm talking about? Do I actually know what I'm working with?

And in order to do that, it has to go through the logical process of saying, do I recognize this as one of the things that I am capable of dealing with, or do I reject it as unrecognizable?

7 Q. Is the fact that a certain type of data is data RT Server knows how to handle the same as the fact that 8 RT Client collected data?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Okay. We've been talking about the event here 13 as just the collection of the data.
- 14 A. Right.
- 15 Q. But then when we're talking about the
- inference, we're talking about the type of data and 16
- whether or not the system can handle it. 17
- 18 A. Right.
- 19 Q. So, is it still, then, your opinion that the
- 20 system is inferring the fact that data was collected?
- A. We haven't gotten to there yet. 21
- 22 Q. Well, that's where I'm trying to get to is,
- 23 does that --
- 24 A. Yes. My opinion remains unchanged through this
- 25 conversation, yes.

Q. Okay. So, how then does RT Server infer the fact that RT Client collected data?

A. It infers the fact then, okay? The message identifies a type of data that I believe I have collected, and then it identifies a value associated with that data that I believe I have collected.

So, for instance, when you click on a certain button on the web page or if the operator clicks on a certain button on the page that the operator's working with, it's going to say, when you click this button, the event that you're talking about is a complaint event, and it will put that value into this stream.

We've previously identified, perhaps by either asking the customer on the telephone or by his filling in a field on the web page something that allows us to get his customer I.D. number, okay? And then we have identified other values that are associated with this by picking them up in data fields or whatever.

But we build this package by different operations on the screen or by the customer or by the phone operator that fills each of these values independently, and then at some point, you click a button that says "go," okay? And those collected bits of data are then packaged into this message, which is

Page 133

Page 132

then transmitted out.

So, yes, the RT Server infers that the data has been collected, because it identifies the type of data that's expecting and sees a value that it can deal

Q. And then it reaches a conclusion, RT server reaches a factual conclusion that data has been collected.

A. Yes.

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- 11 Q. (By Mr. Dion) I might have already asked you 12 this, but are you aware of, specifically, where in the 13 code that process occurs?
 - A. No.
- 15 O. What does it mean for an inference to be based on something? 16

A. That the inference uses the information that it's based on. That the information that's -- that we're referring to as being based on figures into the process, the inference.

Q. So, when you say "figures into the process," we have a definition of inferring here, which is "logical process by which a factual conclusion is derived from known facts." So, would the -- if I said an inference was based on something, would that whatever I said it

34 (Pages 130 to 133)

6

7

10

14

15

16

17

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

Page 134

- was based on have to be among the known facts --1 2 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.
 - Q. (By Mr. Dion) -- That are used?
 - A. It could be among the known facts or among the logical rules.
 - Q. Okay. So, the inference that we were just talking about, where the system infers that data has been collected, how is that based on the detected change
 - A. It is based on the detected change in state, in the sense that the logical process begins with that detected change in state.
 - Q. Okay. Is that detected change in state one of the known facts that the logical rules are applied to?
 - A. The detected change in state is a -- is a fact that causes the application of a logical rule. So, as I was saying earlier related to the logical rules, it's not just a known fact. I mean, if you parse this down again, to a certain level, if you detect a change in state, once you've detected it, it is now a known fact,
- 22 I didn't actually analyze it that way,
- 23 but -- and you could do that. The actual analysis here
- is that the detected change in state is part of the
- application of the logical rules that get you where you

1 that. When RT Server is applying logical rules to known facts to derive the conclusion that data was collected,

3 do you know, specifically, what rules it's applying? 4

- A. I couldn't point you to that exactly, no.
- MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Dion) In this example that we've been talking about, what context does the RT Server infer?
- 8 A. What context does it infer? Well, again, the 9 context is -- what's the statement here?
 - O. The construction of context?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. It's on -- it's on page 18. It's the first thing under the heading "construction." 13
 - A. Here we go. "Information already existing within the system that becomes relevant by the occurrence." So, we have multiple bits of context in the example complaint message that we're talking about.

18 (MR. GEORGE RE-ENTERS ROOM.) 19

- Q. (By Mr. Dion) Okay.
- 20 A. Okay? We've already identified the preexisting
- 21 knowledge that it can deal with certain types of
- 22 elements in the message, and then we have other
- 23 contextual information that is things that already
 - existed within the system. For instance, in the message that we looked at, the CID value should previously be in

Page 135

Page 137

Page 136

want to go. 1

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

5

12

25

2 (MR. ZAHER AND MR. OLEKSIUK RE-ENTER ROOM.)

3 Q. (By Mr. Dion) Is the detected change in state one of the rules?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. It is probably better characterized as 6 7 information that -- on which the rules operate. The rules don't begin until there's a detected change in 8
- 9 state.
- 10 Q. So the detected change in state triggers the 11 inference.
 - MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.
- 13 A. It begins the inference.
- 14 Q. It begins the inference? I know you said that you hadn't identified the source code that actually
- 15
- 16 carries this out. Do you have any understanding of what
- the logical rules are that the system is applying? 17 18
 - MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.
- 19 A. Yes, I think so.
- 20 Q. What do you understand them to be?
- 21 A. Well, it's divided into several different
- 22 things, but you have the actual source code itself, and
- 23 then in a functioning system, you have the rules that
- 24 are part of the RT Miner system.
 - Q. I guess my question was more specific than

the system. It's an identified customer.

- Q. Okay. So, context, again, "information already existing within the system that becomes relevant upon the occurrence of the event." So, if the event is the fact that data was collected --
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. -- how does that information that you've just discussed become relevant upon the occurrence of collecting data?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- 11 A. Because that is the information that's going to 12 be used to satisfy the request from the client system.
 - Q. How does RT Server infer the context?
 - A. I'm sorry?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- 16 A. What we discussed earlier, by the application 17 of logical rules. Again, I've talked about unpacking
- 18 this and recognizing the bits that are here several
- times, but it's that same process. It infers the
- 20 context by picking those elements of the message, and,
- 21 again, figuring out whether they are reasonable,
- 22 sensible, how they apply to the request that's been
- 23 made.
- 24 Q. When you say, "the request that's been made," 25

what do you mean by that?

35 (Pages 134 to 137)

10

11

16

19

20

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

Page 138

- 1 A. That message represents a request. I have a 2 customer complaint. With the information that I've included in this message, you should know how to answer 4 a customer complaint event.
- 5 Q. So it's a request to RT Server to return 6 something back to RT Client. 7
 - A. Right.

8

19

1 2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

22

- Q. What's returned back, typically, by IA?
- 9 A. In this example, what's returned back is 10 instructions or message on how to deal with -- again,
- 11 treating it as a call center, it's instructions or
- message on how to deal with this client, what you can 12
- offer him, what you can deal -- what you have available 14 to you to mollify this complaining customer.
- 15 Q. So, the context, the information that's already within the system, how does IA determine which 16 information out of all the information available to it 17 18 is in context to this particular event?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

20 A. Once again, certain things are pretty firmly built -- well, let me distinguish that. Certain things, 21 like the types of fields that it can deal with is built 22 23 in. But even things like the CID value, the system, 24 again, once it's identified the type of complaint, the

Page 139

that this is, this is a customer complaint message, then that lets it know that I should expect certain other pieces of information.

exact type of -- I'm sorry, the exact type of message

Some of them may be required and some of them may be optional, but there are certain restricted sets of information that I should be looking for then. One of those pieces of information is a customer I.D. The way -- the context in which this system is going to deal with the complaint is determined, in part, by the actual value of that customer I.D.

- Q. Could you explain that a little more?
- 12 A. I think so. The actual value of the customer 13 I.D. identifies this as a long-standing customer or somebody we never actually heard of or somebody we're 14 15 trying to get rid of as a customer because they complain 16 too much. Any number of possible ways of doing that.
- 17 Q. So, when RT Server receives the message and one of the pieces of information is the customer I.D., what 18 does RT Server do with that information to get to the 19 20 conclusion of the type of customer that this customer 21 is?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

23 A. I believe that's when RT Server invokes its RT miners or recommenders, depending on the type of message that you're talking about, and uses the information from

Page 140

- the message to direct them to find the types of 1 responses that are appropriate for the message and the 3 individual involved.
- 4 Q. Maybe my question, I think maybe falls somewhat 5 inbetween those steps. So, if you have a customer I.D., 6 and that's all that's in that message that's sent from 7 RT Server to -- excuse me -- from RT Client to RT Server 8 is just a number, is that right?
 - A. However the customer I.D. is formatted, whether it's numbers or alphabetic streams or full names and addresses, whatever they do to identify the customer.
- 12 Q. Does RT server, without doing anything else, upon receiving that number, is RT Server aware of what 13 type of customer that person is, just based on the 14 15 number?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- 17 A. I think, in general, the answer to that would 18
 - Q. Okay. Because we talked about it receives the number then it passes information to either the
- 21 recommender or the RT miner to get a response back about
- 22 what information to pass back to RT Client. One of the
- 23 things you talked about is, is this a long-standing
- 24 customer, is this a new customer, is this a problem
- customer? Where does that information come from?

Page 141

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

2 A. It comes, in part, out of the RTDB. It comes, 3 in part, out of the way the rules are made up for the 4 miners.

Q. Are there rules in the miners that would allow the miner to identify just from the customer number whether they're a long-term customer versus a new customer?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. I don't know.
- 11 Q. If the information is in the RTDB, how would RT 12 Server go about getting that information after it 13 received the message? 14

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

A. Well, the way that you would get the information would have to be inferences taken off of the customer I.D., because that's -- if that's the only information you're feeding it, then you have to be able to dig through or mine through the data, based on that customer I.D. And there are -- you know, based on the exact customer I.D., you find other information, which may lead you to other data tables, which may lead you to other data tables, and according to rules that you have set up, some sort of that information is applicable to customer complaints and some of it is not.

36 (Pages 138 to 141)

2

3

4

15

16

17

1

6

15

17

18

19

20

Page 142

- 1 Q. So, when you say -- if you infer that 2 information, when you get an event message, and it says, here's the customer number, and I'm telling you that the event type is a complaint event, would that then trigger the application of certain rules within RT Server that 6 says, go out to, for instance, RTDB and find out the 7 value in the field for how long they've been a customer?
- A. I'm not sure if it triggers the rules directly 9 itself or whether it's used as a basis for other action, 10 but the results that you get are based on the 11 information that you put in, yes.
- Q. So, would there be a fixed set of rules within 13 RT Server that says, when the event type is complaint, go get this particular information from the RTDB?
- A. I think that -- I think fixed set of rules is 15 probably too strong, but I believe that you can have 16 17 rules associated with that event, yes.
- 18 Q. Okay. So there would be rules associated with 19 the event type of complaint.
- 20 A. There would be rules that respond to that. The association, I'm not quite sure what you have there, but 21 there will be rules that would be appropriate to apply 22 23 when you have this type of -- this type of event, and 24 there are rules that you would bypass, I believe.
- 25 Q. Okay.

12

14

6

7

8

9

18

25

Q. That process of inferring context, how is that based on the detected change in states?

A. Sorry?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- 5 Q. (By Mr. Dion) The process of RT Server 6 inferring context, the context in which the event 7 occurred, how is that based on the detected change in 8 state?
- 9 A. Well, again, the detected change in state is 10 the receipt of a message or the existence of a message, 11 and the inferring of the context is based on the existence of the message. 12
- 13 Q. By that do you mean that it only happens because of the existence of the message? 14
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Why weren't you able to find those rules? MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.
- 18 A. The rules were stored in a proprietary format, 19 and I did not get a reader to read that format.
- 20 Q. What do you mean by "proprietary format"?
- 21 A. I can't remember. DM, DMX, something like 22 that. File extensions. It's a format that I couldn't 23 read with the software that was on the PC that I was
- 24 provided.
- 25 Q. Proprietary to Infor?

Page 143

Page 145

Page 144

- 1 A. But it may also be that those rules are 2 restricted by the customer I.D.
- 3 Q. Then, those rules would dictate what information is retrieved from the database? 4 5

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. Dictate, determine, influence, yes.
 - Q. Is that process the inference of context? MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.
 - A. I'm not sure how -- "that process" is what?
- Q. Okay. Fair enough. Is the process of the 10 11 message coming in with an event type starting -- I don't 12 want to say triggering, that seemed to be maybe a little 13 overstated ---
- 14 A. Okay. That's all right.
- 15 Q. -- but starting a process where RT Server, based on certain rules, retrieves information from RTDB 16 17 to use in conjunction with the message in the event?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. At least some of it is. I'm not sure if all of 19 20 it is, but some of it is, yes.
- 21 Q. Were you -- well, I don't know if were you able -- did you ever locate or review any of these rules 22 23 in your source code review?
- 24 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.
 - A. No. I wasn't able to.

- A. I believe so, yes.
- 2 Q. Did you ask?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Who did you ask?
- 5 A. I don't recall.
 - Q. But you were never able to get that software?
- 7 A. I never got it.
- 8 Q. Did anybody ever tell you why you weren't able 9 to get it?
- 10 A. No. There are examples of those things in the 11 documentation.
- 12 Q. On page 20 of your report, you say here that RT 13 Server also uses functions to infer context.
- 14 A. I'm sorry. Where are we?
 - Q. I'm on the third full paragraph.
- A. Okay. Got it. Yes. 16
 - Q. What do you mean by that exactly?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. Okay. Well, the -- when I talked about working with that message, there are lots of places in the
- 21 system where it does -- sometimes re-does pulling apart 22 bits of information. I was trying to identify something
- 23 here pretty closely that I believe is involved in
- 24 processing the messages, like the one I identified in
- 25 the example.

37 (Pages 142 to 145)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

19

20

21

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

Page 146

- 1 Q. Okay. So, the function -- the one function you 2 talk about here is the process event data function?
- 3 A. Yes.

4

- Q. What is that function?
- 5 A. I couldn't tell you right now. It's one that I 6 identified in the process of going through all this 7 stuff, but I don't remember it explicitly.
- Q. Would there be something perhaps in the exhibits that's maybe not in here that may help you 9 10 recall that?
- 11 A. Process event data I think is in the source code. I suspect it's in some we printed out. 12
- Q. Okay. But you think -- but not in the 13 exhibits, you don't think? 14
- 15 A. I don't remember. I just don't remember. I'm 16
- 17 Q. Okay. Do you know what that -- like how that 18 function works or anything about it, more than just the 19 name here?
- 20 A. I think I knew a little bit more about it at 21 the time, but I don't remember.
- Q. Do you have an understanding of how that 22 23 function is the system inferring context?
- 24 A. Again, I can't recall any details of that 25 function at the moment.

1 A. Same event.

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

Page 148

- A. The same event, I believe.
- Q. That's the event of the system collecting data?
- A. I believe it's actually context relative to any event that comes from that session.
- Q. A little further up on that same page, the kind of second paragraph, you say that RT Server infers context, such as channel, based on information contained in the event message?
 - A. Yes.
- 12 Q. When you say "channel," what are you referring 13 to?
- 14 A. I'm referring to -- I believe it's used in the 15 documentation. I'm referring to the channel as whether 16 it's coming in from a web page or whether it's coming in from an ATM machine, whether it's coming in from a call 17 18 center, et cetera.
 - Q. The channel information, isn't it just contained in the event message?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. Contained in the event message. I believe it 22 23 accompanies the event message. I'm not sure if it's in 24 the event message.
- 25 Q. Okay. Fair enough. If it accompanies the

Page 147

- 1 Q. Okay. But I think what -- if I understood what 2 you said is essentially this is kind of consistent with the discussion we just had? 3
- 4 A. I believe so, yes.
 - Q. About unpacking the data and looking at the different fields?
 - A. Right.

5

6

7

8

10 11

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. If you can, let him finish the question and answer so the record's clear, please.

THE WITNESS: I have been chastised.

- 12 Q. (By Mr. Dion) The reference just below that to document INF 0413186. 13
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Page 17 to 15. You just kind of say, see also, and then you cite to that. What's the purpose of that 16 17 citation there?
- 18 A. The session I.D. is a part of one of the 19 objects that's created in the transmission of this 20 information that we see in the example that we've been 21 talking about. It doesn't show up in that part of it because it's an outer part of the object that transmits 22 23 that message, I believe. But that was an example of
- 24 other context information, yes. 25 Q. That would be context relative to what event?

Page 149

- event message, it's in the form of -- there's a data field, that data field might be channel or whatever the
- 3 field's called? 4 A. I'm not sure if that's the way it's identified.
- I don't know the -- I don't know if it just says, you know, I'm from a -- I'm from a website or I'm from a 7 call center or I'm from an ATM machine, but I believe

8 there's information in the system that effectively 9 imparts that level or that type of information, yes.

Q. I guess whatever information -- however the 10 11 information is structured, there's some piece of data 12 that comes along with the message that indicates, I came from this channel? 13

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. I think so, yes. Again, I don't remember the detail on this, but some piece of data that's in it, or it could just be the type of message that it is or the type of object that's being communicated through. I don't recall that level.
- Q. Okay. So, when RT Server receives that message, doesn't it -- isn't it able to just recognize, based on that data, what the channel was?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

24 A. Well, again, the question seems to presume that 25 there is something that's automatic there. None of this

38 (Pages 146 to 149)

12

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

Page 150

1 stuff happens without having to do some work. 2

Regardless of what information is received, the system

3 has to examine the information and determine what it 4 represents.

None of that happens for free. And every time that this information is communicated, it has to be -- has to be split up, it has to be examined, it has to be analyzed, it has to be vetted, it has to be -- you know, there's a process that goes on here. It's not just received wisdom that happens here, it's got to be

- O. So if data comes in about the channel, RT Server then might go to a look-up table to say, if the data -- if the value is one, then it was web, if the value was two, then it was call center?
- A. Well, it could be something that simple, I 16 don't think it is, but the -- yes, in some way, it has 17 to recognize, determine what the channel is. 18
 - Q. And is that inferring?
- 20 A. Yes.

5

6

7

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

19

1 2

3

4 5

8

9

- 21 Q. So, if the system gets the data, looks at the value, and there's a rule in RT Server that says, take 22 23 that value, compare it to the look-up table and return 24 channel, that process would be inferring that context?
- 25 A. Yes, that process infers. Application of

Page 151

- logical rules to previously known information to get a logical result.
- MR. DION: Okay. I think this is a good time for a break. We're running short on time on the tape.
- 6 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 2:05, we're off 7 the record.

(OFF THE RECORD FROM 2:05 TO 2:25 P.M.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Time is 2:25, we're back on

- 10 11 Q. (By Mr. Dion) I'd asked you a few questions 12 earlier about the particular rules in the system that 13 performed this inference function, and I think you said
- there was a certain file type and you weren't able to 14
- 15 access it?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. What file type was that, again?
- A. I don't remember exactly, but I think it was DM 18 19 or DMX or something like that.
- 20 Q. And it is your understanding that those are the files that contain the rules that RT Server uses to 21 drive this inference process? 22
- 23 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.
- 24 A. I believe it contains some of the information
- for that. I don't know if it was the RT miner rules or 25

the -- some of the constructions you can do out of the

- RT Studio. I just remember running across a type of
- 3 file that I wanted to take a look at that I wasn't able 4
- 5 Q. What's your basis for believing that those files -- I understand you couldn't look at them -- but 6 7 that they had that content?
- 8 A. Actually, let me think about this a second. I 9 may have something here. It's in one of the references 10 here. I think I can find it in here.
 - Q. Okay. Did you put him up to this?

MR. SPANGLER: I have no idea what DMX is.

MR. DION: Every question I ask, just flip 13 14 through the exhibits.

15 MR. SPANGLER: Just wait till we get to OM, 16 baby. He has spent very little time going through 17 stuff, I just want to say on the record.

18 A. Close, but no cigar. I don't think I see it 19 here.

20 Q. Okay. Do you have any recollection, as you sit 21 here today, of why it is that you believe those .DMX or

22 .DM files contained the rules? 23 A. The --

24 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

25 A. The precise reason, no, but I do believe that

Page 153

Page 152

- 1 they contained -- for whatever reason, I believe that 2 they were part of the system that I wanted to look at,
- 3 but I can't tell you any more detail. 4
 - Q. And just so it's clear, I think you said earlier that you asked counsel for -- if they could get you the reader for those files?
 - A. I don't think I identified who I asked. I don't really recall whether I asked somebody at the law offices where we were doing the review or whether I asked Andrew or John Edmonds. I think those would have been the only places I would have or could have asked.
- 12 Q. But generally, you were able to access the source code for the RT Server, is that right? 13
- 14 A. Generally, yes.
- 15 Q. And for the RT miners?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. You didn't identify anything in there that you could point out to me today, at least, as the rules that 18

19 facilitate this inference process?

- 20 A. Let's ask a question, then let me see if I can 21 come up with something for you.
- 22 Q. Are you aware of any of the source code within 23 IA that carries out this inferring process?
- 24 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.
- 25

A. Generally speaking, the -- you can point to the

39 (Pages 150 to 153)

Gwendolyn Parker and Associates, 214-747-8007

15

16

1

8

9

10

11

14

15

18

22

Page 154

- RT miner code, that Mdap engine that does that. More 2 specific than that, I don't have a proper recollection 3 of.
 - Q. Okay. Right before the break, we were talking about channel information as one form of context and how the system might infer that channel information.
 - A. Right.

4

6

7

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

20

25

- 8 Q. In your view, what we've now described as that inference, would that be any different than recognizing 9 10 the channel?
- 11 A. I think it's possible in some context you could 12 say that, but I don't know what the distinction is that 13 you're drawing.
- 14 Q. Like if the claim language, if instead of 15 saying inferring context, it said recognizing context, would that change the meaning of the claim to you? 16 17

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- 18 A. Well, that's easy. The claim language is what it is, it says, and it's been construed, and what I 19 20 described sits directly on top of that claim language. It does precisely what's described as inferring. 21
- 22 Q. If the claim language had "recognizing," then 23 would that be different to you?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

A. Depends on how the Court construes

1

- A. I don't think so, no. 2
 - Q. Okay. So --
- 3 A. I think it might have been a little confusing 4 to use "action" in both circumstances.

Page 156

- Q. Okay. But you think that they could be the 6 same thing? 7
 - A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And you say in your report, this is at the top of page 22, "RT Server uses the contextual data to 9 10 determine what new actions to take." In the example 11 we've been talking about, how is that carried out?
- A. Again, that's the RT Server, using the RT 13 miners, goes through the process of using the information in the message that it received to -- what 14 were the steps? I don't remember the four steps right now, into the arbitration, starts off with -- I don't 17 remember the four steps.

18 Anyway, it goes through those four steps, 19 gets the resulting offer that's going to go out, and 20 remember that the -- that offer is communicated back 21 into the client.

- 22 Q. So, in that scenario, what's the operation that 23 RT Server automatically initiates?
- 24 A. The -- well, ultimately, the operation that it's going to initiate is to -- is to get the RT Client

Page 155

"recognizing." 1 2

- Q. But I think as you said before, the system doesn't just get this information, it always has to do something, is that right?
- A. Not bad characterization, but yes, the -- there is work involved in doing the inference that we're talking about. It takes -- it takes logical operations using logical rules to get to the end of it.
- Q. The next claim element is automatically initiating an operation in one or more particular subsystems of the computer to facilitate a new action based on the inferred context.
- 13 A. So you're at page 21, is that correct?
- Q. Page 21 or 22 of your report. 14
- 15 A. Okav.
- Q. What's the difference between an operation and 16 17 an action?
- 18 A. What is the difference between an operation and 19 an action, as used in this phrase, I take it?
 - Q. Yes.
- 21 A. Okay. Let me check back a second. Did I
- 22 include -- yes. As the claim is written, I don't think
- 23 there's a necessary difference or distinction between 24 them.
 - Q. You don't think there is a necessary --

Page 157

2 causes the RT Client to operate.

3 Q. Could you say that again? I'm not sure I 4 follow.

5 A. Yeah. After doing all that the RT Server does, 6 it then packages up a return message to the RT Client, 7 which causes the operation of the RT Client.

the message, the return message, which causes the --

- Q. So what's the operation?
- A. The RT Client receives a message and starts to do whatever the message -- you know, it has to go in, unpack the message, deal with what's going on, and ultimately, then, the action that we're talking about is 13 that that display, either on the web page or on the operator's console that is then used to move the transaction along.
- Q. That action then is external to IA, is that 16 17 right?
- A. No. Well, remember, we talked about the proxies for these things is that if you want to slice it 20 down that thinly, then the action that the RT Client 21 takes is, ultimately, to deliver whatever package of information is going to be displayed on the screen, delivers that to the system in which it's embedded,
- 23
- 24 okay? And that action is the action that facilitates 25

the sales process. The operation leading up to that is

40 (Pages 154 to 157)

Case 6:07-cv-00067-LED Document 307-2 Filed 09/17/09 Page 42 of 71 Page 158 Page 160 the operation that makes it happen. 1 Q. -- that's facilitated is RT Client passing the 2 2 Q. Okay. So, RT Server automatically initiates an offers to the other system. operation in RT Client? 3 A. Yes. 4 A. Yes. 4 Q. Is that right? Doesn't RT Client do that in 5 5 Q. And what is that operation? exactly the same way every time, regardless of what 6 6 A. The operation -- the operation, as I described particular offers are contained within that set of 7 7 it, is unpacking the message, deciding what to do with information? it, deciding what needs to be displayed, packaging up 8 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 9 the display information for whatever system it's 9 A. No. 10 embedded in. 10 Q. Why not? 11 Q. That's the operation. 11 A. Remember what the action is. What is the 12 A. The operation is up to the point that it takes action? The action is transmitting a particular package 12 13 the action of interacting with the next system. 13 of information to the embedded system -- to the system 14 Q. Okay. So, the operation is RT Server doing all to which it's embedded. That package of information is 14 15 the steps, unpacking the information, parsing it through 15 going to vary depending on what the context was that 16 started off this whole thing. 16 RT miner, and then repackaging the offer message? 17 A. No. 17 Q. The content of the package will vary depending 18 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 18 on the context. Is that -- am I understanding Q. (By Mr. Dion) Is that right? 19 19 correctly? 20 20 A. Right. A. No. Q. Okay. I think maybe we're not on the same Q. But the action that RT Client carries out, 21 21 22 22 which is passing the information along, is that action page --23 A. Perhaps so. 23 different --24 Q. -- so let's try to start that over. So, if you 24 A. Yes. could explain to me again, then, what the operation is. 25 Q. -- if the content is different? Page 159 Page 161 1 A. Yes. 1 A. The operation is -- takes place in RT Client. 2 2 Q. Okay. MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 3 A. Not in RT Server. 3 Q. (By Mr. Dion) Why? 4 4 A. I don't know how to answer that question. Q. Okay. 5 5 Q. Well, if we were talking about a real world A. RT Client receives the response from RT Server. 6 sales process, and I was talking about an action, and 6 7 A. Okay? RT client has to do some of what RT 7 the action was delivering the package to the customer. 8 8 Server is. That is, it gets a response back, it's got A. Right. to break it apart, see what's in there, what am I 9 Q. And I used a delivery service. I used FedEx, supposed to do with it? and you're my customer, and every time you place an 10 10 11 And that operation results, ultimately, in 11 order, I ship you a package. the creation of a package of information that needs to 12 A. Right. 12 be communicated out to the web page through the -- to Q. Does FedEx deliver you that package differently 13 13

the operator. And once RT Client has done that work, 14 then the action that it takes is to pass that

16 information along to whatever system it's embedded in. 17

O. How is that action based on the inferred context?

18 19 A. Well, once again, the inferred context is part 20 of the process that goes through this whole thing. It 21 informs what's put into the response message. It's part 22 of what goes into creating that. So, yes, it's all 23 based on that.

24 Q. I think you said the new action --25

15

14 depending on what's inside?

A. Sometimes, yes. 15

O. How is that?

A. It's fragile, it's heavy, it's a different 17 18 process each time.

19 Q. Do the different offers -- the package of 20 information containing offers that RT Server passes to RT Client, do they look different to RT Server, 21

22 depending on the content -- excuse me. Do they look

23 different to RT Client depending on the content? 24 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

25 A. Well, in some respect, they have to, yes.

41 (Pages 158 to 161)

16

Page 162 Page 164 1 Q. How's that? it's done relative to this particular transaction, is 2 2 A. One piece of -- one return message says, you that right? know, put up a single statement. Another return message MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 4 says, put up, you know, the four -- the four 4 A. I think as long as the transmission's opportunities to mollify this complaining customer. successful, yes, I think that's true. 6 Another one says, you know, turn the following fields on 6 Q. Does RT Server check to see if the transmission 7 7 or off. I mean, they're very different types of is successful? 8 packages that it's dealing with. A. Well, there's protocols going underneath it. 9 Q. And is the process that RT Client uses to pass 9 Remember, it's an http transmission, so -- but I don't 10 that information to the other system different, 10 think that RT Server itself has to check to see whether 11 depending on the content? 11 it happens, but I think if it fails, there will be an 12 A. Well, it's passing different information. The 12 event caused on the RT Server side. That may be too process is different, yes. 13 13 much speculation. I don't really know that for sure. Q. I understand it's passing different Q. But in your view, that act of transmitting that 14 14 15 information, but is the process different? 15 data from RT Server, that's automatically initiating the 16 A. Yes. operation in RT Client? 16 17 17 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. Q. So how about this, if I send you an e-mail, is 18 the process of the e-mail getting from me to you 18 A. Yes. 19 different, depending on the content of the e-mail? 19 Q. Okay. There are a number of the dependent 20 A. I don't know. 20 claims that specify -- a system is cited in Claim 1, 21 wherein the plurality of subsystems comprises, and then 21 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 22 Q. (By Mr. Dion) Do you think it is? 22 they would further specify two different subsystems. 23 A. Again, I just don't know. I don't have an 23 A. Okav. 24 answer for that question. 24 Q. You familiar with those? Claim 5 has an Q. How does RT Server automatically initiate that example of that form. Do you see what I'm --25 25 Page 163 Page 165 1 1 operation in RT Client? A. I see Claim 5, yes. 2 2 A. How does it automatically do it? There's Q. So, for instance, Claim 5 has a system as 3 recited in Claim 1 wherein the plurality of subsystems nobody involved. 4 Q. Doesn't RT Server just send the information out 4 comprises, then it says, a time with customer subsystem 5 and then it's -- does it do anything after it sends the 5 and also a lead generation subsystem. Do you see that? 6 information over the connection to RT Client? A. I don't see the world "also". 6 7 7 A. Well, I'm sure it's doing many other things Q. Okay. Fair enough. A time with customer 8 8 with respect to that particular transaction. I don't subsystem and a lead generation subsystem. recall anything else that it has to do with respect to 9 A. Yes. Q. Do you understand these claims to require one 10 10 that transaction. 11 Q. So the operation in RT Client happens because 11 subsystem within the system that performs both of these 12 of the receipt of the data, is that right? 12 functions? 13 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 13 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 14 14 A. Yes. A. No. 15 15 Q. Does RT Client care where that information came Q. Do you understand these claims to require two from? 16 separate subsystems within the system, one subsystem 16 17 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 17 performing one function and a different subsystem 18 A. I'm not sure that question makes sense. I'm 18 performing another function? not sure anybody else communicates with RT Client. 19 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 19 20 Q. Does RT Server have any involvement -- does 20 RT -- excuse me. Let me start over. RT Server passes 21 21 Q. So, in your opinion, either of those would that information to RT Client over a communications satisfy these claims. 22 22 23 channel, is that right? 23 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 24 A. Yes. 24 A. Correct.

42 (Pages 162 to 165)

Q. Claim 5, the element a, which is a time with

25

Q. Once RT Server puts that information out there,

25

5

7

21

Page 166

- customer subsystem configured to convert a lead to a
- 2 buying customer. And you state that IA documentation
- describes operation of IA in connection with a call
- center in which operators are directly in touch with
- potential customers and in which the system is
- configured to convert a lead into a buying customer so
- 7 as to close the sale. In that example, what is the
- subsystem that satisfies the time with customer subsystem limitation? 9
- 10 A. Whichever version of RT Client is operating 11 there.
 - Q. Then element b, which is a lead generation subsystem configured to convert a name to a potential customer. And on page 24, you state that -- the last
- 14 15 sentence of that paragraph, the RT Client, when used as
- a front end for call centers or web applications --16
- 17 MR. SPANGLER: I'm sorry, Joel. Did you 18 say 24?
- 19 MR. DION: I'm sorry. 26.
- 20 MR. SPANGLER: 26, thank you.
- Q. (By Mr. Dion) The RT Client, when used as a 21
- front end for call centers or web applications, is a 22
- 23 lead generation system configured to convert a name to a
- 24 potential customer. Is it your understanding that RT
- Client can be used as a front end for call centers or

Page 167

Page 169

Page 168

1 web applications? 2

12

13

8

10

11

25

- A. Yes. Well, it's part of that front end, but 3 it's configured to accomplish that purpose.
- 4 Q. When you say it's part of that front end, what 5 do you mean?
- 6 A. Well, we've talked about it before. It's an 7 embedded system.
 - Q. So, does RT Client -- is RT Client a lead generation system configured to convert a name to a potential sale, if it's not embedded into some other system?
- 12 A. I don't know how RT Client operates stand-13 alone. I'm not sure.
- 14 Q. So, is your opinion here, then, that RT Client, 15 when embedded with some other system, satisfies this 16 element?
- 17 A. RT Client, when it's operating, as I understand 18 it operates, yes.
- 19 Q. So, then, is it possible for Interaction 20 Adviser by itself to infringe Claim 5?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. You just said that it needs -- RT Client needs
- 23 to be embedded into some other system in order to meet
- 24 this limitation.
 - A. Fair enough. But nevertheless, it -- in that

- circumstance, it infringes the claim, yes. 1
- Q. In the circumstance where RT Client is embedded 2 3 into another system?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Would that also be true for the element a of
- 6 Claim 5, the time with customer subsystem?
 - A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Claim 6, element b is an order management
- 9 subsystem configured to convert the sale, such that a
- product or service delivered matches a product or 11
- service sold. And on the top of page 28, you say, IA
- integrated with order management subsystems. What order
- 13 management subsystems do you understand IA integrated 14
- 15 A. Would be the ones referred to in the reference 16 here. I don't remember them by name.
- 17 Q. Would it be the same situation here, where IA 18 only meets this limitation when it is, in fact,
- 19 integrated with one of these other order management 20 systems?
 - MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.
- 22 A. I'm a little bit -- well, I don't quite
- 23 remember exactly what this refers to, so if we look at
- 24 this, it may tell us, but I don't remember.
- 25 Q. Do you understand that Interaction Adviser

- 1 standing alone has an order management subsystem? 2 A. I don't recall that, no.
- 3 Q. Claim 7, again, element b, it's a customer
 - retention subsystem configured to convert an existing
- 5 customer into a lead so as to generate repeat sales.
- 6 And further down the page -- I'm sorry, page 29 -- you
- 7 say, "IA includes a customer retention subsystem." What
- 8 subsystem is that?
- 9 A. It would be -- I believe that this refers to RT 10 Client.
- 11 Q. Would this, again, require that RT Client be 12 embedded with some other system?
- 13 A. I'm not sure that it's necessary, but that was
- 14 the way I was looking at it here. 15 Q. Claim 8, element b. This is on page 31. And
- 16 this calls out a self-management subsystem configured to assist a salesperson in managing sales information. And 17
- then further down that page you say that "the RT Client 18
- subsystems when used to link call centers to RT Server
- are self-management subsystems." So is this, again, the 20
- 21 same situation, where IA meets this limitation, so long
- 22 as RT Client is embedded into some other system? 23
 - A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Claim 20, and it starts on page 35 of your
- 25 report. Do you understand Claim 20 to require a

43 (Pages 166 to 169)

Page 170 Page 172 plurality of subsystems configured to facilitate a phase that those rules change. 1 2 2 Q. How do they change? of the sales process? 3 3 A. They change in that they give different results A. You're asking me whether I believe that the 4 preamble to the claim is a limitation? 4 based on the history of the system. 5 Q. How do you know that that's a change to the Q. I am. 5 6 6 rules, rather than just a different result based on A. My understanding, I believe, is -- well, 7 actually, I don't know whether the preamble is a 7 applying the same rules to a different set of data? 8 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. limitation on this case or not. 9 9 A. Well, the -- let's see. Several places --Q. For purposes of your analysis, you assumed that well, okay. Let's just read through these examples 10 it was and looked for those elements? 10 11 here. 11 A. Correct. 12 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 12 Q. Okay. 13 A. If you take the second example, on page 50, it 13 O. (By Mr. Dion) I think you gave the same answer before for the other claims, is that right? You don't 14 says, "the SSA Inbound Marketing approaches customer 14 know, but you tried to identify the elements in the 15 segmentation and campaign execution differently from preamble anyway. 16 this standard -- referring to a previous technology --16 from this standard business practice by making decisions 17 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 17 in a more automated fashion. These decisions are based 18 A. With respect to the preamble, yes. You said 18 "other claims." I think the only other independent on SSA Inbound Marketing Real-Time Miner technology, and 19 19 20 claim we've dealt with was one, wasn't it? 20 can replace many hard coded targeting rules." 21 What they're identifying is the Inbound Q. Okay. Claim 42, which is on page 49 of your 21 report. I guess this would also apply somewhat to Claim 22 Marketing Real-Time Miner technology is this combination 22 23 41. Both 41 and 42 require an expert system? 23 of the code and the rules set and some of the data that 24 A. Yes. 24 it works with all goes together to make a set of rules that mean that you don't have to reprogram the system 25 Q. What do you understand an expert system to be? Page 171 Page 173 1 A. Expert system, as construed here, is a software 1 all the time, that you can actually get a different program operating on a set of rules which can be application, you can get a different set of --3 automatically updated based upon successful sales 3 functional set of rules because it accumulates or 4 approaches. 4 remembers the events, the results of some of the sales 5 5 Q. What do you understand that to mean? operations. 6 A. I understand it to mean that the -- that the 6 O. You said the code and then the rules set? 7 rules set adapts as the system operates. 7 A. Yeah. The code and the rules set. 8 8 Q. And you've identified here RT miner and/or Q. What's the distinction between the code and the 9 recommender as the expert system, is that right? 9 rules set? 10 10 A. I think the code is the C++ code we've been 11 Q. How do you understand that RT miner has rules 11 talking about, and the rules set are the Real-Time Miner 12 that are automatically updated based on successful sales 12 rules that were discussed, also. 13 approaches? 13 Q. So, the code is the C++ code for what? 14 14 A. For the Real-Time Miners. A. I've got references in here. 15 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 15 Q. Okay. And then the Real-Time Miner rules are 16 A. Is there any particular one that you wanted to separate from the code? 16 17 talk about, or you want me to just read through them? 17 A. Yes. Q. Well, what's your understanding of how RT miner 18 18 O. Is the code also rules? 19 19 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. functions? 20 20 A. My understanding of how RT Miner functions is A. The -- I guess in some respect, yes. that you have the -- that you have the RT Miner code and 21 21 Q. Do those rules change? 22 that you then have a set of rules that go with it that 22 A. No. Not in the sense that's being talked about 23 you define and that those rules are implemented partly 23 here, no.

44 (Pages 170 to 173)

Q. So, what are the then -- just call the code the

code, whether it's rules or not.

24

25

24

in data that's stored on the system, and that as the --

as the system operates, the effect of using those rules,

Page 174

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

11

14

- 1 A. Right.
- 2 Q. What is then the rules set?
- 3 A. I'll have to go back and look at their
- 4 example -- some examples. Remember, I couldn't get to
- those -- to those files, but the rules are described on
- some of the documentation. And you -- well, the 6
- 7 Real-Time Miner rules and the -- again, I can't tell you
- exactly what's in any of them right now, but they have
- 9 several settings in them that adapt to the sales
- 10 transactions, sales operations, offers, acceptances that
- 11 run back and forth through the system.
- 12 Q. What do you mean by they adapt to the 13 transactions?
- A. Some of their internals change. 14
- 15 Q. So, would the internals of the rules change?
- 16
- 17 Q. What's your basis for understanding that they 18 change?
- 19 A. Well, reading through the documentation, they 20 talk about the fact that the rules record the offers and
- acceptances and they change the way they apply, 21
- depending on how many offers are accepted according to 22
- 23 what -- how many offers are accepted within certain
- profiles or within certain -- within some of the
- 25 campaigns.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

21

Q. Okay. Let's maybe keep going through and see where we can see that at. We talked about this second citation here, on page 50. So, what in there says that this is done by changing the rules, rather than by my suggestion, that it's just more data in gets better results out?

Page 176

Page 177

- A. I think that the description of Real-Time Miner technology that replaces hard coded targeting rules.
 - Q. What makes something hard coded?
- 10 A. What?
 - Q. What would make something hard coded?
- 12 A. Just what it sounds like. It's captured in the 13 source code of the system.
 - Q. What else on page 50?
- 15 A. Well, each one of these statements is here 16 because it supports that proposition.
- 17 Q. Okay. Can you explain to me how, though, if we 18 start at the top and work our way down?
- A. Okay. Sure. The system generated multi-row 19 20 profile, which includes all data available from OfferTracker. This data can be used to create complex 21
- 22 offer targeting rules, which are not possible through 23 the TargetingHistory Profile.
- 24 The fact that you have this system set up 25 to create complex offer targeting rules off of the data

Page 175

- Q. Couldn't that -- the way that that process is described in the materials, couldn't that same result also be achieved by having a fixed set of rules, and wouldn't the output still change as the data, the input data changes?
 - MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.
- A. You could achieve something like the same effect, I believe, yes.
- Q. So, if Real-Time Miner just had one algorithm that it applied to everything, as you had more data accumulated to go into that algorithm, you would get different results coming out, is that right?
 - MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.
- 14 A. You could do that, yes.
- 15 Q. In the situation we're talking about, as you 16 accumulated more information about what offers were accepted and what type of people they were accepted by, 17 you might get better targeting of your offers out even 18 19 without changing the rules, isn't that probable?
- 20 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.
 - A. There are ways to do that, yes.
- 22 Q. How do you know that Real-Time Miner doesn't do
- 23 it that way?
- 24 A. That's not the way it talks about it. That's
- 25 not the way that SSA talks about it.

1 collected through the OfferTracker indicates to me that 2 the rules are changing as this profile was built.

- 3 Q. Well, it says the profile system generated, 4 right?
 - A. Right.

5

9

13

15

16

17

18

19

- 6 Q. Then it says that the profile can be used to 7 create complex offer targeting rules. Does it say that 8 the system does that?
 - A. I believe that's the implication here, yes.
- 10 O. Is that within the Real-Time Miner?
- 11 A. I don't know whether that's within the
- 12 Real-Time Miner, the OfferTracker.
 - Q. Is it within the recommender?
- 14 A. And, again, I don't know.
 - Q. Okay. So, to the extent this suggests that the system has changed rules on its own because we don't really know if that refers to Real-Time Miner doing it or Recommender doing it, is that right?
 - MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.
- 20 A. At this point, no.
- 21 Q. And then we already talked about the second
- 22 item here. I think one other question about that.
- 23 Where it says that the system or the technology can
- 24 replace hard coded targeting rules, what are they being 25 replaced with?

45 (Pages 174 to 177)

Page 178 Page 180 A. They were being replaced with this Inbound 1 1 themselves. 2 Marketing Real-Time Miner technology, which I believe is 2 Q. So a purely rules-based system is a rules-based the combination of the Real-Time Miners with the rules 3 system that doesn't modify itself? 4 set that you build in. 4 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. Q. Could it also be the technology the way I 5 5 A. I believe that's what's intended for that 6 described it? 6 state, yes. 7 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 7 Q. What's your basis for that belief? 8 A. I don't know. I don't know. 8 A. Reading it in context. Q. You would imagine, if somebody's trying to sell 9 9 Q. Is self-learning analytics necessarily rules 10 something, they're going to want to suggest that it's 10 that the system can update on its known? better than what you used to use, is that right? 11 11 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 12 A. Yes. 12 A. Not necessarily. 13 Q. What else could it be? Q. So if we read this as a marketing piece, and 13 I'm not sure exactly where it came from but they're 14 A. It could be something else. 14 15 saying that this Real-Time Miner technology can replace 15 Q. And then the last one, the next cite down. many hard coded targeting rules. I don't read that to 16 A. "In contrast, Inbound Marketing only uses 16 preclude the system working the way I discussed it, do 17 17 business rules as conditions for the activation of 18 campaign and the qualification of particular offers. 19 A. I didn't read it that way. I read it the way I 19 Since the analytics are self-learning, each interaction 20 read it, yes. 20 helps refine the model and offer targeting gets better 21 Q. Then the next cite down. 21 and better." 22 A. The Intelligent Business Rules Designer is used 22 Q. So, is it the same thing here, that self-23 to create Intelligent Business Rules. Those rules are 23 learning analytics? used to route and dispatch work items to users and 24 A. The self-learning analytics, yes. 25 groups. 25 Q. Again, that's not necessarily rules that are Page 179 Page 181 1 Q. What's the Intelligent Business Rules Designer? 1 updated by the system? 2 A. I don't remember. 2 A. The self-learning analytics are, I believe, 3 Q. Is it part of Real-Time Miner? part of the rules set that goes in with the Real-Time 4 4 A. I don't think so. system, yes. 5 5 O. Is it part of Recommender? Q. When you talk about the rules set, again, 6 A. No, I don't believe so. 6 that's the set of files that you weren't able to review? 7 Q. Who uses it to create Intelligent Business 7 A. Not. Not just that. I don't know where else 8 Rules? 8 it is, but I'm just saying that I wasn't able to see 9 some files that I wanted to see. I didn't characterize 9 A. I don't remember. MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 10 them as the sole locus of the information. 10 11 Q. (By Mr. Dion) Then the next one down. 11 Q. Did you find somewhere else where this rules 12 A. "Unlike purely rules-based or collaborative 12 set resides? A. I did not. 13 filtering approaches, Inbound Marketing provides a rich 13 set of technologies that enable you to combine the best Q. But you looked for it in other places? 14 14 of rules-based systems, self-learning analytics and 15 offer arbitration in a seamless manner." 16 Q. Is it possible that RTM just doesn't use a 16 17 Q. And what does that mean to you? 17 rules set like that? A. In particular, the phrase "self-learning 18 18 A. I don't believe so. analytics" indicates processes that are adapting as the Q. Why don't you believe so? 19 19 20 information flow goes through it. 20 A. Because of the documentation that I read. Q. Doesn't it also suggest that these are unlike 21 21 Q. But were you ever able to confirm within the 22 rules-based systems? 22 source code that the product is consistent with the 23 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 23 documentation that you read? 24 A. Unlike purely rules-based systems. That is, 24 A. It seemed to me to be, yes. The reactions of 25 25 rules-based systems that don't adapt or don't modify the system to -- it goes back here somewhere and is

46 (Pages 178 to 181)

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

25

Page 182

- effectively a black box. I can't see what's going on 2 there. But what comes back looks to me like it's working the way that I've described.
 - Q. Can you explain what you mean by that?
 - A. You can see the effects of the return information that's coming back to the system, and it matches what's being described in the documentation.
 - O. And you're talking about, when you say you saw this or you've seen this, where exactly? Do you mean in the source code or in using the program?
- 11 A. In the source code.

4

5

6

7

9

10

- 12 Q. Where in the source code did you see that, if 13 you recall?
- 14 A. I can't tell you exactly where, but it's in the structure of the objects that are returned back from the 15 Real-Time Miner operations. 16
- O. Returned back from Real-Time Miner to RT 17 18 Server?
- 19 A. To the RT Server.
- 20 Q. When you say it was a black box, did you have access to the code for Real-Time Miner? 21
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Were you not able to review it and I guess see 24 what was going on inside that black box?
- A. Well, I'm not saying that the RT Miner itself 25

know -- do you recall what file or files you looked at? Do you recall what -- you know --

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

4 A. Hang on. Yes, identified on page 17, the DmEngines area is where the various engines are. And I 6 believe at the top of the page, third line, identified 7

the Mdap engine as the RT Miner.

- Q. Do you recall what specifically you saw within the Mdap engine that you felt was consistent with your view of how the RT Miner functions?
- A. You mean a particular line of code or particular procedure or something like that? No.
- Q. Okay. What's your basis for saying that the Recommender operates on a set of rules which can be automatically updated?
 - A. I don't recall.
- 17 O. Do you still believe that to be accurate about 18 the Recommender?
- 19 A. Well, I don't recall the basis for doing that.
- 20 At the time I wrote this, I believed that to be true. I
- can't give you an affirmation beyond the fact that when 21 22 I wrote it, I thought it was true.
- 23 Q. Do any of these, the citations that we looked 24 at, refer to the Recommender?
- 25 A. No, they don't. Not by name.

Page 183

Page 185

Page 184

- was a black box. I could see most of what it was doing, but I couldn't always see the content of the data that it would be working on. And you can't always see how it's changing because you don't have a functioning 4 5 6
 - Q. The code that you looked at to make this determination, is it referenced anywhere in your report?

7

8 9

10

11

12

25

- Q. Could you show me where that is?
- A. Wherever I described the -- the last printed page in the middle of the page, it says, "source code made available by Infor at the Potter Minton law firm.
- Q. Specifically, you know the code that relates to 13 14 the functionality of the Real-Time Miner that you 15 reviewed that supports your opinion that the functionality is consistent with the documents you read? 16
- A. The references that are here are the references 17 that are here. I used -- in that case, then, it's just 18 the documentation information that I believe was 19 20 supported by what I saw.
- 21 Q. And so that's the question. What is it that 22 you saw?
- 23 A. I saw the source code for the Real-Time Miner. 24 I don't know how more specific to make it at this point.
 - Q. Is there any way you can identify it? Do you

- Q. And the source code that we looked at, was anything in there identified as the Recommender? I shouldn't say the source code we looked at. The source code we mentioned that was on, I believe, page 17 of the report?
- A. Let me see. I don't recall. I don't recall whether the targeting in here refers to the Recommender, I don't believe it does, but I don't remember.
- Q. Outbound Marketing. What is, generally, your understanding of what Outbound Marketing is?
- 11 A. Well, the Outbound Marketing system -- well, 12 first off, it's a very large system and the -- here. 13 Refer to this.
 - Q. And that's Exhibit 4?
 - A. This is Exhibit 4, yes. Pages 25, 26, 27 give pictures of the Outbound Marketing system.
 - O. What functionality does Outbound Marketing provide for its customers?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- 20 A. The Outbound Marketing does a great deal of reporting and also does generation of some types of 21 22 campaign information that are used for doing -- well, 23 some types of campaign information.
 - Q. Does outbound Marketing implement campaigns?

A. Does it implement campaigns?

47 (Pages 182 to 185)

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

20

8

18

23

Page 186

1 Q. Yeah. Can it --

2

3

4

6

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

10 11

12

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. I believe Outbound Marketing produces supporting materials for campaigns, things like lists and -- well, things like lists.
 - Q. Lists of what, for example?
- 7 A. Oh, I'm sorry. E-mail contacts, addresses, names and addresses, things like that.
 - Q. Okay. So if an offer has certain criteria that you have to satisfy in order to get that offer extended to you, Outbound Marketing would go through the population to determine which customers meet that criteria and then generate a list?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. If you have a campaign definition, yes, you can -- I believe you get a list that is appropriate to the offer in the population that you've identified for that campaign.
- 19 Q. Is there a difference between marketing and 20 sales?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. What do you think that difference is?
- A. Marketing is generally done with the object of 23 24 generating sales by setting up a good environment for 25

them.

Page 187

- 1 Q. Is Outbound Marketing a sales system or 2 marketing system?
- 3 A. It's -- for purposes of the patent, it's a 4 sales system.
- 5 Q. Why is that?
- 6 A. Because it does the things that are described 7 in the claims.
- 8 Q. What do you mean by "does the things that are described in the claims"? 9
 - A. Well, it's a system for sales; that is, it supports and promotes sales, and it meets all of the other requirements of the claims.
- 13 Q. Which requirements of the claims -- strike 14 that.

15 How, in your view, does Outbound Marketing 16 facilitate a sales process?

17 A. Well, at a very fundamental level, it -- for 18 instance, let's go back to what we were talking about before, just generating lists or generating the types of 19 20 reports that are discussed in the documentation, where the sales performance is presented for evaluation to 21 22 direct people on how to modify the campaigns that 23 they're working on.

24 So, it supports it by providing information 25 that is used to build the campaigns with. It also

1 supports it by providing direct material that can be

2 used in the campaigns. In general, marketing tends to 3 support sales. 4

- Q. But marketing is different than sales.
- 5 A. Again, those things are commonly classified 6 differently. There's no hard boundary between them. 7 And, like I said, although Outbound Marketing is self-8 described as a marketing system, it qualifies as a sales 9 system, according to the description in the patent.
 - Q. So, any system that meets the elements of these claims would necessarily, then, be a sales system? MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.
 - A. I'm not sure that the statement is that broad. All I was saying was that in this instance, looking at this stuff and looking at the patent, this looks like a sales system, as it's described in the patent.
 - Q. How is a sales system described in the patent?
- 18 A. I don't know. Give me the spec, and let's go 19 to work.

(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 3 MARKED.)

- 21 A. Okay. Why don't we start with Figure 4 in the 22 patent?
- 23 Q. We marked that as Exhibit 3, is that right?
- 24 A. It's marked as Exhibit 3, yes. So, we start 25 with Figure 4, and then turn to the description about

Page 189

Page 188

- 1 Figure 4 on the bottom of Column 11 in the spec.
- 2 Q. Okay.
- 3 A. The specification says that Figure 4 is

4 intended mostly for the purpose of identifying some of the subsystems that are described in other claims, but

- 6 the implication here is that Figure 4, with the event
- 7 manager, is at least part of a sales system.
 - Q. Okay.
- 9 A. Sales system includes presentations, life cycle 10 costs, performance evaluation, competitive

11 comparisons... and other, okay? That is, there's a very broad description here, a very broad implication about

- 13 what goes into a sales system. If you want, we can do more examples out of here. 14
- 15 Q. Well, let me ask you one other question. Would Outbound Marketing fit into one of these categories 16 17 here?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. Does it -- is it a configurator? Is it a 19 20 finance system? Is that what you're saying?
- 21 Q. That's what I'm saying.
- 22 A. No, I don't think so.
 - Q. Okay. I don't know that we need to spend too
- 24 much time going down this road.
- 25 A. Okay.

48 (Pages 186 to 189)

3

4

5

6

7

15

16

22

25

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

Page 190

- 1 Q. So, Outbound Marketing. I'm looking at page 56 2 of your report.
- 3 A. Okay.

4

6

7

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

- Q. Again, the first claim element, Claim 1 is that it's "a plurality of subsystems configured to facilitate one or more actions performed during at least one phase of the sales process." You've identified campaign management, sophisticated database management,
- integrated OLAP and predictive analytics as a subsystem. 10 Is that accurate?
- A. Yes. Those are among the subsystems I 11 12 identified.
- 13 Q. How do you know that each of those is a 14 subsystem?
- 15 A. Okay. If you go to page 21 of Exhibit 4.
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 A. That bottom section of the page begins, "you
- 18 can use Outbound Marketing applications to review,
- 19 analyze and act on information that resides in a
- 20 state-of-the-art data mart through a Web based
- 21 interface." Skip over a little bit, it says, "the
- 22 Outbound Marketing applications such as E.piphany
- Insight and the Outbound Marketing give users the
- 24 following capabilities: Online analytical processing,
- that's the OLAP, data mining, list management, campaign

Page 191

- management." Says, "these powerful applications are deployed through the Outbound Marketing server, an application server that allows them to work together in a tightly integrated fashion."
- So, I believe that's the indicator here, that these things are applications -- separable applications within the system.
- Q. Well, the way I read that it says, "Outbound Marketing applications such as E.piphany Insight and the Outbound Marketing give the users the following
- 11 capabilities." So, isn't E.piphany Insight and Outbound Marketing that are identified there as the applications? 12
- MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 13
- 14 A. I'm not sure that that's true. I understand
- 15 that reading. I'm not sure that's true. I think that
- the data mining is an application. I think that list 16
- 17 management is an application. I think that campaign management is an application. And I think that OLAP, 18
- 19 online analytical processing, is an application.
- 20
 - Q. You do or don't?
- 21 A. I do.
- Q. But it merely says here that those are 22
- 23 capabilities, is that right?
- 24 A. It describes them as capabilities that are
- 25 presented by the applications, yes.

Page 192

- Q. And when it says "these powerful applications," is it possible to also read it the way I do, that "these powerful applications" refers back to E.piphany Insight and Outbound Marking rather than to the capabilities?
 - MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.
 - A. Yes, it is possible to read it that way.
 - Q. Did you review the OM source code?
- 8 A. Some.
- 9 Q. Did you review the OM source code to try and 10 determine whether or not these functionalities were 11 indeed applications?
- 12 A. I actually worked off of the documentation 13 here. I thought it was much closer to the patent 14
 - Q. You thought the documentation was much closer to the patent claims than the source code?
- 17 A. No. Much closer to the patent claims than even 18 the documentation in IA. I thought this was a pretty 19 straight read.
- 20 Q. So, then, you didn't look at the source code to 21 confirm your reading of the documentation?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- 23 A. I looked at some of the source code. I did not 24 pursue it to the extent that I did the IA.
 - Q. Do you recall, specifically, what source code

Page 193

- 1 you looked at?
- 2 A. No. But we printed off a little bit of it 3 early on. I couldn't tell you the names of the stuff I 4 printed. 5
 - Q. Do you recall what the source code that you looked at related to?
 - A. Actually, the Outbound Marketing system was very large. We looked through -- it was six -- six very large directories of source code. We looked through it -- yeah, we looked at it. That's all I can tell you.
 - Q. Okay. So here where it says that "applications such as Insight and Outbound Marketing give users the following capabilities."
 - A. Right.
- 15 O. You understand that to mean that those capabilities are also applications. 16
 - A. I understand it to mean that those capabilities are subsystems, is the way I identified them.
 - Q. What's the basis for saying that they're subsystems?
 - A. They're very, very separable processes.
- 22 They -- I mean, these are -- I just think that they're 23 very clearly separate things.
- 24
- Q. Would it be possible to write a single 25 application that had all of those capabilities?

49 (Pages 190 to 193)

Page 194 Page 196 1 1 as a separate subsystem here. 2 2 Q. Okay. And then, the OLAP? Q. In your view, would that application still have four different subsystems? 3 A. Right. 4 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 4 Q. Is on this list. You have it as integrated 5 A. Not necessarily. OLAP. Is that just because that quote there comes from Q. Why not? 6 6 a different document? 7 7 A. Right. The -- I think -- let's go back. A. Just because I don't think you would 8 You're right. The word "integrated" doesn't come off of necessarily have to do that. But remember, I did look at the source code, and this stuff is broken up into 9 that list. It's just OLAP there, and I don't --9 10 multiple subsystems, and I believe that those are 10 Q. Do you understand OLAP on this list and 11 11 properly identified as such. integrated OLAP on this list to be the same thing? 12 Q. What source code, specifically, demonstrates 12 A. Yes. I couldn't tell you how the word that each of these functionalities is a different 13 13 "integrated" got in there. subsystem? 14 Q. And then predictive analytics, which is on the 14 list in your report, I don't see that anywhere here on 15 A. I don't have an answer for that. 15 Q. Is it cited in your report? 16 this list. 16 17 A. The source code? 17 A. Right. Q. The source code. 18 Q. What's your basis for saying that predictive 18 19 A. Other than the general designation, no. 19 analytics is a subsystem? 20 Q. And is it cited in this Exhibit 4 somewhere? 20 A. Again, it will be in here. It's discussed -- I just have to look and see, but it's -- hang on. I don't 21 A. No. 21 Q. Do you believe it to be among the source code remember the source of that exact phrase, but the 22 22 23 that you printed out? 23 analytics are discussed on page 23. 24 A. It may be. 24 Q. Where on 23 do you see that? 25 A. One, two, three, fourth button there. Here it 25 Q. But you don't know that for sure right now? Page 195 Page 197 1 uses the phrase "analytical calculations," but other A. I don't know for sure. 2 Q. So it's possible that within Outbound Marketing places, they're called analytics. 3 Q. And this list is -- says, "the Outbound one or more -- more than one of these functionalities could be carried out by a single subsystem. 4 Marketing server connects Outbound Marketing 4 5 5 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. applications to the data mart. This application server performs the following tasks." And then that's listed 6 A. It is theoretically possible. I do not believe 6 7 7 as one of the tasks that the Outbound Marketing server that's true. 8 Q. You don't believe it to be true. But -- okay. 8 performs. Do you agree with that? 9 A. I believe that that statement actually refers So, on your list, you have the campaign management, which I also see on this list, you have sophisticated 10 to the invocation of these subsystems, that these are 11 database management, which I don't see on this list. 11 the tasks that are accomplished by using these 12 12 subsystems. A. Okay. 13 Q. Is that one of these items? 13 Q. What's your basis for saying that? A. I'm sorry. It's not on that list, no. 14 A. Because I read the stuff. 14 Q. You read what stuff, something besides this? 15 O. It's not on this list? 15 A. It's not on the list I just talked to you 16 A. This stuff. I believe that statement there 16 17 17 actually says that. about, no. 18 Q. So what's your basis, then, for saying that 18 Q. So your understanding of "this application 19 sophisticated database management is a subsystem? server performs the following tasks," you read that as 19 20 A. The -- if you go look at that -- pages 25, 6 20 this application server invokes certain subsystems to 21 and 7 all describe the EpiCenter Data Mart, and 21 perform the following tasks?

50 (Pages 194 to 197)

A. It says, the Outbound Marketing server connects

Outbound Marketing applications to the data mart, okay?

And it says it's an application server. That is, it's

invoking these applications to perform these tasks. I

22

23

24

25

there's -- I mean, the discussion of the EpiCenter Data

sophisticated database management that we're talking

Mart pervades all this system. That is part of the

about there, and that's I believe clearly identifiable

22 23

24

2

4

9

Page 198

1 believe that's what it's saying.

- Q. Okay. I want to go back to something you said when we first started talking about Outbound Marketing. You said that you looked at the documentation and
- less -- I think less so at the source code as compared
- 6 to IA, because you felt the documentation for Outbound
- 7 Marketing matched more closely to the claim language
- than the documentation for IA did?
 - A. Yes.

2

4

9

- 10 Q. Could you explain that a little bit?
- 11 A. I think the statement stands by itself. I
- mean, this -- the descriptions used in the documentation 12
- 13 for Outbound Marketing are much more expressive,
- directly to the level that the patent is drawn. The 14
- 15 language is very, very close to what's in here. The --
- it just reads straight on it. I mean, the -- it's a 16
- 17 very straightforward mapping from one to the other. 18
 - Q. And you didn't feel that was the case for IA.
- 19 A. Not as much. The IA system, it has the expert 20 system in it and, you know, it's -- it's a more complex
- 21
- 22 Q. The list that we're looking at, the list on 23
- page 57 of your report about subsystems and then the couple of different lists we've looked at in the
- 25 exhibit --
 - Page 199

Page 201

- 1 A. Right.
- 2 Q. -- did those come from marketing materials?
- 3 A. They may be from marketing materials. They may 4 be from documentation material.
- 5 O. Did you see any architecture diagrams for 6 Outbound Marketing?
- 7 A. Architecture beyond what we looked at earlier, 8 the system description?
- 9 Q. Yeah. Anything beyond that? Well, we looked at -- you're referring to page, 25, 26 and 27? 10
- 11 A. I'm sure I have others in here, but I'm not 12 sure what you're after.
- 13 Q. Any technical documentation from the 14 development side, rather than the customer side? 15

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. Well, we have results of depositions that were taken, factual depositions that relate to the programs that were more technical. Some of the documents that
- we're talking about are not just documents for marketing 19 20 professionals, but they're documents for people who are
- 21 installing the system or configuring the system, and
- 22 they're -- like I say, they're very good.
- 23 Q. If you look at page 25, 26 or 27, look at the 24 diagrams there.
- 25 A. Okay.

16

17

18

Q. There's both an Outbound Marketing server and then an application server.

Page 200

- 3 A. Right.
 - Q. Are those different?
- 5 A. Well, yeah, they show up as different items
- 6 here, yes. The J2EE application server, is that what 7
 - you're talking about?
- 8 O. Uh-huh.
 - A. Yeah.
- 10 Q. I think when we were talking about some of the 11 functionalities, you had said that the OM server was an
- application server to the Outbound Marketing 12
- 13 applications? 14
 - MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.
- 15 A. Talking about -- I believe at the top of page 16 23.
- 17 Q. Yes. So, is that reference there to this 18 application server, is that referring to the Outbound
- 19 Marketing server?
- 20 A. I believe the Outbound Marketing server is 21 shown next to the application server. The J2EE
- 22 application server, is that what you're talking about?
 - Q. Uh-huh.
- 24 A. Yeah.

23

4

11

- 25 MR. DION: All right. We're due for
- 1 another break before we run out of tape.
- 2 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:49, we're off 3 the record.

(OFF THE RECORD FROM 3:49 TO 4:01 P.M.)

- 5 VIDEOGRAPHER: Time is 4:01, we're back on 6 the record.
- 7 Q. (By Mr. Dion) Going to change gears slightly here. I wanted to ask you a few more questions about
- 8 9 the actual process you used to prepare the exhibits to
- 10 your report.

A. Okay.

- 12 Q. I believe I asked you earlier, but I'm going to
- 13 ask you again, just so it's fresh in my mind. Did you
- have any role in preparing the infringement contentions 14 15 in this matter?
- 16
- A. Talking about the preliminary infringement 17 contentions?
- 18 Q. Did you have any role in preparing the preliminary infringement contentions?
- 19 20 A. I really don't remember. I did a lot of work
- 21 on the -- whatever it is -- the rework. I don't
- 22 remember how much I did, if I did any on the preliminary 23 infringement contentions.
- 24 MR. SPANGLER: I went back over and looked
- 25 at that stipulation. I'd like you to review it. I

51 (Pages 198 to 201)

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Page 202

don't think anything we went into and did in generating the report is discoverable or the exhibits attached thereto.

MR. DION: I think we're entitled to know the process used to generate his report and how much of it is his own work product. I think you asked similar questions of our experts without our objection, and I don't read the stipulation to be that broad. I read it to cover discovery of actual drafts, hard copies of drafts, which I'm not asking him for, and communications with the lawyer.

I'm not asking him about his communications with you, I'm asking him about what he did. If we're going to have an issue over this, I purposely did it now, you know, at this time of day, before it got too late, because if we're going to have an issue, we're going to have to have a hotline call, because I think we're entitled to this information.

MR. SPANGLER: Call them up.

MR. ZAHER: So we're clear, you're objecting to him answering the question?

22 MR. SPANGLER: Let me hear the exact 23 question. I'm not going to let it go wide open.

24 MR. ZAHER: Let's see if we can work it

25 out --

1 2

3

4

6 7

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

21

25

Page 203

MR. SPANGLER: I've got the stip up. You may want to pull the stip up, too, as we go through this.

MR. ZAHER: Why don't you ask your question, Joel?

Q. (By Mr. Dion) Did you have a role in preparing the amended infringement contentions?

A. Yes.

MR. SPANGLER: Which ones? This is the third set, I believe. You asked us to amend the first time, then is the second set. So I want to clarify for the record which one you're talking about.

- Q. (By Mr. Dion) I guess let me ask you that. You had a role in the most recent infringement contentions that were served on Infor?
- A. If you're talking about the exhibits to my report, I had a role in preparing those.
- 18 Q. Is it your understanding that those are the same as the most recent set of infringement contentions 19 20 that were served?
 - A. I don't know whether that's true.
- 22 Q. Did you have a role in preparing anything other
- 23 than the exhibits to your report?
- 24 A. Yes.
 - Q. What was that?

1 A. I have worked with these documents in previous 2 versions, but I was asked to review the previous 3 versions. I believe that I contributed to changes in

Page 204

4 them, but I don't know -- I don't know how much impact 5 that had on the documents. I just don't recall. 6

Q. How much work did you do on generating the actual content that's in the exhibits to your report?

MR. SPANGLER: Hold on. Let me think about that question. Shall not be subject to discovery upon any drafts of the report, leading up to the draft of his exhibits leading up to his report.

MR. DION: I'm not asking about the drafts. I'm asking about the final ones that he served.

MR. SPANGLER: Yeah. I don't get how that's different. So, you can ask about the final, but not how he got to the final? That's how you're reading the stipulation? You can ask how you got to the final without asking -- let me rephrase that. The stipulation says you can't get discovery on anything leading up to the report, but you can find out about the final report?

MR. DION: What's the language of the stipulation?

23 MR. SPANGLER: Testifying experts shall not 24 be subject to discovery, right? On any draft of the report. That doesn't mean you don't get the drafts. 25

Page 205

1 That means not subject to discovery on any drafts. 2 Discovery can be communications, anything else. And

3 such draft reports, notes, outlines or any other

4 writings leading up to a report in this litigation are 5 exempt from discovery.

6 In addition, all communications to and 7 from -- that obviously includes attorneys -- and you're 8 asking him what we told him to do or not do, a

9 testifying expert and all materials generated by a 10 testifying expert with respect to that person's work,

11 that includes the attorney's work, are exempt from

discovery unless relied upon by the expert in forming

any opinions in this litigation. His final report, what 13 14 he relied on in generating this, he cited all of it in

15 his Exhibit 2.

16

17

18

19

20

MR. DION: The fact is, if the attorneys, and I don't know this to be the case, but if the attorneys prepared those exhibits and gave them to him, that's a substantial part of his report. If that's the case --

21 MR. SPANGLER: He's already testified that 22 that's not the case.

23 MR. DION: Well, he testified he had some 24 involvement. He didn't testify that he created them 25 entirely. He testified that the attorneys did some and

52 (Pages 202 to 205)

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

19

21

22

1

8

9

10

11

15

18

19

20

21 22

Page 206

he did some. 1

2

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. SPANGLER: And I'm not going to -- that reveals what communications we had back and forth in the drafts of reports and what each person did, and I'm not going to let him answer that question.

MR. DION: If those documents were prepared in large measure or primarily by attorneys and then simply given to him and that represents the bulk of his report, I think we have a basis to challenge his testimony at trial for failure to comply with Rule 26, and I think we're entitled to make inquiry into that.

MR. SPANGLER: You also need to read, one, that doesn't get to the stipulation. Two, you might want to pull up another e-mail that I can go to, in response to the motion to strike, when you add the claim charts for the first time on claims that you never charted before. Let me pull that right up. Now, what is it you want to do with the report? Under Rule 26, what is it you want to do?

20 MR. DION: I want to explore who prepared 21

22 MR. SPANGLER: I know, but you said at 23 trial what do you want to do?

24 MR. DION: I think that if the report was 25 not prepared by the expert, we have a basis to challenge Page 208

1 to read for any purpose, for any reason whatsoever? 2 That's not at all what the context of the purpose of 3 that e-mail, and we do not agree with that. That is not 4 our position. We are not going to take that position. 5

MR. SPANGLER: We have your stipulation on what you get and what you don't get with respect to experts. Again, language, you guys understood and proposed, we negotiated and agreed to, and then you guys, as a result of the motion to strike that you guys wanted us to pull down, you agreed to this language,

MR. ZAHER: It had nothing to do with these questions. We're going to pose the questions.

14 MR. SPANGLER: I want to know all the 15 questions that he has, we'll go through them, because 16 the Court's going to want to know what the total 17 questions are, because he's not going to do a hotline 18 call, and then we do another one --

MR. ZAHER: On this last question, you're 20 instructing him not to answer.

MR. SPANGLER: Let me hear the question again.

23 Q. (By Mr. Dion) What percentage of the substance 24 in the exhibits to your report you say that you 25 prepared, personally?

Page 207

Mr. Cole being offered as an expert, because if he didn't prepare his report, he hasn't complied with his obligations under Rule 26.

MR. SPANGLER: "Infor agrees that it will not seek to strike Tipton Cole's report or SFA's infringement contentions without prejudice to Infor's ability to present and argue the evidence in conjunction with dispositive and/or pretrial motions and at trial or post trial."

You can fight the material in it, but you can't move to strike his report or the contentions. You agreed to that. I've got it right here. You agreed to that because we had a motion to strike pending all your dependent claims on invalid claim charts that you've never done before. You remember this agreement?

MR. DION: I remember this agreement. I don't remember it to suggest that we couldn't challenge him on any basis whatsoever. It was related to the amendments or the contentions.

MR. SPANGLER: You cannot strike his report. You cannot even seek to strike his report or the infringement contentions. That's what you agreed to.

24 MR. ZAHER: Andrew, that agreement has only 25 to do with the subject matter there. You're reading it

Page 209 MR. SPANGLER: Don't answer that, Tipton.

2 Let me think.

3 MR. ZAHER: You have no objection to that 4 question?

5 MR. SPANGLER: I said, let me think.

6 MR. ZAHER: Oh, I thought you said he could 7 answer.

MR. SPANGLER: Worded just like that, I cannot let him answer. There's ways you could word it differently where you could get some information, but as it's currently written, the answer is no, I object.

12 MR. DION: Could you explain your basis for 13 objecting?

14 MR. SPANGLER: If you want to know how much was drafted by someone other than him, that was not 16 under his direction and authority, you are welcome to 17 explore that.

MR. DION: Okay.

MR. SPANGLER: Okay? But just asking him whether we worked as a team under his direction as our testifying expert, that's covered by the stipulation.

MR. DION: Fair enough.

23 MR. SPANGLER: What was done by the 24 attorneys only? Fair enough. 25

Q. (By Mr. Dion) How much, approximately, of the

53 (Pages 206 to 209)

Page 210 Page 212 sales process, along with these other systems. The contents of the exhibits to your report would you say 1 was drafted by somebody other than you and not under intention, I believe, was that the other systems were 2 phases of the sales process, but they're also subsystems 3 your direction or supervision? 4 A. None of it. 4 of the -- sorry. They are subsystems that perform 5 Q. Okay. actions during a phase of the sales process. 6 MR. ZAHER: Can we take a break? 6 Q. Okay. So campaign management obviously appears 7 MR. SPANGLER: Sure. 7 on both lists. 8 VIDEOGRAPHER: Time is 4:12, we're off the 8 A. Right. 9 Q. That's a subsystem and also a phase of the 9 record. 10 (OFF THE RECORD FROM 4:12 TO 4:15 P.M.) 10 sales process? VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 4:15, we're back 11 A. Yes. 11 12 on the record. 12 Q. And then campaign execution, same thing, a Q. (By Mr. Dion) So, moving back to Outbound 13 subsystem and also a phase of the sales process? 13 Marketing, so far, of the subsystems that you've A. Correct. 14 14 15 identified, we talked about the campaign management, 15 Q. Marketing management, same thing? database management, the OLAP and predictive analytics. 16 A. Yes. And IA, again, probably shouldn't be 16 17 I think you said those were some of the subsystems you 17 there, I think I --MR. SPANGLER: Joel, we need to take a 18 identified. Are there others? 18 19 A. Are there others? Oh, well, it was the 19 short break. 20 references here to the campaign execution and the 20 MR. DION: Okay. marketing management. 21 VIDEOGRAPHER: Time is 4:19, we're off the 21 22 Q. Campaign execution and marketing management, 22 record. 23 23 are those ---(OFF THE RECORD FROM 4:19 TO 4:22 P.M.) 24 A. Just referring to these documents here to 24 VIDEOGRAPHER: Time is 4:22, we're back on 25 direct that. 25 the record. Page 211 Page 213 1 1 Q. Okay. Do those documents identify additional MR. SPANGLER: I have had a conversation 2 subsystems? with my co-counsel, Mr. David Pridham, regarding the 3 3 last line of questioning, and we believe that that was A. Let me read through it again and make sure. an attempt to violate both stipulations, and just want 4 I'm sorry. Campaign management's redundant. 5 to put you on notice that if you move to strike any or 5 Marketing -all of Mr. Cole's report, we will be re-urging our 6 Q. Let me maybe short-circuit this. The way I 7 read this, that second sentence is that the subsystems 7 motion to strike. So, that's on the record. You can 8 are configured to facilitate one or more actions continue, if you want, Joel. 9 Q. (By Mr. Dion) Okay. Again, we're talking performed during at least one phase of the sales about Outbound Marketing and the element a1. I think we process, including -- I read that "including" to be 10 10 11 referring to the phases of the sales process, and then 11 were just getting to the part here, you said there's a reference to IA. I understand that to be Interaction campaign management, campaign execution, marketing 12 13 management are being referenced as phases of the sales 13 Adviser, is that correct? process. Is my reading of that correct, or am I 14 A. Yes. 14 15 15 misinterpreting what you meant there? Q. I think you were saying that was perhaps in MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. Can you 16 16 error? 17 17 tell me what page real quick? A. Out of place at this point, yes. MR. DION: 57, at the bottom. 18 Q. That would perhaps be relative to your 18 19 MR. SPANGLER: Okay. 19 allegations about IA and OM and they integrate? 20 20 A. Not very elegantly put. The campaign A. Hang on for just a second here. management is identified as a subsystem in the first 21 21 Q. Okay. 22 A. Yeah. That -- that, I think, is out of bounds 22 part of this, where I'm identifying some of the 23 subsystems. 23 for this element. 24 Q. Right. 24 Q. Okay. Now, the documents that are referenced 25 25 after campaign execution and marketing management, do A. And then it is identified as a phase of the

54 (Pages 210 to 213)

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

Page 214

- 1 they identify additional subsystems?
- 2 A. I believe it identifies those systems.
- 3 Q. Okay. So this paragraph here has the universe 4 of subsystems that you've identified within Outbound 5 Marketing?
 - A. I believe so, yes.
- 7 Q. And you have identified as the event manager at Outbound Marketing the OM server, is that right?
 - A. Correct.

6

9

17

- 10 Q. These subsystems that you identified were the 11 different features that you've identified that you say are also subsystems? 12
- 13 A. I believe so.
- 14 Q. Do you have an understanding of how Outbound
- 15 Marketing carries out each of those tasks or
- functionalities? 16
 - MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.
- 18 A. I think so, yes.
- 19 Q. So, campaign management, do you believe that to
- 20 be separate from the Outbound Marketing server? 21
 - MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.
- 22 A. Again, we've had this discussion previously
- 23 with the IA server, but yes, in the same way that I
- believe that IA Manager is separate from the Real-Time
- 25 Server.

1

2

3

4

1 Outbound Marketing?

2 A. Well, sort of. Actually, we could use that, it 3 actually does serve here, because what it's showing here

Page 216

Page 217

4 is that for each of the applications that runs here,

- 5 they run out at the business user's level here on the
- 6 Web pages, and each of the -- for each of the systems
- 7 that these users are operating -- this actually works 8 better.

For each of the systems that these users are operating, they're all running through the Outbound Marketing server to get the appropriate data to do the 12 proper data queries, and so forth, to serve those applications.

- Q. Okay. So, where -- and I understand it's obviously not a label on this chart, but if I were looking for the campaign management subsystem, where would that be on this diagram?
- 18 A. That's why I was saying that -- I was actually 19 doing the same thing, looking for something specific. 20 But the campaign management is going to be performed --
- 21 the operation of the -- that the end users perform is
- 22 all done out at the business users level here on the Web
- 23 pages. That -- the information for the Web pages goes
- through the Outbound Marketing server for resolution
- from the databases.

Page 215

- Q. Do you know if OM server has any role in campaign management?
- A. Has any role in campaign management?
 - Q. Yes.
- 5 A. I'm not sure how to answer that exactly. I'm 6 not sure how to answer that.
- 7 Q. What parts of the Outbound Marketing system 8 carry out the campaign management capability?
- A. Okay. Let me check in here a little bit. The 9 Outbound Marketing server, I believe, sits between all 10
- 11 of these -- all of these subsystems and helps to serve the -- all the data that's used to do the market -- you
- 13 know, to do the marketing management reports, and so
- forth. All that runs through the Outbound Marketing 14
- 15
- Q. Okay. Which components of the system perform 16 17 the campaign management function?
- 18 A. The --
- 19 Q. If I could ask you to turn to page 27.
- 20 A. Sure.
- 21 Q. I don't know if this will be helpful or not,
- 22 but at the top there, there's a diagram that's labeled
- 23 "Outbound Marketing Architecture." You see that?
- 24 A. Right.

25

Q. So that should be presumably all the pieces of

Q. Okay.

- 2 A. And Outbound Marketing server then serves the 3 answers for each of those applications back up through
- 4 this. This is -- it's a generic description, but it
- 5 serves for each of these applications.
 - Q. So, I think you said that the information for the applications is -- comes from Outbound Marketing server?

(MR. ZAHER LEAVES ROOM.)

- A. I'm sorry, the information --
- Q. For each of the different applications on the
- Web page comes from the Outbound Marketing server? 12
 - A. Yes. The Outbound Marketing server sits
- 14 between the applications and the database.
- 15 Q. Okay. So, campaign management is -- we could also say it's a functionality that the business users 16
- have when they're sitting at their computer, is that
- 17 18 right?
- 19 A. Yes, actually, your campaign, reporting and
- 20 data mining Web pages. Campaign management takes place out there. 21
- 22 Q. Okay. Have you actually used the software, the 23 outbound Marketing software?
- A. I think we made an attempt very early on, but 24 25 it didn't work out very well. So, no, we haven't used

55 (Pages 214 to 217)

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

3

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 218

1 it directly.

2

4

6

7

8

- Q. So, when the business user is performing the campaign management function, they interface with the system through a Web page, what would comprise the campaign management subsystem?
- A. Campaign management subsystem will be that part that handles the -- defines the Web pages that are used for the campaign management.
- 9 Q. The part of the system that defines the Web 10 pages that are used for campaign management?
- 11 A. Yeah. Well, these users are getting Web pages, 12 and the Web pages that they're getting have to be defined in the system, and that part of the system that 13 14 handles the campaign management will be that part of it 15 that's responsible for those pages.
- Q. Do you know where that part of the system is 16 17 that handles campaign management?
- 18 A. Specifically, no.
- 19 Q. Is it possible that it's within Outbound
- 20 Marketing server?
- 21 A. I think we're just going to go in circles,
- 22 again, about this, in the sense that you're talking
- 23 about it. Again, it's like the other stuff, it's
- 24 possible that you can identify it that way, but I don't
- think that it's properly identified that way.

Page 219

- 1 Q. So, even if in my view I would say it's within 2 the Outbound Marketing server, that wouldn't change your opinion that it's, nonetheless, separate and coupled to, 3
- as the claims use that language, is that what you're 4 5 getting at? 6
 - A. Correct. Right.
- 7 Q. Now, you also said that the Outbound Marketing 8 server sits been the Web applications and the data mart? 9
 - A. Yes.

17

- 10 Q. Does it perform the database management 11 functionality?
- 12 A. It interfaces with the database management 13 system, yes. Doesn't perform the database management functionality, but interfaces to the database systems. 14
- Q. So there would be something here similar to the 15 16 data access engine that we talked about with IA?
 - A. The EpiMart. The EpiData Mart.
- Q. Okay. So the EpiMart is the --18
- 19 A. The EpiData Mart is the database management
- 20 system, has the multiple -- the EpiMart, the Epi Meta
- Data Mart and the Epi OP data. 21
- 22 Q. How does that sophisticated database management
- 23 facilitate a phase of the sales process?
- 24 A. This is the information that's being put out to
- 25 everybody to do their work. This facilitates it by

informing people of what's happening in their marketing operations, what's happening in their sales operations,

Page 220

- what they plan for their campaigns, what they want to do. It's the -- again, the rudimentary or fundamentals
- 5 of the sales process. 6
 - Q. When you say that, does the EpiMart do something in addition to extracting data from the data sources in response to queries and passing it back to other parts of the system?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

A. It covers a fair amount of ground, but beyond the EpiMart, the Epi Channel underneath it interfaces to the external systems, as it's shown here in the chart, or in this page 27 in the Outbound Marketing Architecture.

But the EpiData Mart identified as the management or control of those three databases or three gross databases, yes, it does more than just serve things out for responses to the data manager.

- Q. What else does it do?
- 21 A. It's got to load the information in, for one 22 thing. The control and management of how the system 23 works is part of this. You have the Epi Channel Service that works with it to get the information inside, and
- the Epi Channel below it that gets the external

Page 221

1 information that brings it in here.

- 2 Q. The OLAP?
 - A. Uh-huh.
- 4 Q. Where would that functionality reside within 5 this diagram?
- 6 A. Well, as we had the campaign management is 7 under the campaign part of the business users block out 8 there, the reporting would, among other things, include 9 the OLAP reporting.
- 10 Q. And the predictive analytics?
 - A. The predictive analytics, the -- I believe is not really identified well here.
 - Q. Do you have an understanding of where it is in the system or how it's carried out by the system?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. I have some understanding that it's -- from other places in here, if you'd like for me to find something, I can try, but that the predictive analytics is using the information both from the Epi Mart and the Epi Meta and the Epi OP databases to give, for lack of a better term, predictive analysis of the marketing operations.
- Q. And you think that's occurring somewhere, just not really --
 - A. I don't think it's called out here, and I don't

56 (Pages 218 to 221)

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

21

22

23

24

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

Page 222

- believe it fits in the business users. It would be a service that's more accurately, I believe, associated with the reporting.
- Q. Okay. When we talk about the reporting, you said, again, that's out on the website, at least to some degree, is that right?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

1 2

3

6

7

8

10

13

- Q. So, user interacts with that through what, just a regular browser, Internet Explorer or --
- 10 A. I believe so. That you're using Web pages there in much the same way that you were operating with 11 the IA Manager, that you're serving out the Web page. 12
- 13 Q. So, now, if we're talking about the reporting, I think you said that OM has some relatively robust 14 15 reporting capabilities?
- A. Yes. 16
- 17 Q. That's obviously not Internet Explorer that's 18 doing the actual, I guess, computation --
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. -- of the reporting, is that right?
- 21 A. (Witness nods head.)
- 22 Q. Is there something else on the user's computer
- 23 that's actually generating the report?
- 24 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.
- A. I'm sorry. Something else on the user's 25

Page 224 1

Q. What is -- if I get a report, is it just HTML that's passed to the user's computer that defines just 3 the content of the report? 4

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. Only as you ask that question I think about it. That's essentially true, yes.
- Q. Okay. So, to get to the report that ended up on my computer, if we kind of work backwards, there had to be, I assume, some data set that I wanted a report about and then some processing of that data set to get from the raw data to the report.

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

A. Yes. Let me see if I can walk through this and 13 see if we're on the same page here. What we're talking 14 15 about is that the -- on the Web page, you enter 16 information that describes the conditions or parameters 17 of the report that you're after, whatever marketing 18 campaign you're interested in seeing, whatever types of persons you're interested in projecting a list for, any 19 20 number of possibilities.

But you have -- for that system that you're working with, you have a set of Web pages that give you your options, whatever they are. You fill in one of those pages with the details of, again, report type, the duration or time period that you're concerned with, the

Page 223

computer that's generating the report.

- Q. I'm just trying to understand where all the pieces are. So, the user sits on their computer, they open up Internet Explorer, and they can, I guess, get into Outbound Marketing or connect to Outbound Marketing, and then they can request a report.
 - A. Right.
- Q. I assume that that process involves at least the collection of certain data, is that right?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- 11 A. If you're asking about whether the RT Client or 12 some correlative is out there, the answer's no.
 - Q. I don't know if -- I don't think that is --
- 14 A. I'm sorry. I thought that was the import of 15 your question.
- Q. So, when the user wants a report, if I'm 16 17 sitting at my computer and I open up Internet Explorer and I want to get a report, and I log into OM. 18
- Obviously, I have Internet Explorer, that's local to my 19 20 computer, and it's interfacing with the Web server
- 21 getting -- you know, getting information, sharing
- information back and forth between the user's computer 22
- 23 and the Web server that ultimately displays as a Web
- 24 page. 25
 - A. Correct.

1 types of customers that you want, et cetera. You fill 2 that in.

You're going to be using the http protocol, again, but this is going to go directly from the browser 4 through to the Web server here, okay? And then whatever the Web server does with it, and that's impenetrable in the system that I looked at, back to the application server and then to the Outbound Marketing server.

The Outbound Marketing server takes whatever is the result of those three stages and uses that, as it describes here, to put together the reporting information and then send it back --

- Q. If I could interrupt you for one second and 13 14 make sure I'm following.
 - A. Yeah.
- 16 Q. When you talk about the result that's passed to the Outbound Marketing server, at that point, is it 17 still in some form a request for a report? 18
- 19 A. Essentially, yes. 20
 - Q. Okay.
- 21 A. It's the information that's required to get to 22 a report.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 A. Okay. And the Outbound Marketing server

25 then -- let's see if I have a description here -- I'm

Gwendolyn Parker and Associates, Inc. 214-747-8007

57 (Pages 222 to 225)

Page 225

Case 6:07-cv-00067-LED Document 307-2 Filed 09/17/09 Page 59 of 71 Page 226 Page 228 pretty sure that I saw something at one point that does 1 Q. Maybe the analytics. Well, whatever the a reasonably good job of explaining what's there. This 2 original question was, this description here, does that is a reasonably good description here. Let's go to page 3 apply to all of the services that reside on the business 4 32. 4 user Web end? 5 5 Q. Okay. MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 6 A. Describing the Outbound Marketing server, says 6 A. Something like this passes for each of them, 7 it's "implemented as a collection of Java classes that 7 yes. 8 run within a Java virtual machine." 8 Q. Okay. So, this says that the Outbound 9 O. Okay. 9 Marketing server is implemented as a collection of Java 10 A. The Outbound Marketing server manages user 10 classes? connections and database queries in a multi-threaded 11 11 A. Yes. fashion. The virtual machine that runs the Outbound 12 12 Q. So, it's essentially made up of Java classes? Marketing server code can be invoked as either a service 13 13 A. Yes. or console command in Windows NT server or Windows 2000 14 14 Q. So, when the request comes in from the user's 15 platform or as a daemon process or Java command on Web page, gets passed as, I guess, HTML or some supported -- I'm sorry. That's not as important there. 16

What I wanted to get down to here was the -- that the Web server passes the URL requests and parameters to a Web server plug-in, which communicates to the J2EE application server, and that the application server then routes the request to Outbound Marketing.

22 That's what I was talking about before, 23 that you have a -- you have an http type communication, 24 which is what you're going to get almost always when you're working with a browser. The -- but that request

16 combination of HTML and JavaScript?

17 A. I'm sorry. You're talking about the message 18 bound from the --

Q. The request from the user.

20 A. From the browser -- the request from the

21 browser won't include any JavaScript. 22 Q. Okay. So that would just be HTML?

23 A. It's actually not HTML. It's actually an http

24 type message.

25 Q. Or http.

Page 227

1

5

6

7

9

11

20

21

22

23

24

25

19

Page 229

goes to a Web server, which is a standard Web server 1 that can be configured in any number of ways to tweak that message somehow, and the same is true of the J2EE 4 application server. 5 But once that message finally gets through to the Outbound Manager, then it says, the Outbound 6

7 Marketing server -- sorry, Outbound Server -- the 8 Outbound Marketing server Java classes then process each request by issuing optimized queries to a database server on which the data mart resides, formatting the 10 11 results that the database server returns in HTML format 12 with embedded -- there it is -- HTML forms in JavaScript

That's the reason I was uncomfortable about just saying, it's just HTML, because I was trying to remember the JavaScript that goes out with it.

routines.

17

18

19

20

21

13

14

15

16 17

25

18 A. And forwarding the formatted results to the J2EE application server, which then returns them back 19 20 through. So, that's what's going on. Again, 21 generically, generally.

Q. That description there, I asked you the 22 23 question in the context of the -- I guess the database 24 management maybe?

A. Yes.

A. Yeah.

2 Q. That's passed to OM server, eventually,

3 through --4

A. Ultimately, yes.

Q. The OM server then processes that in such a way to issue an optimized query. So, it queries the database for the data that it needs. This is step one

8 under that description we're looking at.

A. Right.

10 Q. The OM server queries the database?

A. That's correct.

12 O. And then the database returns data to OM 13 server?

14 A. Correct.

15 Q. And OM server takes the results from the 16 database -- I guess, this step of issuing the optimized 17 queries to the database on which mart data resides, is 18 that the step where, I guess, the request essentially is 19 carried out?

So, if I put in certain parameters for my report, is the optimized query, does that -- by saying "optimized query," does that mean OM server is then querying the database for only the information that's necessary to populate the particular report that I've requested?

58 (Pages 226 to 229)

7

11

16

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 230

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

A. Well, the optimization actually involves a bit more than that. The optimization that they're talking about is not only optimization of getting just the results that you want, but you have -- what you have in here is that Data Mart is a little bit different from a standard, say, transaction database or something like that.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Data Mart includes some special purpose tables. And the way these tables are put together is that people frequently ask very similar questions of these systems, and the -- one of the things that you can do in optimizing the queries is that before you actually get to the query, you build some tables that have what? Pre-calculated results; that is, take for instance something like -- people are always putting in reports asking for, you know, quarterly results. What's the results up to the end of this quarter?

Without doing -- without doing the -- these accelerator type tables, you would have to go in, run through every transaction that's in the system or every detailed record that's in the system and total them all up.

And so what you're doing with a quarterly reports type thing is that you take those types of

Q. And so that's done by the Outbound Marketing es a bit 2 server, it constructs that query?

3 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- 4 A. Well, some of the Java classes in the Outbound 5 Marketing server do that, yes.
 - Q. And that request is passed to the database?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Then, whatever information was requested is returned to the Outbound Marketing server, is that right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And then the Outbound Marketing server takes those results and formats them into an HTML to send back to the user for display on their -- in their Web browser, is that right?
 - A. Right.
- Q. Doesn't that make OM server part of the -- in that case, the reporting or the subsystem?
- A. No. It just means that it's providing a service to it. The -- no. The fact that it -- that it assists in the process doesn't mean it's part of that system.
- Q. Seems to me it does a little more than assist in that process. It seems to me it's carrying out almost every critical step of the process. It's

Page 231

Page 233

Page 232

numbers that you know that people are going to ask for, you build tables. And part of this optimization is a recognition of which of these tables you can use to help answer your query.

So, you're not only optimizing just getting just the information that you want, but you're optimizing to eliminate some of the processing load off of the database server. The -- what you have to do to do that is that you have to be aware of which types of questions go with which of these tables.

And then you -- well, as part of optimizing the query, you're selecting which of these tables you're going to use, which things have to go all the way back, and you can have more than one level of these tables involved. So, you might have to go do 20 calculations instead of 20,000, but it's still better, okay, rather than fetching a single answer, that kind of thing.

- Q. Okay. So, that's the optimization or part of the optimization.
- A. That's part of what the optimization is addressing, yes.
- Q. But is part of constructing that query also querying for the information that's necessary to populate the report that's been requested by the user?
 - A. Yes.

constructing the query and it's creating the report,isn't it?

A. Well, okay. The Outbound Marketing server Java classes then process each request by -- we talked about the fact that these things -- that parts of these systems are separable or separate or subsystems, and it's in here that it's clear that this stuff divides up into multiple classes that do different things.

9 The -- I'm not sure if this is -- let me 10 look at this a second. Part of the answer -- can I give 11 this to you in parts?

Q. Sure. We'll see how that goes, I guess.

A. Okay. Part of the answer is at the bottom of page 29, take the last sort of full block there, it begins, you know, about eight lines up, Outbound Marketing server.

The Outbound Marketing server is an application server that supports Outbound Marketing applications and connects those applications to the data mart. Outbound Marketing server handles user requests by doing the following: It forwards optimized queries to the database server, caching the query results, instantiating appropriate Java classes to perform application-specific calculations on those results.

So, what we have here is that the Outbound

59 (Pages 230 to 233)

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

22

23

24

3

6

7

15

20

Page 234

- Marketing server is -- these Java classes that it's
- 2 talking about here are some of the subsystems that we're
- talking about that -- in this case perform application-
- specific calculations are part of the analytics that go
- on here, and then forwarding the final results to the 6 Web-based display.
 - So, that's part of it. Let me see if I can find a little bit better explanation of some of that here.
- 10 Q. Before we go on too far, let me ask you a 11 couple of questions about that. So, are you then saying that each of the Java classes is a subsystem? 12
- 13 A. I'm not saying that each and every Java class 14 is a subsystem, but yes, some of the Java classes break off as subsystems of this. 15
- Q. And whether or not they're a subsystem would 16 17 depend on what?
- 18 A. It's a big break. I mean, you can -- this says 19 the Java classes that are application specific. So, for 20 each of these applications, there are Java classes that are associated with just those applications. And 21 22 that --
- 23 Q. And so each one of those Java classes would 24 then be a subsystem -- a set of Java classes associated with one application would be a subsystem?

Page 236

- 1 separate set of Java classes specific to campaign 2 management, no.
 - Q. To any of the other subsystems that you've identified in your report?
 - A. No, not in that way.
- 6 Q. Did you look for it in that way?
 - A. Did I look for it in that way? Not really, no.
 - Q. So, again, if we look at page 32, it says that the Outbound Marketing server is implemented as a collection of Java classes.
 - A. Correct.
- 12 Q. But now you're saying some of those Java 13 classes are subsystems that are separate from the Outbound Marketing server? 14
 - A. Some of those Java classes are -- yes, they qualify as subsystems, as defined here, and they are coupled to the OM manager -- or OM server, sorry.
- 18 Q. How would you be able to identify which Java 19 classes were a subsystem for a particular function that 20 you identified in your report? 21

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. I think that the -- that the documents here are sufficient to describe the separation of those functions of those subsystems.
- 25 Q. So, this document here, page 32, says that the

Page 237

- Page 235
- 2 Q. So, is there a set of Java classes, for
- 3 instance, that does campaign management? 4 A. I'm not sure if it identifies as campaign
- management, but there are Java classes that are function specific, that are application-specific. 6
 - Q. The subsystems that we identified in your report --
- 9 A. Right.

A. Yes.

7

9

1

7

8

- Q. -- are -- for instance, one of them is campaign 10 11 management.
- A. Right. 12
- 13 Q. Correct me if I'm wrong, but I don't see
- anything in here about Java classes. 14
- 15 A. Fair enough.
- Q. Obviously, it's in the charts we're looking at. 16
- 17 A. Right.
- 18 Q. So I'm just trying to understand, where you say
- in the report that one of the subsystems is campaign 19 20 management, now we're trying to unpack that a little,
- 21 you're talking about application-specific Java classes.
- 22
- 23 Q. Were you able to identify in the source code a
- 24 set of Java classes specific to campaign management? 25
 - A. I did not identify in the source code a

- Outbound Marketing server is implemented as a collection of Java classes.
 - A. Right.
- 4 Q. Is there anything else to the Outbound Marketing server, besides those Java classes?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. Is there anything else to the Outbound
- 8 Marketing server besides Java classes? I believe it's
- 9 written in Java, so Java is written using Java classes.
- 10 Q. So, other than the hardware it resides on, the 11 OM server, is entirely the collection of JAVA classes.
- 12
- 13 Q. And you identify in your report that the OM
- 14 server is the event manager.
 - A. Correct.
- 16 Q. Now you're saying that some of those Java classes are subsystems. Are there other Java classes 17 that would be left, and would those Java classes then be 18 19 the event manager?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. I think that the description of which ones are 21
- 22 which is pretty clear from here. It talks about the
- 23 application-specific classes. It talks about which
- 24 classes are used to receive these communications, which
- 25 classes are used to build the outgoing HTML and

60 (Pages 234 to 237)

Page 238 question more precisely. Can you point to anywhere in JavaScript that goes out to the -- that goes out to the browser. I think that's a pretty clear demarcation of either your report or Exhibit 4 to your report where it 2 3 3 which things are involved in which processes. states that your opinion is that the event manager in 4 Q. I guess in kind of a theoretical sense, I 4 Outbound Marketing is a subset of the Java classes 5 understand that, but are you able to identify, 5 within the Outbound Marketing server? 6 specifically, the Java classes that relate to, for б A. I don't use that language. I believe that I instance, campaign management in the Outbound Marketing 7 identified the fact that the Outbound Marketing manager 8 8 is composed of Java classes. I believe that's clear source code? 9 9 from what we have here. I believe I identified the A. You mean, by class name or by source code file 10 name? 10 portions of the Outbound Marketing manager, those Java 11 Q. Yes. 11 classes that do campaign management, those Java classes 12 A. No. 12 that do the database management, those Java classes that do the integrated OLAP, and the ones that do predictive 13 Q. No, because you didn't try, or no, you wouldn't 13 be able to even if you sat down again with the code analytics. Those sets of classes and for each of those 14 14 15 today? processes I believe make up a subsystem. You know, that 16 A. If I sat down again with all the code, yes, I 16 seemed pretty good to me. 17 17 could do that. Q. At the time that you wrote your report, and you 18 Q. But you hadn't done it prior to today. 18 wrote on page 57 that OM is comprised of a plurality of 19 A. I did not think that that was necessary, no. 19 20 Q. And you didn't identify in your report that it 20

subsystems, including campaign management, sophisticated database management, integrated OLAP and predictive was, in fact, a collection of Java classes that 21 analytics, was it at that time your opinion that, for implemented each of these functionalities that you instance, the campaign management subsystem was, in identified as subsystems, is that fair? 23 fact, a subset of the Java classes on the OM server? A. Yes.

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

A. I think I did. It's here. It not in the body 24

of the report, but it's here, it's part of what's -- and

21

22 23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

25

Page 239

Page 241

Page 240

it's -- you know, it's repeated endlessly. Q. Well, but it's taken us what, a half hour to go through this document for you to explain what your theory of infringement is while we're looking at these documents.

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

Q. (By Mr. Dion) So, I guess I understand that the information you're relying on is in here, but I'm just curious where exactly in either the report or this exhibit it states that your opinion is that OM infringes because there is a subset of Java classes that function as the event manager and separate subsets of Java classes that are the subsystems that perform certain functionalities?

15 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. What's the question, again? Pretty long. 16

17 MR. DION: Could you read back the 18 question?

19 COURT REPORTER: The very last part? 20 MR. DION: The very last part, or do you

21 want to hear the whole thing?

22 MR. SPANGLER: Is the last part the 23 question, or is it the whole thing? I object because of 24 the 45 word question I don't get.

Q. (By Mr. Dion) Well, let me try to ask the

1 Q. (By Mr. Dion) Why didn't you put that in your 2 report, then? 3

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

A. I didn't think it was necessary.

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

Q. (By Mr. Dion) At the time you wrote your report, was it your opinion that the event manager was a subset of Java classes that resides on the OM server that is -- at least some of those Java classes that are not application-specific?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

A. I'm not sure I understood the question. I'm not sure I actually understood the question.

Q. Okay. Well, if you look at page 58 of your report, Claim 1, element a2.

MR. SPANGLER: Let me just ask, Joel, real quick. Are you trying to dig back into the whole exhibit versus report issue? Because we've testified over and over, the exhibits are part of the report.

MR. DION: I understand. No, I'm not --

MR. SPANGLER: All right.

21 MR. DION: Anything that's in the exhibits 22 is fair game. I just want to understand where the 23 opinions are disclosed.

Q. (By Mr. Dion) Claim 1, element a2, 24 25 infringement by OM. The statement in the body of the

61 (Pages 238 to 241)

Gwendolyn Parker and Associates, 214-747-8007

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Page 242

report is, "the OM server (hardware and software) is an event manager coupled to the subsystems."

Do you read that to indicate that certain of the Java classes on the OM server are the event manager?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. I'm not sure if that properly characterizes my statement. What you're saying is that I didn't express it in a way that you approve of, and I'm sorry about that.
- 11 Q. I don't know that that's what I'm saying. When 12 I read this, I understand it to be your position that 13 the OM server is the event manager. And when I read the documents cited in your report, I understand the OM 14 15 server to be a collection of Java classes, which would include the application-specific Java classes. 16 17

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- Q. (By Mr. Dion) Do you disagree with that 18 19 characterization?
- 20 A. No, I believe I cut those out in the previous 21 claim element.
- 22 Q. Where does it say that exactly?
- 23 A. I identified them as subsystems, the campaign 24 management, the database management, the OLAP, and the 25

predictive analytics.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1

2

3 4

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

21

22

23

24

Page 243

- Q. And where is it identified that what you're referring to there is application-specific Java classes?
 - A. I mean, we're going around in a circle here.
- Q. Well, because you're telling me things are in your report, and I'm having a hard time finding them. So, if they're in there, I want to make sure I

6 7 understand where. 8 MR. SPANGLER: I'll let it go on a little

bit more, Joel, but I was pretty professional with your witnesses. He's answered these questions a couple times. I'll let it go a little bit more, then we're going to have to move on.

- A. Ask me the question again.
- Q. Let me ask you a different question. In your opinion, what part of Outbound Marketing is the event manager that's referred to in Claim 1, element a2 of the '525 Patent?
- 18 A. What part of Outbound Marketing is the event 19 manager? 20
 - Q. Yes.
 - A. The part of the Outbound Marketing that is the event manager is that part that includes some, perhaps not all, but some of the Outbound Marketing that is not in these subsystems that are identified in Claim 1. The identification of just which Java classes constitute the

Page 244

- Outbound -- I'm sorry -- the OM server -- I'm sorry.
- 2 I've lost it. I lost the question.
- 3 O. We can try to start from the beginning, again, 4 that's fine.
 - A. Start again.
 - Q. So, the question is, I just want to understand, as you sit here today, your opinion as to which elements of Outbound Marketing -- when I say Outbound Marketing, right now, I mean the entire program, all right?
- 10 A. Okay.
- 11 Q. Which components or which elements of Outbound 12 Marketing do you believe satisfy the limitation in the

claim of an event manager? 13

- A. Okay. Fair enough. We start with the OM server. We've already excluded these subsystems. The remainder of the Outbound Marketing, it's overcasting, perhaps, to say it's all of it, but the remainder of the Outbound Marketing constitutes an event manager.
- Q. But when you say -- I'm sorry. I think you said the remainder of the Outbound Marketing.
- 21 A. The marketing server, yes. Pardon me. Thank 22 you.
- 23 Q. So, the Outbound Marketing server is hardware, 24 and then the software is entirely Java classes. Is my understanding of that correct?

Page 245

- 1 A. I believe that's the way that the Outbound 2 Marketing server is described, and I believe that's the 3 way it's implemented, as a set of Java classes.
- 4 Q. Then, when we're identifying the event manager,
 - you're excluding from the event manager any Java classes within the Outbound Marketing server that are application-specific.
 - A. Application -- at least for the applications I described there, yes.
- 10 Q. And once we exclude those, there's some 11 remaining set of Java classes, and your opinion is that 12 some subset of those, but perhaps not all of them, is 13 the event manager.
 - A. Correct. That the remaining set of the Java classes perform as an event manager, as described in the patent claim.
 - Q. Are you able to identify with any more specificity which Java classes of the OM server are the event manager?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. I think I've already said no, that I can't 21 22
- 23 Q. Do you think you could, if you went back to 24 look at the source code for the purpose of trying to answer that question?

62 (Pages 242 to 245)

Page 248 Page 246 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 5:15, we're off 1 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 2 A. I believe the answer to that is yes. 2 the record. 3 (OFF THE RECORD FROM 5:15 TO 5:26 P.M.) 3 Q. Okay. And I'm fairly certain we covered this, 4 but just so it's, I guess, clear in this part of the 4 (MR. ZOUZNETSOV AND MR. RYAN ARE NO LONGER IN ROOM. 5 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 5:26, we're back record, now that we have clarified the parameters, 6 the -- your opinion is that the application-specific 6 on the record. 7 Java classes that relate, for example, to campaign Q. (By Mr. Dion) So we were talking about the management are separate from the portion of the OM 8 detecting one more change in state element in Claim 1 relative to OM. Maybe we should just go back through server that constitutes the event manager. 9 10 that entire element. 10 A. Yes. Q. How does the event manager in Outbound 11 A. Okay. 11 12 Marketing detect a change in state? 12 Q. I think we now have a common understanding of 13 what we're talking about as the subsystems and what A. Similar to what we were talking about with the 13 14 RT server. That is, the -- we talked about the messages we're talking about as the event manager. So, I guess with that understanding, if you could explain your 15 that come from the applications out at the Web browser 16 opinion as to how the event manager detects one or more level then go through the Web server and then through 16 17 changes in state. the J2EE server. Those messages, when they arrive at 17 18 A. Okay. As we were talking about with the RT the Outbound Marketing manager, the occurrence of those 19 Server, the OM Server receives messages in much the same 19 messages, the fact that they're received is an indicator 20 of a change in state. I'm sorry. It is a -- I'm sorry. 20 way, and it's the same receipt of the message that 21 detects -- that causes the detection in state -- I'm It is a change in state characteristic of an event 22 sorry -- the detection of one or more changes in state occurring within the system. 22 23 that are characteristic of an event. 23 Q. Okay. How is that change in state detected by 24 the event manager? 24 Q. If we could, maybe we are, but I found it very 25 helpful with IA to kind of have a working example. 25 A. Although the languages are different, the Page 247 Page 249 1 Could we keep -- here we're talking about generating a mechanism is similar. This is done in Java rather than 2 2 C++, but the mechanisms are similar. The fact of the report. Is that a workable example for us to keep 3 3 using, or is there a preferable one? arrival of a message in a message cue, the creation of 4 4 an object is the -- is what is detected by the OM A. No. I think that will do fine. 5 5 Q. Okay. So, in this case, the user, through 6 6 their Web browser, would create and then submit a Q. So, on the OM server, is there a certain Java 7 class that has that particular functionality? 7 request for a certain report, is that correct? 8 8 A. Correct. 9 9 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. Q. Then that request would go first to the Web 10 Q. (By Mr. Dion) Are you able to identify that server, then to the J2EE server, then ultimately to the 10 11 Java class? 11 OM server. 12 12 A. (Witness nods head.) A. No. 13 Q. Would that Java class be one of the Java 13 Q. Is that correct? 14 14 classes that would be part of the event manager? A. That's correct. 15 A. Yes. 15 Q. Now, when that request hits the OM server, Q. What's the event that that change in state is that's the change in state. There was no request and 16 16 17 characteristic of? 17 now there is a request. 18 MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 18 A. Right. 19 A. Let's work it back through. The event that 19 Q. Ultimately, that request is then going to be 20 it's characteristic of, if we work back through the 20 destined for one of the application-specific Java analysis, similar to what we did for the IA system --21 classes on the OM server, is that correct? 21 excuse me. Can I take a minute here? I'm getting a 22 22 A. I'm sorry. Is going to ultimately be what? I 23 little bit punchy. I need just a second. 23 just lost a little bit. 24 MR. DION: Sure. Yeah. Let's take a 24 Q. Sure. That request is ultimately -- at some 25 point is going to need to, I guess, be routed to or be 25 break.

63 (Pages 246 to 249)

Page 252 Page 250 presented to one of the application-specific Java 1 Q. And it would also be different than the application specific Java. classes on the OM server. 2 2 3 3 A. Yes. A. I believe so, yes. 4 4 Q. And would that Java class be part of the event Q. Does that request go directly to the application-specific Java class? 5 manager? 6 6 A. No. A. I believe so, yes. 7 7 Q. Are you able to identify that one? Q. Okay. So, what happens? 8 A. Okay. Could I come back here again? 8 A. No. 9 Q. Okay. 9 Q. Please. 10 A. All right. 10 A. I'm sorry. I kind of lost my train here. Q. Are you looking at page 29, again? Q. I'm sorry for interrupting. So, we talked 11 11 12 A. Actually, I was on 23, but let me look and about accepts the request, it constructs a query. 12 see -- there are several expressions of this, and it may 13 13 A. Right. be clearer here. No, I think 23 is better. Q. And I guess -- I guess continue with your 14 14 explanation to the next step, please. 15 Q. Okay. 15 16 A. So, this doesn't have quite the detail. It 16 A. So, the -- we're talking now, then, about -doesn't have all of the elements of the detail here, but the step is that it forwards the query to the database 17 17 18 it accepts requests. When we're talking about 18 19 constructing an optimized query here, that construction, 19 Q. Okay. And, again, is that a separate Java 20 I believe, is determining, as it says here -- remember, 20 class that does that? we talked about the tables, the accelerator? 21 21 A. Yes. 22 22 Q. And, also, different than the application Q. Uh-huh. 23 A. And the cached results from the previous 23 specific Java classes. 24 queries. It uses that information to build these 24 A. Yes. optimized queries. 25 Q. Okay. And that -- is that Java class part of Page 253 Page 251 1 Q. Okay. Let me cut you off for just one second. the event manager? 1 2 The first thing you said is -- we're looking at this 2 A. No. kind of bulleted list on page 23. 3 Q. It's not part --3 4 4 A. Correct. A. You're talking about the database server? 5 5 Q. The OM server accepts the requests that came Q. The Java class that forwards the query to the 6 database server. 6 in, right? 7 7 A. Java class that -- no, no, the Java class that A. Yes. 8 Q. Is there a certain Java class that accepts that 8 does the forwarding would be part of the event 9 request? 9 management. 10 Q. Okay. Thank you. 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. And that's separate from the application-11 A. This doesn't describe the fact, but then the 12 specific Java classes. database query server has to take the query and operate 13 A. Yes, I believe so. 13 on it to get the results and pass those results back to Q. Is that Java class part of the event manager? the OM server. 14 14 15 A. I believe it is, yes. 15 Q. Okay. So, those results are then received back Q. Are you able to identify, specifically, the into yet another Java class --16 16 name of that Java class? 17 17 A. Yes. 18 18 A. No, I'm not. Q. -- on the OM server? 19 19 A. No, the reception -- I'm trying to remember --Q. Okay. Then constructs an optimized query. Is 20 that a separate Java class on the OM server that 20 we may have actually looked at a little bit of this. constructs the query? 21 The reception comes back to the same -- I believe it 21 comes back to the same class that did the forwarding. A. It won't be the same one that receives the 22 22 23 message. Is that what you're asking? 23 Q. Okay. 24 Q. That's what I'm asking. 24 A. Okay? 25 A. Okay. Yeah. 25 Q. In any event, whichever class receives the data

64 (Pages 250 to 253)

Page 254 Page 256 back -involve a -- at least a separate Java class and may 1 2 involve two or five or a hundred of them. They spawn A. Receives the data back --3 Q. It would be different than the applicationmassively as you go through this. 4 specific Java classes? 4 Q. We can kind of maybe talk about them in chunks. A. There were literally thousands of Java classes 5 A. Correct. 5 6 6 broken up into six different areas that we looked at. Q. And it would be part of the event manager? 7 7 Q. Okay. Now, if I followed, I think part of what A. Correct. 8 8 you said that the calculations are performed and that Q. Okay. A. Okay. We have a little bit of a conflation 9 data is transferred back and --9 10 here in the next step, because the process of caching 10 A. To the OM manager. the results of the query I think properly belongs --11 11 Q. And it can replace some of the other data? well, let see how to say this. Trying to avoid some of 12 A. It either replaces, substitutes or supplements 12 the circular stuff we'd gone through. Let me skip over 13 the data that was returned, initially, from the query to the database manager. that. Just go to the performs the analytical 14 14 15 calculations. 15 Q. Okay. A. Okay? Q. Okay. 16 16 17 17 Q. But that is still within the OM server? A. The performance of the analytical calculations we talked about as one of the subsystems. 18 A. That comes back to the OM server, yes. Well, 18 the analytical calculations, remember, are a separate 19 O. Okav. 19 20 A. Okay? And the proper characterization, I 20 subsystem that comes back to the OM server. believe, here is that the results that come back from 21 Q. Wait. Let me ask you about that. The 21 22 the database server are repackaged and reset to present 22 analytical calculations are a separate subsystem from 23 to the -- I can't remember -- the something analytics. 23 the event manager? 24 The predictive analytics. 24 A. I believe that the analytical calculations 25 It goes in to do the analytical processes, 25 break up by application. Page 255 Page 257 1 and then those results, which partly replace, partly 1 Q. Okay. 2 supplement the results that came back from the database A. Okay? That is, that you're going to use 3 manager are returned back to the OM server. different analytical calculations for different of the 4 4 applications that you have. Q. Okay. So, the analytical calculations are 5 performed by the application-specific Java class? 5 Q. When we talk about those analytical 6 A. No. The analytical is identified as a 6 calculations, are they implemented through Java classes? 7 7 subsystem on its own. Remember, I've got a -- the A. Yes. 8 8 predictive analytics? Q. And those are on the OM server, is that right? 9 Q. Right. My understanding, maybe I'm 9 A. Well, they're on the OM server machine, you misunderstanding, my understanding was that each of 10 10 11 those subsystems corresponds to --11 Q. Well, my understanding of the OM server is that it's a collection of Java classes, right? 12 A. Oh, I'm sorry, I'm sorry, yes. I think I 12 understand -- I think I understand where we are. Yes. MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form. 13 13 It's application -- let's see how to say this. Fair 14 14 A. Right. characterization. The analytical calculations will be 15 Q. And we've talked about there's some subset of 15 specific to -- when they're done will be specific to an 16 those Java classes that constitutes the event manager. 16 17 application. 17 18 Q. Okay. 18 Q. And then there's what we've been calling the 19 A. I believe that's correct. application-specific Java classes. 19 20 Q. Okay. 20 A. Right. 21 A. That is outside the event manager part of the 21 Q. Which, I guess my understanding was did these 22 analytical calculations, among other things, depending 22 OM server. 23 Q. Is it carried out, then, by one of the other 23 on the application. 24 Java classes? 24 A. And I think the point of confusion here was 25 25 that the -- when we talk about performing the analytical A. Yes. Yes. Any step that you see here will

65 (Pages 254 to 257)

Page 258

- calculations, those -- that step -- I'm sorry -- the
- classes that perform the analytical calculations I
- believe belong to the applications, belong to the
- subsystems, rather than to the event manager part of the 5 OM server.
- 6 Q. No, I understand that.
 - A. Okay. I'm sorry.
- 8 Q. My question is, though, the application-
- specific Java classes are not -- are separate from the
- 10 event manager.
- A. Yes. 11

7

- 12 Q. Are they still on the OM server?
- A. When you say "on the OM server," it sounds like 13 you're talking about on the computer. 14
- 15 Q. Well, are they part of the OM server?
- 16 A. Yeah. I believe, yes, that when you say "OM
- server." You include the Java classes that are a part 17 18
- of -- that define the applications, that make up the 19 applications.
- 20 Q. Okay. I think that clears up my confusion.
- 21 Thank you.
- 22 A. Okay. Then it talks about how the -- how the
- 23 OM server takes the final results from getting the
- 24 results from the database, the amendments, changes,
- additions, whatever from the analytical processes, and

Page 259

- those results it then formats for the Web page display.
- 2 Q. Okay.

1

6

7

8

9

21

25

- 3 A. Okay? And it says formats -- forwards those formatted results through the Web browser, but it's 4 5 through the J2EE server.
 - Q. Now, the formatting of the results to the Webbased display, is that also performed by the application-specific Java class?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. Before you asked me the question, I was 10 11 thinking no, but after you asked the question, I'm not 12 sure. I'm not sure.
- 13 Q. So it may be that each application-specific Java class does both its own calculations and its own 14 15 formatting?
- A. Or at least some of it. That, I'm just not 16 17 sure about.
- 18 Q. So, it also may be that there is one set of Java classes that's just dedicated to formatting results 19 20 for the Web page.
 - A. That's possible.
- Q. If it were the latter scenario, would those, I 22
- 23 guess, Web formatting Java classes, would those be part
- 24 of the event manager?
 - A. We talked earlier about the fact that you don't

Page 260

- 1 necessarily have to have all of these Java classes
- 2 identified as part of the event manager or as part of
- 3 these other subsystems. I'm not sure that they have to
- 4 be part of the event manager part of OM.
- Q. Okay. So, these -- if there are these Web 6
- formatting Java classes, if that's the way the system 7 works, they might just be part of OM server but not
- 8 characterized in either the event manager or the
- 9 application-specific databases?
 - A. I think that's possible, yes.
- 11 Q. There's some third category, other Java 12 classes.
- 13 A. Yes.

10

17

21

4

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 14 Q. Okay. Now -- so, we were talking about --15 again, we started out talking about the detecting a 16 change in state.
 - A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And we said that the -- when the request for, 19 in our example, a particular report comes in, that's 20 detected by one of the Java classes.
 - A. Right.
- 22 Q. What is the event that that change in state is 23 characteristic of?
- 24 A. It -- it's actually -- it's characteristic of 25 the request for the report.

Page 261

- 1 Q. Okay. So that in the next step, element c, the event manager would infer the occurrence of the event 3 according to the claim language.
 - A. Correct.
- 5 Q. So, the request comes in, and how does the 6 event manager, then, infer the occurrence of the event?
- 7 A. Well, again, let's go back to the sample of the
- 8 RT Server, because it's almost exactly the same process.
- 9 When this request comes in -- and by the time it gets 10
- here, it may not be presented exactly like an http 11 message that we saw in the other.

12 I don't remember -- I think we did look at 13 this, and I don't think we resolved whether it kept that 14 format. But, nevertheless, the same type of information package comes in, and the OM Server has to take that 16 knowledge apart in very much the same way that the RT 17 Server did.

It's got to detect, you know, which report and then which parameters for the report are -- it's going to use. It has to verify -- may verify that the parameters it received are appropriate to that report and some other things that go with it before it takes that information and whatever it adds to it and passes it to the subsystem that's going to handle the preparation of that report.

66 (Pages 258 to 261)

6

7

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 262

Q. Okay. So, in the process of unpacking that message and looking at all the information that's in there, the system is by that process inferring that a request for a report has occurred?

1

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

23

24

25

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

10

A. Again, in very much the same way. Again, it's not received wisdom, it doesn't happen instantaneously or automatically, you have to take apart the pieces, make sure that the pieces make sense. Some of the pieces you recognize as being, is this a proper report name? Is this a valid report? Is it valid under the circumstances? Does this user have the authority to ask for it? Do I -- you know, any number of possibilities for having to qualify this before you pass it -- pass it on to the database.

The database might be -- might very well be set up to have its own set of tests that are done in -subsequently to that and might catch some of the same conditions, but there's no use sending the requests through or getting the database to do all of this work, 20 if it's just going to be spit back -- you know, I spent half a second processing this, you know, using processor 22 time here, and you can't have the information. So, you try to catch that as early as you can. And in this case, you do that by examining the contents of that package.

Page 263

So, I mean, there's good reason for doing the inferring, for doing the logical processes that tell you what it is that you actually have to work with.

- Q. So that process, as you've just said, that tells you what it is that you have to work with --
 - A. Right.
- Q. -- does the OM Server -- let me say that a different way. Does the event manager in any way record or capture the mere fact that a report was requested?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- 11 A. Record it? I don't know. It -- again, the --12 as it says, it detects the fact that you have a change 13 of state. The arrival of the message is what the event manager detects. And so I think that's my answer. But 14 15 I'm now -- I'm not sure how to close the question. I don't remember the exact word that you used in the 16 17
- 18 Q. So I understand that the event manager detects 19 that the request came in.
- 20 A. Right.
- 21 Q. The fact that a request came in is
- 22 characteristic of --
- 23 A. An event.
- 24 Q. -- an event. The event is a user's requesting
- 25 a report.

1 A. Correct.

- 2 Q. Then you said that the system infers the occurrence of the event; that is, the system infers the 4 fact that a user requested a report.
 - A. Right.
 - Q. And you said it does that by reviewing the information in the request.
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. Clearly, I understand that that request 10 couldn't be there but for the fact that a user requested 11
- 12 A. Right.
- Q. But I guess the kind of bear fact -- we've 14 called the event that somebody made a request, and then, when I look at this language, inferring occurrence of the event, I'm just wondering if that bear fact that a user requested a report is something that the system captures in any way?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. I don't know whether the system -- if by "capture" you mean makes a record of somehow or --
- 22 Q. If it did, I would certainly say yes, that's 23 capturing that information. I don't want to try to 24 limit it to something.
- 25 A. That's what I hear when you say "capture it."

Page 265

Page 264

- Q. Let's start with, does it do that?
- A. The answer to that is, I don't know. I didn't
- 3 look for whether it recorded the -- well, let me back
- off on that a minute, because I do know that the systems
- 5 keep pretty significant log records of what goes on.
- It's at least plausible to think that it does capture
- 7 that. But I don't -- I didn't look for that, 8
 - specifically.
- 9 Q. Okay. The next part of this element is 10 inferring a context in which the event occurred. 11
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What would be the context in this example?
- 13 A. Well, again, the context here, remember that --14 you know, we're talking about requesting a report, and 15 we're kind of focused on that. But there are other 16 messages that come into the OM Server, and this one 17 requests a report.

Other messages request other types of things from the different users. They request -- well, whatever they request. They request different types of things. And so part of the context of this is, again, that it's a report request, as opposed to some other type of request. And part of the context, again, is this specific report.

Further information in the request will

67 (Pages 262 to 265)

5

6

10

13

22

24

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

Page 267

Page 266

further -- you know, some of that will be related to, 2 you know, the same types of things as we talked about before. If I get this message, I've identified an event or I've identified a field or I've -- you know, something like that.

6

7

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Do I, for this type of report, recognize an event as a proper element of the request? Do I recognize field as a proper element of the request? All those things go into the context. Recognition of -inference of context.

- Q. And the inference here, is it similar to what we discussed in IA, which is those -- certain facts come in, there's some type of rule, and then there's a conclusion, which I think is the Court's construction?
- A. Yes. That you're taking the data that comes in and you're comparing it to data that describes what you actually know already. I have a -- somewhere, I have a list. In some form, I have a list of types of reports that people can request.

If I get a -- for instance, it does happen, you get a request that comes through, and because of an electrical glitch somewhere or something else, you've just garbled the name of the report that you want, it says, I don't recognize the report name, try again.

Q. How are -- the inference of the occurrence of

1 Q. So, you said it's initialing an action in the 2 data mart. I think we talked earlier about use of the 3 term "operation" versus "action."

A. Right.

Q. You said --

A. Oh, I'm sorry.

7 Q. -- they're interchangeable, right? But in your 8 opinion, that's initiating an operation in the data 9 mart?

A. Yes.

11 Q. Okay. So, when the event manager constructs the database query and passes it to the data mart --12

A. Yes.

- 14 Q. -- that is the automatic initiation of an 15 operation in another subsystem.
- A. Correct. The process is automatic. There's no 16 intervention by any person in the process. Once the 17 message is received, all this stuff happens by the 18 19 operation of the event manager.
- 20 Q. And what's the new action that that operation 21 facilitates?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- 23 A. The new action that it facilitates?
 - Q. So, the claim language is automatically

initiating an operation in one or more particular

Page 269

Page 268

the event and the inference of context, how are they based on the detected change in state?

A. And I think, again, we're back to, again, almost exactly the same analysis, that they're based on the change in state, the detected change in state, because they rely on the change in state to start them off.

Q. Okay. I'm going through this kind of quickly, but we're running a little short on time.

A. I understand.

Q. The last element, "automatically initiating an operation in one or more particular subsystems of the computer to facilitate a new action based on the inferred context."

A. Correct.

Q. So, to try to look at it in, I guess, the big picture, first, and then maybe break it down, how does OM satisfy that limitation?

A. In the example that we're talking about, if we 20 just go through the steps that are described here, one of our subsystems is this EpiData Mart, and the OM 21 Server puts together a request for the -- for answers 23 from the EpiData Mart. And so it's initiating an action 24 in the EpiData Mart that furthers the sales -- or that facilitates a stage in the sales process.

1 subsystems of the computer to facilitate a new action based on the inferred context.

A. Right.

Q. So we said the operation is that -- I guess the database actually satisfying the query or carrying out the query?

MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.

- A. Well, again, you can work through this the -let's see. The operation is what the database undertakes, what it does. The action, at least in the example that's drawn here, could be the performance of the analytical processing in the application part of the system.
- Q. Okay. How is that action based on the inferred context?
- A. Well, again, "based on" just means that it's informed by or it relies on, in some part, the inferred context. Remember that the context that we're starting with here includes the type of report and maybe other 20 information within the system that restricts the operation of the data mart, the database manager.

22 So, already you have relied on that 23 information. I don't know for sure whether any of that 24 information actually will make it all the way to the 25 analytics, but whatever gets there will have been

68 (Pages 266 to 269)

	Page 270		Page 272
1	informed or affected by the context that came out of the	1	A. An additional subsystem, yes. I believe that's
2	original message.	2	correct.
3	Q. Okay. Just to confirm, it's not your you do	3	MR. DION: Thank you very much for your
4	not offer the opinion that OM has an expert system, is	4	time and cooperation today. We are done.
5	that correct?	5	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 5:58, we're off
6	A. No, I have not offered that opinion.	6	the record.
7	Q. Okay. And this is going to be a really bad	7	
8	question, but we're just running short on time, so I'll	8	
9	ask it and we'll see if we can get an answer.	9	(DEPOSITION ADJOURNED AT 5:58 P.M.)
10	A. Okay.	10	,
11	Q. You also have an opinion about the integration	11	
12	of IA with OM and how that integration creates an	12	
13	infringing system.	13	
14	A. Yes.	14	
15	Q. In addition to the fact that IA, you believe,	15	
16	infringes on its own and OM, you believe, infringes on	16	
17	its own. Is it possible for you to explain how in	17	
18	what ways that infringing system, IA integrated with OM	18	
19	is different than either IA on its own or OM on its own?	19	
20	MR. SPANGLER: Objection, form.	20	
21	Q. (By Mr. Dion) You're right.	21	
22	A. In the spirit of cooperation, I'll keep it as	22	
23	short as I can, but the analysis is that if you once	23	
24	have a system that includes IA, just IA, that's a	24	
25	system actually, I think we discussed this with S&S	25	
	Page 271		Page 273
1	very early on.	1	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE
2	The reasoning is very similar, that if you	2	WITNESS NAME: J. TIPTON COLE DATE: JULY 22, 2009
3	extend this system to include OM, in this instance, I	3	PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
4	believe that the exceptions that I've allowed for don't	4	
5	apply, there's I don't believe that OM does anything	5	
6	to you know, to suck the infringement out of IA.	6	
7	So, I believe that any system that is a	7	
8	superset of IA is going to wind up I'm sorry, again,	8	
9	with the same qualifications I gave earlier.	9	
10	Q. Sure.	10	
11	A. In this case, it doesn't apply. IA with OM	11	
12	will infringe off of the same analysis that IA by itself	12	
13	did.	13	
14	Q. In that infringing system of IA integrated with	14 15	
15	OM, where's the event manager?		
16	A. Of IA integrated with OM, the event manager, I	16 17	
17	believe, is most clearly in the controlling system, in the IA system.	18	
18 19	·	19	
20	Q. Okay. So, the same as your analysis on IA, the event manager is the RT Server, as we've discussed	20	
21	throughout the morning.	21	
22	A. Correct.	22	
23	(MR. ZAHER RE-ENTERS ROOM.)	23	
24	Q. (By Mr. Dion) And OM becomes, essentially,	24	
	iust an additional subsystem to IA?	25	

69 (Pages 270 to 273)

	Page 274		Page 276
1 2 3	I, J. TIPTON COLE, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above.	1 2 3 4 5	MR. JOEL L. DION06 HOUR(S):41 MINUTE(S) That pursuant to information given to the deposition officer at the time said testimony was taken, the following includes counsel for all parties of record:
4 5 6 7	J. TIPTON COLE	6 7 8	MR. DAVID PRIDHAM, Counsel for Plaintiff MR. ANDREW W. SPANGLER, Counsel for Plaintiff MR. HAROLD KIP GLASSCOCK, Counsel for Plaintiff MR. RYAN BROWN, Counsel for Plaintiff MR. ALFRED W. ZAHER, Counsel for Defendants
8 9 10 11	THE STATE OF) COUNTY OF)	9 10 11	MR. JOEL L. DION, Counsel for Defendants MR. BRUCE D. GEORGE, Counsel for Defendants MR. JOHN PAUL OLEKSIUK, Counsel for Defendants That \$
12 13 14 15	Before me,, on this day personally appeared J. TIPTON COLE, known to me (or proved to me under oath or through) (description of identity	12 13 14 15 16	charges to the Defendants for preparing the original deposition transcript and any copies of exhibits; I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the action in which this proceeding was
16 17 18 19 20	card or other document) to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that they executed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed. Given under my hand and seal of office this	17 18 19 20 21	taken, and further that I am not financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. Certified to by me this day of August, 2009.
21 22 23 24	day of	22 23 24	NITA G. CULLEN, Texas CSR #1563 Expiration Date: 12-31-2009 Firm Registration No. 244 GWENDOLYN PARKER & ASSOCIATES
25	NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF	25	3827 Travis Street Dallas, Texas 75204
	Page 275		
1 2 3 4	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION SFA SYSTEMS, LLC, Plaintiff,) OF THE ACTION NO.		
5	VS.) CIVIL ACTION NO.) 6:07-CV-67		
6 7	INFOR GLOBAL SOLUTIONS) (MICHIGAN), INC., et al,) Defendants.)		
8 9 10 11 12	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION DEPOSITION OF J. TIPTON COLE JULY 22, 2009		
13 14 15 16	I, Nita G. Cullen, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Texas, hereby certify to the following: That the witness, J. TIPTON COLE, was duly sworn by		
17 18 19 20 21	the officer and that the transcript of the oral deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness; That the deposition transcript was submitted on to the witness or to the attorney		
22 23 24	for the witness for examination, signature and return to me by; That the amount of time used by each party at the deposition is as follows:		

70 (Pages 274 to 276)